Aquifer Nu	ımber: 160	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cassidy (Lower) -	VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
	Assistan Olasaitiantian and	< 10 km ²	'	'	0.23		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II					
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	3223	~ 2			0.23		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.00
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Sioulidwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	future regulation					10 /0	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 26.18

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 161	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cassidy - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В С	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	3	1 0.5	10%	10.0
	Use Use	Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0
K.	Water management planning and		3		0.25		0.0
r.	future regulation	Being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		0.0 5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
		•	•	• •	•	Total	65.83

Aquifer Nu	umber: 163	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cedar / north of H	olden Creek - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
	Assistan Olasaifiastian and	< 10 km ²	'		0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
٠.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	j	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	+	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	29.64

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 167	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Westwood Lake -	VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
	Ranking	В С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	030	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	<u> </u>	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	1		0.5		
							0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	32.14

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 169	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Saltair / south of	Ladysmith - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	.0,0	0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	+	0 1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km²	1		0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management planning and	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
r.	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
	+	Unlikely	1	11 1	0.20	Total	28.2

quifer Νι	ımber: 172	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Chemainus near (Croften - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	.070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of	<u>'</u>	 	0.20		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1		0.25	15%	0.0
F.	Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	55.83

Aquifer Nu	umber: 174	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North of Duncan -	VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	< 10 km ²	'	H '	0.23		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C			0.5 0.25		0.0
		-	1	1	5.25		2.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0	=0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		
		-	1		0.25		0.0
	W (0 () 10 ()	< 1 km ²				100/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	locator component repented	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	3.33	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	_	2.5
						Total	34.40

Aquifer No	umber: 178	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Skutz Falls / Lake	Cowichan - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5	1070	
			1		0.25		5.0
	A '7 O' '7 1'	< 10 km ²	'		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
١.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	13 /0	0.0
	,,,,,	1	1	1	0.88		5.0
		none reported	Ö		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
l.	Water Quantity and Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	1	1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns Reported	· - ·				10 /6	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0		0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	3.3333	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	28.20

Aquifer Nu	umber: 179	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Sahtam - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	· ·	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	 	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5		
							0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
н.	Well Density	> 5 km²		3	·	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3	1	1		2.5
IX.	future regulation	Deling planned			1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.5		2.5
			<u> </u>		+	Total	29.88

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 180	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Sahtlam - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	.0,0	
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Zero of Development I					2.5
٥.	Ranking		3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C	1	1	0.5 0.25		
			1	'			1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	<u> </u>	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	1		0.5		
			0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported > 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	
			2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	future regulation					1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1]] 1	0.25	Total	2.5 28.44

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 183	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West of Duncan -	VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0 2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking					10%	
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25	5%	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking	C	1		0.5		
		C	1	1	3.23		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	<u> </u>	0.25	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		_					0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Well Delisity	> 5 km²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Crountando	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	idiale regulation					, -	0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
		Utilikely	1	11 1	0.20	Total	28.44

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 184	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West of Duncan -	VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Nanking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
ì	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
١.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	13 /0	0.0 10.0
	,,,,,	1	1	2	0.88		0.0
		none reported	Ö		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		
							0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3	 	1	400/	2.0
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	39.64

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 185	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Deerhorn / South	of Duncan - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A_	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	<u> </u>	1	15%	
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	5 522.5						0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Daniel C. C	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	31.18

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 186	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Duncan - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B C	3 2 1	3	1 0.5 0.25	5%	5.0 0.0 0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
_	Fatire at al Owner to Oracle d Material	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	3 2 1	3	1 0.5 0.25	10%	10.0 0.0 0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1 none reported	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
	9226	none reported	0		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	1070	
			1		0.25		5.0
	Water Quantity and Quality	< 1 km ²				400/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	·	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3		1	400/	0.0
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	11	0.25	Total	0.0 65.83

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 187	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Duncan - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
	2 Assistant Olassificati	III	1		0.25	=0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	2	1 0.5 0.25	5%	0.0 2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
	N 1 60 1W 1 0 1	Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	450/	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5 1	3 2 1	2	0.66 0.33	15%	0.0 10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10 2 – 10 < 2	3 2 1	2	1 0.5 0.25	5%	0.0 2.5
	7 02213	none reported	0		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0 2.5
	+	Utilikely	1	Ш	0.20	Total	55.36

