Aquife	r Number 316	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Lur	nby			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	A suifer Classification and	< 10 km ²					0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ū	. II	2		0.5		0.0
		111	- 1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	2.5 5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	Ũ	0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and						0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
						400/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	2	0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	0		0	10%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	3 2	2	0.5	10%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	2	0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	1	10%	
	Wein Density	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10 /6	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	- 1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1078	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	5%	
	planning and future regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2	2	0.5		0.0
	1		'	1	0.20	Total	57.1

Aquife	r Number 317	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Lur	nby			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ²					0.0
В.	Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
	5	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	<u>2.5</u> 0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
	A swifes Olassification and	Denking Makes	,	,			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Water Obe	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	2	2	0.25		5.0 0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 - 10	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	2	2	0.5		5.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_					0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported	0	0	0	100/	0.0
υ.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2 1		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500			0.25		0.0
	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	53.8

Aquife	r Number 318	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: No	rtheast of Lun	ıby		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
			1		0.25		
		< 10 km ²	-				0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
					0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and		1 3	1	1	5%	2.5 0.0
0.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	U U	C			0.25		
		_	1	1			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
		()		-		0,0	
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.504	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	C.g. 7 522/5	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
<u> </u> .	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	+	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	-	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	5%	
	planning and future regulation					0,0	0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2 1	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
	I	Uniikely	I	I	0.20	Total	0.0 33.8

Aquife	r Number: 319	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: No	rth of Lumby			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		2.5
	e.g. > 52L/5	none reported	0		0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	-	0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
		•				Total	42.6

quifer Nı	umber: 0321	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Birken - Lower Ma	ainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
-			-	Assigned			
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking		2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	2.5 5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	Ŭ	0.5	070	0.0
		C	1		0.25		
		C	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		
	USE OF COLOR	_	-				0.0
	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
Н.	wen Density	> 5 km²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3	11	1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		Chinkely	1 '		0.20	Total	2.5

Aquifer Nu	quifer Number: 0322 Type: Unconsolidated			D'Arcy - Lower M	ainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²		1			2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1 3	1	0.25	5%	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
		C	1		0.25		
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	
1.	Systems	-	2			1570	0.0
		2 – 5 1	1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	ů	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1	-	0.25		
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns Reported					10 /6	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	3	U	0		0.0
0.	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	22.14

Aquife	r Number: 323	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Seton Portag	e		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	i taining	С		2	0.25		
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
_		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0
		2 - 5	1	2	0.00		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Develotion	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	.	0.5		0.0
K	Water management	< 500 Poing planned	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	40.5

Aquife:	r Number: 324	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Lillooet			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
		,					
E.	Estimated Current Ground	5	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	4.50/	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	C.g. 7 02L/3	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
	· · · ·	25 km^2	2	2	0.5	10,0	5.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1	2	0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	Ĭ	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
	1		1	11 1	0.20	Total	44.6

Aquifer	r Number: 325	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Lillooet			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	_	1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					010
D.	Ranking						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
с.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. + 0223	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		> 5 km²	2	5	0.5	1070	
			1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns					10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	100/	
0.	Served by Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Roing planned	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
n.	planning and future	Being planned	3			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
	1		•			Total	43.2

quifer Nu	umber: 0326	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Pemberton - Low	er Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		5.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km Degree of Development I	3			100/	0.0
υ.	Ranking	II			1	10%	
			2		0.5		0.0 2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and		1 3	1 3	0.25	5%	2.5
С.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	0	0.5	070	0.0
	. cag	C			0.25		
		0	1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Systems					15%	0.0
	Gyotomo	2 – 5 1	2		0.66		0.0
		none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	3	1	5%	5.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 - 10	2	Ŭ	0.5	0,0	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
	1	Chintory		++	0.20	Total	30.60

quifer N	quifer Number: 0327 Type: Unconsolidated			Prince George - S	OP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ⁻					2.5
υ.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
•••	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	,	2-5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25	_	2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	27.14

quifer Nu	umber: 0328	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Prince George - S	OP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5	1070	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	I	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		0.25	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	022.0	none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3	0	1	10%	
			2		0.5	1070	0.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fatimated Danulation Correct here	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	future regulation					1070	0.0
		Possible	2	.	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 20.70

quifer Nu	umber: 0329	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Prince George - S	OP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²		-			5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I II	2		0.5	1078	0.0
			1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	2.5 0.0
0.	Ranking	Vulherability A B	2		0.5	070	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		
		_	I	I			1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	
1.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	,	2-5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Weil Density	> 5 km²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 20.47

uifer Nu	umber: 0330	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Prince George - S	OP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of					2.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5		0.0
	- 0223		1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
1	Water Quantity and Quality	< 1 km > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
I.	Issues/Concerns Reported					10%	0.0
	issues, concerns reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		Ormitory		11 '	0.20	Total	20.70