Aquifer No	umber: 188	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Duncan - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I					2.5
	Ranking		3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25	F 0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	raming	С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value	·				1.5
	T.G.III.III	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	<u> </u>	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
O.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	070	2.5
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Wall Daneity	none reported	0 3		0		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	3		1	10%	
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500 - 1000 < 500	1	1	0.5		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	38.68

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 189	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Honeymoon Bay -	· VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	3	1 0.5 0.25	5%	5.0 0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	 	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.5		
			0		0.25		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	0	1	100/	
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	F-tit-d Dl-ti Od b	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Sicultanator	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	37.86

Aquifer Nu	umber: 190	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Youbou - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of Development I		 			2.5
Б.	Ranking		3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
	Ranking	B C	1		0.25		
		-	1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	<u> </u>	0.25	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5	.070	
			1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²				400/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	locator component repented	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0		0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	29.88

quifer Nu	umber: 191	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North Lake Cowic	han - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
	A 17 OL 17 11	< 10 km ²	'	<u> </u>	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	i i	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	2.22.101.0101	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	Щ	0.25		0.0
						Total	34.88

Aquifer Νι	ımber: 192	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Cowicha	n Lake North			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		Ш	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		2.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Systems		_		*	15%	0.0
		2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	2.5
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		•				Total	29.64

Aquifer	Number: 193	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Osc	oyoos West			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			4		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	16	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.8
		· ·	0.02.		1.0 0.21	0,0	3.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		0.25	15%	0.0
١.	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66	1370	10.0
		1	1	_	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	Ŭ	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Octived by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1	_	0.25		0.0
	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ı	1		Total	76.3

Aquifer	Number 194	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Osc	oyoos East			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aguifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of					2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	15	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.6
	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	2		1	100/	0.0
E.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	3	0	10%	0.0 10.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/5	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Gloundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
K.	Water management	< 500	1		0.25		0.0
r\.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	68.6

Aquifer	Number 195	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Os	oyoos East			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			_		2.5
J.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
		(basea on 7 sab lactors)	0 10 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	370	2.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
Γ.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1576	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E.C. (J. D. J. J.C.)	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
r.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	37.4

Aquifer No	umber: 197	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cowichan Bay / C	obble Hill area - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В С	1	1	0.5 0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	 	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	'	3	H .	1 1	400/	
• • •	Troil Deliency	> 5 km ²	2	3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²			0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
	F " + 1B + 1" 0 11	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ciodilawatoi	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	51.42

Aquifer Nu	umber: 199	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Dugan Lk / Cowic	han Stn VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
١.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	,	1	1	1?	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3		0 1		0.0
п.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fationated Daniel Committee	none reported	0 3	0	0 1		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	3.33	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	34.69

Aquifer Nu	umber: 201	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cobble Hill (Kingb	ourne) - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	1.69	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	
г.	Systems				· ·	13%	0.0
	Cyclemo	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	H •	1 1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	- / -	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	П	0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	П	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		-				Total	28.20

Aquifer Nu	umber: 205	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cobble Hill / Shaw	nigan Lake - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	ranking	C	1	1	0.5		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	3 2 1	1	1 0.5 0.25	10%	0.0 0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	<u>'</u>	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5 1 none reported	2 1 0	1?	0.66 0.33 0		0.0 5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10 2 – 10 < 2	3 2 1		1 0.5 0.25	5%	0.0 0.0 0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3 2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km² < 1 km²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated) none reported	2 1 0	0	0.5 0.25 0		0.0 0.0 0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
			<u> </u>			Total	33.44

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 206	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Mill Bay - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25	50/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
	Use	Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3		0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	376	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	/ 1000	3		'	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		0.0 5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
				++		Total	50.12

Aquifer Nu	umber: 209	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Errington - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
			1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of Development I		<u> </u>			2.5
В.	Ranking		3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25	=0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ramang	C	1	1	0.25		1.3
	A '(O) '(' (')		'	<u> </u>			1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	<u>'</u>	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	<u> </u>	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	
			2		0.5	1070	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1				0.0
		< 1 km ²			0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
0.	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17	100	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	25.7

Aquifer Nu	umber: 215	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Lantzville - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	i talling		2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	4	0.25	F0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A_	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	
١.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	13 /0	0.0
	,,,,,	1	1	1	0.88		5.0
		none reported	0	11 '	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gloundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
			•	••	•	Total	36.18

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 216	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Parksville - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B C	3 2 1	2	1 0.5 0.25	5%	0.0 2.5 0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value	<u> </u>				0.0
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	3 2 1	2	1 0.5 0.25	10%	0.0 5.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 - 5 1 none reported	3 2 1 0	2	1 0.66 0.33 0	15%	0.0 10.0 0.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10 2 – 10 < 2 none reported	3 2 1	1	0.5 0.25 0	5%	0.0 0.0 0.0 1.3
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ² 1 – 5 km ² < 1 km ²	3 2 1	3	1 0.5 0.25	10%	10.0 0.0 0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional) 2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated) none reported	3 2 1 0	2	1 0.5 0.25 0	10%	0.0 5.0 0.0 0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000 500 - 1000 < 500	3 2 1	3	0.5 0.25	10%	10.0 0.0 0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Possible	3	2	0.5	10%	0.0 5.0 0.0
		Unlikely	1	11	0.25	Total	69.35

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 217	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Qualicum - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Ů	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В		2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3		0.25	15%	0.0
١.	Systems Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66	1370	0.0 10.0
	,	1	1	2	0.33		0.0
		none reported	Ö		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
1.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns Reported					10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0		0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	3		1	400/	
	Groundwater		-	3		10%	10.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
1/	Wetersensensensensensensensensensensensensens			H			0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		0.0 5.0
		Unlikely	1	-	0.5		0.0
	1		'	1.1	0.20	Total	68.33

Aquifer Nu	mber: 219	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Nanoose Creek - \	/ I		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
١.	Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66	1370	0.0 10.0
	.,	2-5	1		0.83		0.0
		none reported	o o		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500			0.0		
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 221	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Parksville - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
	raining	С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	3 2 1	1	1 0.5 0.25	10%	0.0 0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation		3		1	10%	
	3	Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	<u> </u>	0.25		0.0
						Total	40.36

Aquifer	Number: 222	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Sorrento / N	otch Hill		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
٥.	Ranking						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						1.3
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	H	0	100/	0.0
п.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.5		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	1	4001	2.5
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	-	3.3
						Total	25.9

Aquifer	Number: 223	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Celista			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II.	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	В	2		0.5	- 77	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value		·			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	2		1	100/	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
	Mall Danait.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3 2	3	Ī	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²			0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.5		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	<u>'</u>	1	400/	2.5
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible Unlikely	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 3.3
				ll 1			

C. AR D. AR E. E: W	Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and	Measure > 50 km² 10 – 50 km² < 10 km² Degree of Development III Vulnerability A B C	3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3	Points Assigned 1	Weighting Factor 1	Maximum Weighting 10%	0.0 0.0 2.5
B. ARR C. ARR D. ARR	Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and	10 – 50 km² < 10 km² Degree of Development I III Vulnerability A B	2 1 3 2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
C. AR D. AR E. E: W	Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and	10 – 50 km² < 10 km² Degree of Development I III Vulnerability A B	3 2 1		0.25	10%	0.0 2.5
C. AR D. AR E. E: W	Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km² Degree of Development III Vulnerability A B	3 2 1		1	10%	2.5
C. AR D. AR E. E: W	Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I II III Vulnerability A B	2	3		10%	
C. AR D. AR E. E: W	Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and	Development I III Vulnerability A B	2	3		10%	10.0
D. ARR	Ranking Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A B	1		0.5		
D. ARR	Ranking Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A B					0.0
D. ARR	Ranking Aquifer Classification and	В		11	0.25		0.0
D. ARR	Aquifer Classification and	В			1	5%	0.0
E. E: W		C	2	2	0.5		2.5
E. E: W			1		0.25		0.0
E. E. W		Ranking Value					
F. N	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
F. N	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	+	1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
3	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0	'	0.55		0.0
G. N	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
In	rrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	oroduction wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H. W	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I. W	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	ssues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
K	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J. E	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
3	Jerved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
16		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
pl	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
re	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3

Aquifer	Number: 228	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Celista			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						1.3
	W # D **	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	U	1	100/	0.0
٥.	Served by Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1		2.5
	planning and future	3 9 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	39.9

Aquifer	Number: 229	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Scotch Creek			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.1
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		4	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		<u> </u>	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s				•		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	<u> </u>	10%	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	, -	2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5	12,73	5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	Possible	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	3	Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 3.3
		Offinicity	'	1 1	0.20	Total	51.4