quifer N	umber:0331	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Prince George - S	OP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ⁻ Degree of	•				2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	6		2		0.5		0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
			'	-			1.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0	=0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 = 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		
	012.0						0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	-			10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	1		0.5		
K	Weter meneroment planting and			1			2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		C	· ·		0.20	Total	20.70

quifer N	umber: 0332	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Prince George - S	OP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		-
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		1
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1		0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported						0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	~ 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2	1	0.5		2.5
	-	UTIIKEly			0.20	Total	31.18
	1					iotai	1 01.10

quifer N	umber: 0333	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Hixon - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	I	-		0.5	1076	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25	=0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Oregonal Wester Oursely	Low < 32 L/s	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5			1	15%	0.0
	Oystems	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	~ 1000	3			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	ssible 2 0.5	0.5		0.0	
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		· · · · · ·	·	••	·	Total	32.38

Aquifer N	umber 0334	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Hixon - SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1078	
		10 – 50 km²			0.05		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		l	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1	_	0.25		
<u> </u>	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported		2		0.5	1070	0.0
		2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water meneroment planting and	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	Ŭ	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
	1					Total	24.64

quifer N	umber: 0335	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Hixon			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1		0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	× 52L/3	< 2	1 0	1	0.25 0		1.3 0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	3		1	400/	
	Wein Denoty	> 5 km²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	27.43

quifer Nu	umber: 0336	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Hixon - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	r taining	Ш	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25	E 0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1	_	0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	
	Issues/Concerns Reported						0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Danulation Convertieur	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
	+	Crimitery	- I	++ '	0.20	Total	20.47

Aquife	r Number: 337	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Timothy Lake			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	43.0

quifer Nu	umber: 0338	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	McLeod Lake - SC)P		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	0.25	15%	
••	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	10/10	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	U UZEIU		0	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	3	0	1	400/	
11.	Weir Density	> 5 km²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 20.70

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0340	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East of Vanderho	of / North of Prince Geo	orge - SOP	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking		2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1 1	1	5%	5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
	ů – Č	C	1		0.25		
		C	I				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 32L/3	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by		3	-	1	100/	
	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
<u> </u>		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		Offinitory		++ '	0.20	Total	29.17

quifer Nu	mber: 0341	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East of Vanderhoo	f / North of Prince Georg	e - SOP	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I			1		
	Ranking		3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.0		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Kanking	C	1	-	0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	13%	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	none reported > 1000	3	0	1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 21.90

Aquifer N	umber: 0342	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East of Vanderho	of / North of Prince Ge	orge - SOP	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	C C		2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 - 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3		1		2.5
	future regulation		Ŭ			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	24.88

Aquifer N	umber: 0343	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East of Vanderho	of / North of Prince Ge	orge - SOP	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		-
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		5.0 0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25	F 0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Kanking	С			0.25		
		C	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
••	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	-	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
				0			0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0	400/	
	Weil Denoty	> 5 km²	2		-	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	~ 1000	5			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	25.70

Aquife	r Number: 344	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Ell	ison Lake to V	Vood Lake		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
B.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of					2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Ŭ	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊑.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	2	0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Number of Reported	none reported	03		0	10%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	2	0.25		5.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2 1		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500			0.25		0.0
13.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25	_	0.0
						Total	65.6

Aquife	r Number 345	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Oya	ama			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ²					2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ū	. II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	Ũ	0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
	U U	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
			2		4	100/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	2	0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	10%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	10,0	5.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.y. > 32L/S	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	Ū.	0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
K.	Water management	< 500	1		0.25		0.0
n.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	53.3

Aquife	r Number: 346	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Ka	lamalka Lake	to Vernon		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
	A suifer Oleasification and	< 10 km ²	-	-			2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
			2		0.5		0.0
					0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3	3	1	5%	0.0 5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	5	0.5	570	0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	i taniting	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	5	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
1.	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66	1570	10.0
		1	1	-	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	5%	
	planning and future regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2	2	0.5		2.5 0.0
		OTTIKETy	1	1	0.20	Total	53.1

Aquife	r Number: 347	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Ok	anagan Landi	ng		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²		•	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Ranking	II	-	· ·	0.5		
			2		0.25		0.0
_			1				0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
		(Dased OIT 7 Sub-lacions)	5 10 2 1	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.y. > 52L/3	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	Ŭ	0.5	1070	0.0
			1		0.25		
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	- 1	_	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	5%	
	planning and future regulation	0.				570	0.0
		Possible	2 1	1	0.5		0.0
	1	Unlikely	I	1	0.25	Total	1.7 51.2

quife	r Number: 348	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Jus	t north of Ver	non to north	of Swan Lake	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2	2	0.5	1070	
		III	1	2	0.25		5.0 0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 - 5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	control by croundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely 1 1	0.25		1.7		