Aquifer	Number: 230	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Squilax / Ta	ppen		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
	Aifaa Olaasifiastiaa saad	< 10 km ²			4		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	,g	II	2		0.5		0.0
		l III			0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and		3	3	1	5%	2.5
C.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
		C			0.25		
		_	1		5.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
		(24224 3.1.1 242 14313.3)	0 to 2 .		1.0 0.24	070	2.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	111 1 10 1111	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2 1		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		4.0
	c.g 52L/3	none reported	0		0		1.3 0.0
Н.	Well Density		3		1	10%	0.0
	Troil 2 officity	> 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	10 /0	
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		5.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	, , ,	2		0.5	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	<u> </u>	1	100/	
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	I	Unlikely	1	ll 1	0.25	1	3.3

Aquifer	Number: 231	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Tappen			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
			1	1	0.25		0.0
	A - 'f - Ol 'f' f'	< 10 km ²	-	-			2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		
		l III			0.25		5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and		3		1	5%	0.0
C.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		0.5	5%	0.0
		C			0.25		
			1	1			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
		(1.0 0.21	370	2.12
E.	Estimated Current Ground	3 -	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	N	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Cuppiy Cyclomo	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	J. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	•	1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	Doosible	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	- Salation	Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 3.3
		Unincly	<u>'</u>	1	0.20	Total	29.6

Aquifer	r Number: 232	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Tappen			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	 	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	-				1.7
D.	Ranking	Natiking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N	none reported	0		0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_			0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		-		•	10%	0.0
	,	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25 1		2.5
rx.	planning and future	being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	34.9

Aquifer	Number: 234	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Squilax			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
D.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
٥.	Ranking	В	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
	Mall Danath	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3 2		-	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	₀	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	 	1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	₁	0.5		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	1	1	400/	2.5
	planning and future	• .				10%	0.0
						i .	
	regulation	Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 3.3

Aquifer	Number: 235	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Whitecroft			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting	Maximum	Score
				Assigned	Factor	Weighting	
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	2.5 0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		
			'	'			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
		,					
E.	Estimated Current Ground	3	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25 1	15%	2.5 0.0
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	15 /6	0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		,	1	*		Total	26.9

Aquifer	Number: 236	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Chase			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	ranking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. 022/0	none reported	0	o	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	11	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	5	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	55. You by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3		0.25		0.0
r\.	planning and future	being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	43.2

Aquifer	r Number: 237	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Chase			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					117
D.	Ranking	Tranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
	N	none reported	0		0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	`~		'	0.20		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Day 1989	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solitor Sy Croanavator	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
- V	Water manager	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
			•	• •		Total	28.4

lquifer Νι	ımber: 0240	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Vanderhoof - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II III	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	A '' O '' '		1 3	1 3		5%	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	570	5.0 0.0
	. turning	C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	Ü	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
١.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	13 /0	0.0
	,,,,,	1	1		0.83		0.0
		none reported	Ö	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	П	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
				11 '	0.20	Total	25.12

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0242	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Vanderhoof			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	, seriming	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Ose	Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5		5.0 0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	 	none reported	0	0	0	F0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
٥.	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17	Wetersen	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 31.42

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0244	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Vanderhoof - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		ll	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Ose	Low < 32 L/s	1		0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1?	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0		0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	3 2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	3	0	1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	32.62

Aquifer No	umber: 0245	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Vanderhoof - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5		
			1		0.25		5.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of	· ·		0.20		0.0
ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	_	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.23		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.23		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	 	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	.070	
			1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²		1			2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fatimated Danislation Consed by	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	2.22	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	1	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		2.5
			•	**		Total	20.70

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0246	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Vanderhoof - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		· II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25	5 0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	ranking	C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	3.2.3	none reported	0	0	0.23		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	2.5
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	55 (15)	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
- V	Mater management plans:	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 28.44

Aquifer No	umber: 0247	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Vanderhoof - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of					2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25	=0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Kanking	C C	1		0.5		
			1	1	7.27		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	'	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	7 0223		0	0	0.25		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported > 5 km²	3		1	10%	
	1.0 0,		2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	18.20

Aquifer	Number: 251	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Pritchard			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.23		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊑.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	3 /6	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Toportou	1 (isolated)	1	[]	0.25		0.0
	Estimated Description	none reported	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000				10%	0.0
	Solitor Sy Sisteria Mater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	Water mana	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		·				Total	34.6