C. A R D. A E. E		Measure > 50 km² 10 – 50 km² < 10 km² Degree of Development I III Vulnerability A B C Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	Point Scale 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 5 to 21	Points Assigned 2 2 1	Weighting Factor 1 0.5 0.25 1 0.5 0.25 1 0.5 0.25 1 0.5 0.25	Maximum Weighting 10% 10% 5%	Score 0.0 5.0 0.0 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.7
B. A R C. A R D. A R E. E	Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking	10 – 50 km ² <pre>< 10 km²</pre> Degree of Development II III Vulnerability A B C Ranking Value	2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1	2	0.5 0.25 1 0.5 0.25 1 0.5	10%	5.0 0.0 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
C. A R D. A E. E	Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking	10 – 50 km ² <pre>< 10 km²</pre> Degree of Development II III Vulnerability A B C Ranking Value	1 3 2 1 3 2 1	2	0.25 1 0.5 0.25 1 0.5	10%	5.0 0.0 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
C. A R D. A E. E	Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking	< 10 km ² Degree of Development I I II Vulnerability A B C Ranking Value	3 2 1 3 2 1		1 0.5 0.25 1 0.5		0.0 0.0 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
C. A R D. A E. E	Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I II Vulnerability A B C Ranking Value	2 1 3 2 1		0.5 0.25 1 0.5		0.0 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
C. A R D. A R E. E	Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking	II III Vulnerability A B C Ranking Value	2 1 3 2 1		0.5 0.25 1 0.5		5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
D. A R E. E	Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking	III Vulnerability A B C Ranking Value	1 3 2 1		0.25 1 0.5	5%	0.0 0.0 0.0
D. A R E. E	Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B C Ranking Value	3 2 1	1	1 0.5	5%	0.0 0.0
D. A R E. E	Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking	B C Ranking Value	2 1	1	0.5	5%	0.0
D. A R E. E	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	C Ranking Value	1	1			
E. E	Ranking	Ranking Value		1	0.25		1.7
E. E	Ranking	-	5 to 21				
E. E	C C	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21				
	stimated Current Ground		5 10 2 1	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
	Sumaleu Guneni Giounu	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
V	Vater Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
S	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G. N	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	10%	0.0 0.0
	rrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	_	0.25		0.0
	·	none reported	0		0		0.0
H. W	Vell Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
	Vater Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	ssues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
R	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J. E	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
3	Screed by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
pl	Vater management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
re	egulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	1.7 47.7

Aquife	r Number: 352	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Col	dstream Valle	y E of Laving	ton to W of L	umby
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			4		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3	2	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	2	0.66 0.33		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	C.g. 7 02L/3	none reported	0		0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	49.3

Aquife	r Number: 353	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: SE	of Armstrong			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1	1	0.25		
		< 10 km ²	-	-			2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
			2		0.5		0.0
					0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3	3	1	5%	0.0 5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	5	0.5	570	0.0
		C	-		0.25		
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Канкіну	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Number of One and Motor	Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	450/	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0 10.0
	oupply oystems	2 - 5	1	2	0.00		0.0
		none reported	0		0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	C.g. 7 02L/3	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	· ·	0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		
<u> </u> .	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	- 1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	5%	
	planning and future regulation	0.				570	0.0
		Possible	2 1	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	Ĩ		0.25	Total	0.0 55.8

quife	r Number: 354	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: O'K	eefe Valley a	nd Grandview	Flats	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	INdinking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	03		0	10%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	10%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	2	0.25		5.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerveu by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	49.8

Aquife	r Number 356	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Mo	uth of Deep C	reek		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1	1	0.25		
		< 10 km ²	-	-			2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		111			0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3	1	1	5%	2.5 0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	570	2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
		_	1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	i kanning	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
			_				
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	J	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_		I I			2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	1	0.25 0		2.5
J.	Estimated Population	none reported	-		-	400/	0.0
0.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	500 - 1000 < 500	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management			1			2.3
	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	25.8

Aquife	r Number 357	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Wh	iteman Creek	Fan		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
B.	A suifer Oleasification and	< 10 km ²					2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
				2	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1	3	1	5%	0.0 5.0
0.	Ranking	Vullierability A B	2	5	0.5	570	0.0
		C	1		0.25		
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	5	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
1.	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66	1370	10.0
		1	-	-	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1	1	0.25		2.5
	ů	none reported	0		0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Scrved by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	planning and future	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
					1	Total	39.3

Aquife	r Number 358	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Fin	try Fan, Short	Creek		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I		0	0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
	A muifer Oleanification and		1	3		5 0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0
	i taintiig	В			0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
_		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2	2	0.5		5.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Scree by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	41.8