Aquifer	Number: 252	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Lower South	Thompson Ri	iver	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I			0.5	10 /6	0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
	A		3	1		F0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
	N (5)	none reported	0		0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	'		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	Deer: his	_		0.5	13,0	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		Unlikely	1	Ц 1	0.25	Total	3.3 27.1

Aquifer	Number: 253	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Monte Lake			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
		(based on 7 sub-lactors)	3 10 21		1.0 - 0.24	5 /6	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	3	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25 1	15%	2.5
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	15%	0.0
	Cappi, Cycleme	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	1.9	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	3	Unlikely	1	1	0.5 0.25		3.3
			<u>'</u>	1 1	0.20	Total	23.0

Aquifer	Number: 254	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Os	oyoos Lake to	southwest o	f Tug Lake	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3	3	•	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	16	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.8
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0
	production wells,	1	1	2	0.33		10.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	10%	0.0
0.	Irrigation Wells	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported	0	_	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	1	+	0.25		0.0
	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	76.3

Aquifer	r Number: 255	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: No	orth of Tug Lak	ce to Vaseux	Lake	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3	3	0.5	10%	10.0
			2				0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.23		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	15	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1	2	0.00		10.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.3.	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	ocived by Gibuliuwalei	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
I/	Motor management	< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	73.6

Aquifer	Number 256	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Tes	talinden Cree	k to Reed Cre	ek	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		I -	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
	F-4:	Library CALI	2		4	400/	0.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
	•	-		•	•	Total	35.5

Aquifer	Number: 257	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Me	yers Flat			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of					2.3
	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
		· ·	0 10 2 .		1.0 0.21	0,0	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
' '	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66	1370	10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	_	1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells.	2 – 10 < 2	2 1	2	0.5		5.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	ı		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Oct vou by Orounawater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
1/	\\\	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1	_	0.25		0.0
				•		Total	53.3

Aquife	r Number: 258	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Ric	hter Pass			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	_	1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	No series of					2.5
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value		-			117
ъ.	Ranking	Training value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5	10 /6	5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	_	0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N (D (c.)	none reported	0	0	0	400/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2	2	0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	2	0.25		5.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
	Mall Danaite	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		="	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	roportou	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
11.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	30.7

ltem	Description	Type: Unconsolidated Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting	Maximum	Score
iteiii		Measure	Foilit Scale	Assigned	Factor	Weighting	30016
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Nanking		2	2	0.5	.070	5.0
		III	1	2	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	0.0 5.0
C.	Ranking	Vullerability A B	2	3	0.5	3 /0	0.0
					0.25		
		С	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
		(**************************************				- 77	
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N	none reported	0	_	0	400/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3	3	1 0.5	10%	10.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	ı.		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	. 3,0	0.0
		< 500 - 1000 < 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	5%	
	planning and future	5 .	-	_		5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25	Total	0.0 80.8

quife	r Number 261	Type: Unconsolidated					
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
B.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of					2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5	- 7.5	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Tranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	10%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Octivou by Orounawater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
V	Water management	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	ely 1 0.25		0.0		
						Total	40.1

Aquife	r 262	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Wh	nite Lake Basi	n 35 km sout	h of Pentictor	1
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	i talling	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
٥.	Ranking	В	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
			ļ				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	.0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
Н.	Mall Danait.	none reported	3	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	-	3	•	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	33.8

Aquifer	Number: 264	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Oka	anagan Falls a	nd east of Ok	anagan Falls	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			_		2.5
υ.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
		(based on 7 sub-lactors)	3 (0 2)		1.0 - 0.24	370	2.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3		0.25	15%	0.0
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66	15%	0.0 10.0
	- app., -, -,	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	3	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Joer ved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
	-	Unlikely	1	0.3	0.0		
	1	· · · · ·	<u> </u>	1		Total	55.1

Tem Description Measure Point Scale Points Meighting Maximum Score Assigned Factor Meighting Maximum Score Assigned Factor Meighting Maximum Meighting Meighting Meighting Maximum Meighting Maximum Meighting Maximum Meighting Maximum Meighting Maximum Meighting Meighting Maximum Meighting Meigh	Aquifer	r Number: 265	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Bet	ween OK Falls	s and Vaseux	Lake	
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Development 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale				Score
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value Aquifer Classification and Ranking Berlin Berlin	A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
Ranking				2		0.5		
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development I 2 2 0.5 5.0 0.0				1	1	0.25		
Ranking	В	Aguifor Classification and						2.5
III	D.			3		1	10%	0.0
III			II	2	2	0.5		5.0
C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 B 2 C D.5 2 0.5 D.5 3.5 D.5 D.5 D.5 D.5 D.5 D.5 D.5 D.5 3.5 D.5 D.5 D.5 D.5 D.5 D.5 D.5 D.5 D.5 D			III		_	0.25		
Ranking	С	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A			1	5%	
D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 11 1.0 − 0.24 5% 2.6	٥.		,		2		0,70	
Ranking			С	1		0.25		0.0
E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s 1 0.25 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	D.		Ranking Value					
Water Use		Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
Low < 32 L/s	E.		High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		Water Use						
Supply Systems					1			
1	F.						15%	
Number of Reported		Supply Systems	-		2			
G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s			<u> </u>	· ·				
Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	G.	Number of Reported				_	10%	
e.g. > 32L/s		Irrigation and large	2 – 10		2	0.5		
H. Well Density		production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 1 - 5 km² 2 2 0.5 0.25 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 I. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater 2 to 3 (local) 1 0.25 0.0 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 Soo - 1000 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 Possible regulation Possible Unlikely 2 2 0.5 0.5 0.0		e.g. > 32L/8	none reported	0		0		
1 - 5 km² 2 2 0.5 5.0	H.	Well Density		3		1	10%	
Nater Quantity & Quality Sale (regional) Sale (squantity & Quality Sale (squantity & Quality & Quality & Quality Sale (squantity & Quality			¥	2	2	0.5		
I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 Reported 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 Soo - 1000 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 Possible regulation 2 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 Unlikely 1 0.25 0.5 0.0				1	_	0.25		
Issues/Concerns 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.0	I.	Water Quantity & Quality		3		1	10%	
Reported		Issues/Concerns	`			0.5		
Note that the policy is a series of the po		Reported	` ,	1				
Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0			, , , , ,	0	0	0		0.0
No.	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 Possible unlikely 2 2 0.5 2.5 Unlikely 1 0.25 0.0		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
planning and future regulation Possible 2 2 0.5			< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
Unlikely 1 0.25 0.0	K.	planning and future	0.	-		-	5%	
7		regulation			2			
			Unlikely	1	<u> </u>	0.25	T	

AQUIFER 265 Appendix L

Aquife	r Number 266	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Sta	afford Creek s	outhwest of F	Penticton	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3		-	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	•	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	10%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	10%	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		
	e.g. > 52L/5	none reported	0		0		2.5 0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1	_	0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	1.7 22.7

Aquife	r Number: 267	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Shi	ngle Creek			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1 1	1	0.25		
	Aifa Ola - aifi - ati - a . a	< 10 km ²					2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
	A - 'f - Ol 'f I' I	_	'				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
			_				
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1	10%	0.0
	Water Ose	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	1	1	15%	0.0
• •	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	2.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	3	Unlikely	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 1.7
	_1	i Orninciy	'	1	0.20	Total	31.8

Aquifer	Number: 270	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Elli	s Creek			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aguifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of					2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		ı ı	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	070	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
	Aifa Ola a a ifi a a ti a a a	Danking Makes	'				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
	F !!	15.1.0417				100/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Water 555	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	·	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
	N to (D to 1	none reported	0		0	400/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	2	0.5		5.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
	Mall Danate	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3 2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25	100/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5	10 /0	0.0 5.0
		< 500	1	_	0.5		0.0
K.	Water management		3		1	5%	0.0
	planning and future	Being planned				5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5	Total	0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7 37.0

Aquifer	Number: 271	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North Thomp	son River flo	odplain	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /6	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	H	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
- 11	Mall Danath	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.5		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	1	1	400/	2.5
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	T-4-1	3.3
						Total	28.5

Aquifer	Number: 277	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Davidson Cre	eek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
	-	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Training value					
	-	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
∟.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	H	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/S	nana ranartad	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	1	10%	0.0 10.0
	TVOII Bolloky	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10 /6	
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	 	1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	10%	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-3	Unlikely	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 3.3
		O'IIII(O')	'	11 1	0.20	Total	35.5

quifer	Number: 278	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Peterson Cre	ek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2			F0/	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0		0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	ocived by Giodilawatei	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14	100	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.5

Aquifer	Number: 279	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Buse Lake			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		-	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1 2
	0.g. • 02270	none reported	0		0		1.3 0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		< 1 km ²	1	-	0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
-	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1			3.3
		-				Total	23.2

Aquifer	Number: 280	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	2 km west of	Barnhartvale	e	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	В	2		0.5	- 77	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value		<u> </u>			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s		•				0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	0	10%	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2] 3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
	Mata - Our atit - 0 Our lite	< 1 km ²				400/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	o	0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1.570	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	D	_		0.5	1070	0.0
	regulation	Possible Unlikely	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 3.3
		Unlikely	1	1	0.20	Total	29.4

Aquifer	Number: 281	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Paul Lake			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of		1	1		2.5
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	₁	0.66 0.33		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	o.g. 0223	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	-	1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
			•	• •		Total	34.4

Aquifer	Number: 282	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Kamloops Ai	rport		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3		·	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. * 022/0	none reported	0	o	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	"	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	 	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
·						Total	38.7

Aquifer	r Number: 283	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North Thom	son River no	rth of Kamloo	ps
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	H	1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/3	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density		3		1	10%	0.0
		> 5 km ² 1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
			1		0.25		
	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	H	1	10%	0.0
١.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
_						Total	48.5

Aquifer	Number: 284	per: 284 Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Pulpmill sout	thwest of Kan	nloops	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_					0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	rtoportou	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0	4001	0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater				•	10%	0.0
	_	500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	0.25		2.5
13.	planning and future	Don's plantica				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.5

Aquife	Number: 285	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Campbell Cre	eek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
		(based on 7 sub-lactors)	3 (0 2 1		1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	H	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s						1.3
Н.	Mall Danait.	none reported	3	_	0		0.0
П.	Well Density	> 5 km ²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Devolation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Gloundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-		Total	32.0

Aquifer	r Number: 286	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Lower South	Thompson R	iver	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
L.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5	10 /0	5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	376	0.0 2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		2.3
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
	W !! D !!	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	1	0.25		2.5
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	H	0	400/	0.0
0.	Served by Groundwater				•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0 0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	1		0.0
• • •	planning and future	203 p.s03				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	48.9

Aquifer	Number: 287	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Heffley and I	dward Creek	confluence	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting	Maximum	Score
				Assigned	Factor	Weighting	
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		"	2	2	0.25	ļ	5.0
			1				0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	Į.		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	6.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	3 3	Unlikely	1	1	0.5 0.25		3.3
		Offlikely	<u>'</u>	1 1	0.20	Total	29.4

Aquifer	Number: 288	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Louis Creek			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
∟.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0 1	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_					0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
,	Estimated Dec. 1989	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17	NA/-1	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
			•			Total	22.1

Aquifer	Number: 289	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Westwold, S	almon River V	/alley	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
	A 'f Ola 'f t'	< 10 km ²					0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
O.	Ranking	Vullerability A B	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
		C	1		0.25		
			'				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Marking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	3	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66	1370	10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		0.0 5.0
	Ĭ	Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
			L	1.1	1	Total	45.4

lquifer	Number: 290	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Dixon and Sa	argent Creek	Valleys	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
	F. ii . i . i . o . i o . i	111 1 2414				100/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Water Osc	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
• •	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	o.g. • 02270	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	 	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
T.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	-	1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	-	1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	5 ".				1370	0.0
	progulation	Possible	2	11	0.5	İ	0.0
		Unlikely	1	_	0.25		3.3

Aquife	r Number: 292	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Louis Creek	and North The	ompson confli	uence
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of		1	1		2.3
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5	50/	5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	_	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s						1.3
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	H	0	100/	0.0
п.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	. toportou	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0	100/	0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater				•	10%	0.0
	,	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
IX.	planning and future	Deing planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		·				Total	42.0

Aquife	r Number: 293	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North Thomp	oson		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of		1	1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	, .	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1 none reported	1 0		0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	H	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s						1.3
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3		0	400/	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²		1			2.5
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0		0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	H "	1	400/	0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater					10%	0.0
	,	500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
11.	planning and future	Doing planted			·	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	39.7

Aquifer	Number: 294	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Lower Barrie	re River Valle	ey .	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		5.0
		< 10 km ²	•				0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	I			0.5		
		l III	2	2	0.25		5.0
	A ''. Ol ''.		1			50/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	ranking	В			0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
		(based on 7 sub-lactors)	5 10 21	''	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		1	5%	0.0
Ο.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	070	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		2.0
	e.g. > 32L/s		_		_		0.0
	Mall Danaite	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater				•	10%	0.0
	,	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	3	1	0.25		2.5
ĸ.	planning and future	Being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		-	•	• •	•	Total	36.0

Aquifer	Number: 295	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Christian Cre	ek Valley		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		-	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	 	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	_					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/S		0				0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	0	0	10%	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.25		5.0
	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ²			1	10%	0.0
l.	Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3 2			10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	<u> </u>	1	10%	
	planning and future		_			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 23.2

Aquifer	Number: 296	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Little Fort			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ivaliking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	H	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	376	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
- 11	Wall Danait.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		•	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
٠.	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		< 500	1	₁	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	1	4007	2.5
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	T	3.3
						Total	30.5

\quifer	Number 297	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Su	mmerland Tro	ut Creek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	_	1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	g	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Supply Systems	2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	-	1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Jos. voa by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
r.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7

Aquife	r Number 299	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Fau	ılder (Meadow	(Valley)		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of					2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	В	2		0.5	0,70	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	,	•			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
	E. C. and J. O.	115 to 04 to			4	400/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	2	0.5 0.25		5.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
	Estimated Demolation	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Convocably Crountamator	500 - 1000	2	1	0.5		2.5
I/	\/\ata_===================================	< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1	_	0.25		0.0
	•			•		Total	44.0

Aquife	r Number 301	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Sha	nnon Lake			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of					2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
F	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	2		1	100/	0.0
E.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	10%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	10%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s	none renerted	0		0		2.5
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	1	10%	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
ı.	Issues/Concerns		2		· ·	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	5%	
	planning and future regulation	1				3 /0	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2 1		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely		1	0.25	Total	1.7 41.5

-	r Number 302	Type: Unconsolidated					
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.23		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
		(54554 5.1.1 545 1451515)	0.02.		1.0 0.21	370	
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
_	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25 1	15%	2.5
F.	Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
	oupply dystems	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	,	1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	.0,0	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Total	29.0

Item	Description						
	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
B.	Aguifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of					2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
G.	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	'				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
	J	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
	F. C	III a OAL /a				400/	2.2
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Trate: 000	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N	none reported	0		0	400/	0.0
	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
	Mall Danath	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported	3	0	1	10%	0.0
٥.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	-			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management			'			2.3
	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	1.7 36.1

-	r Number 306	Type: Unconsolidated				lt. Boucher	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aguifer Classification and				1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
		(50000 0.1.1 005 1001010)	0.02.		1.0 0.21	370	1.5
E.	Estimated Current Ground	5	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	4	0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
١.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	13 /0	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		2.5
	1.9.	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Colved by Cloundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17	10/11	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7 25.2
		,	ı			Total	

Aquifer	Number: 307	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Malakwa			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	c.g 022/0	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
•	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
			1	1.1	1	Total	61.4

Aquife	r Number: 309	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Sica	amous		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	400/	-
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
	A 15 OL 15 U		1			5 0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0
	ranking	В			0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10,70	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	Dec-!hi-			0.5	10,0	0.0
	- Squidton	Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 3.3
		Offlikely	1 1]] 1	0.20	Total	38.0

Aquifer	Number 310	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Cro	eighton Valley	•		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of					2.5
٥.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
		,	0 10 21		1.0 0.24	0,0	1.5
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	4	0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	production wells.	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	'		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Catimated Danulation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
r\.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	21.1

Aquifer	r Number: 311	per: 311 Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Cherryville			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I			0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
	Aifa a Olas aifi a ati a a a a d		1			5 0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	. tanning	C			0.25		
		_	1	1	0.20		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	H	1	10%	0.0
L.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Devices	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Gloundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14	W/-t	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	24.6

quifer	Number 314	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Lun	nby			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aguifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of					2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5	- 77	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Kanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0 /	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1	1	0.88		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		2.5
	1.9	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Joer ved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
17		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7 35.7

Aquifer	Number: 315	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Besette Creek Southwest of Lumby					
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score	
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0	
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0	
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5	
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0	
	ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0	
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0	
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0	
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0	
		С	1		0.25		0.0	
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value						
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4	
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0	
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5	
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0	
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0	
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0 0.0	
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0	
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	1070	0.0	
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0	
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0	
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0	
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0	
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0	
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0	
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0	
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0	
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Oct vou by Gloundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0	
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5	
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0	
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5	
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0	
						Total	37.4	