Aquife	r Number: 359	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Quesnel, we	st side of Fras	er River	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		<u> </u>	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0		0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	63.8

Aquife	r Number: 360	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West of Bou	chie Lake, nor	thwest of Qu	esnel
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > $3L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	-	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.2

Aquife	r Number: 361	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East of Milbu	rn Lake and I	NW of Quesne	el
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2		0.25	5.0
			1			50/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В		2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	o.g. 0110	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	_	0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.5

Aquife	r Number: 362	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North of Que	snel and sout	th of Strathna	ver
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В		2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	1	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Deviation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	27.1

Aquife	r Number: 363	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	NW of Quesr	el and north	of Bouchie La	ke
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	i taining	С			0.25		
			1	1			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
_		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	.	0.5		0.0
K	Water menagement	< 500 Roing planned	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	23.2

Aquife	r Number: 364	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	NW of Quesr	nel and west o	of Moose Heig	hts
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.20		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.501	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	oupply bystems	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	21.7

quifer	Number: 365	Type: Unconsolidated		-	el and E shor	eline of Bouc	hie L
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	-		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
C.	Aguifer Classification and		1 3		1	5%	0.0
С.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	0	C	1	1	0.25		1.7
			Į.	<u> </u>			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Kanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	0 3	3	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	3	0.5	5%	5.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. 0_20	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		•	•		•	Total	52.4

quife	r Number: 366	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Northwest of	Northwest of Quesnel and east of Bouchie L				
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score		
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0		
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0		
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5		
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1				
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0		
			2	2			5.0		
		III	1		0.25		0.0		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0		
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0		
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7		
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value							
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9		
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0		
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0		
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5		
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0		
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0		
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0		
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	<u> </u>	1	5%	0.0		
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0		
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0		
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0		
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0		
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0		
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0		
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0		
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0		
	Scree by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0		
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5		
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0		
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0		
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3		

Aquife	r Number: 367	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	NW of Quesn	el		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3		~ -	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	J	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	_	0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.2

Aquife	r Number: 369	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	2 km north o	2 km north of Quesnel Airport				
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score		
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	_	1	10%	0.0		
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0		
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1				
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0		
			2	2			5.0		
		III	1		0.25		0.0		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0		
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0		
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7		
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value							
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9		
E.	Estimated Current Cround	Llineh > C/L/o	2		1	10%	0.0		
⊑.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0		
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5		
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0		
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0		
		1	1		0.33		0.0		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0		
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0		
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0		
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0		
	°	none reported	0	0	0		0.0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0		
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0		
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0		
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0		
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0		
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0		
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0		
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0		
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5		
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0		
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0		
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3		
			•			Total	29.4		

Aquife	r Number: 370	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Area west of	Quesnel		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	170/	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	3	1 0.66	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Deputation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3		0.25		0.0
	planning and future		Ŭ			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	67.9

quifer	Number: 371	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East of Ques	nel Airport an	d S of Ten Mi	le L.
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	570	0.0
	5	C			0.25		
			1	1	0.20		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1	-	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
			L .	LL *		Total	43.6

quife	r Number: 372	Type: Unconsolidated	Type: Unconsolidated Location:	Northwest o	f Ten Mile Lak	e, Quesnel	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	i taining	B C			0.25		0.0
		-	1	1			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	Ű	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Screed by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3

Aquifer Nı	umber: 0373	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Ft. St. James at m	outh of Stuart Lk - SO	P	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area		3	Assigned	1		
		> 50 km ²				10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of					0.0
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	3	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		2.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	15	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		-	1	-	0.25		5.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ciouidwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	2	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.5		2.5
	+	Offinkery		11 1	0.20	Total	36.07

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0376	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Ft. St. James / So	outh of Stuart Lk Shorel	ine - SOP	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
А.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		11	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1 3		0.25	5%	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	576	0.0
	Ŭ	c	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5		0.0
					0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Weil Density	> 5 km ²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater		3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
К.	Water management planning and		3	1	0.25		2.5
ĸ.	future regulation	Being planned	3			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	27.73

quifer No	umber: 0377	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Ft. St. James wes	t of Stuart Lk - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	I	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
		-	· ·	<u> </u>			1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Systems					1370	0.0
	Cyclonic	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater		3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		· · ·	•		•	Total	22.97

quifer Nu	umber: 0378	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Ft. St. James East	of Stuart River - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2	2	0.5	10 /0	0.0
			1	2	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
С.	Ranking	Vulherability A B	2		0.5	070	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		
		_	I	I			1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	5	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.2
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
1.	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	,	2-5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
11.	Well Density	> 5 km²		3	-	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
						1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 27.49

Aquifer	Number: 379	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Horsefly			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
υ.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	10 001	50/	1 7
		(Dased OIT 7 Sub-laciols)	51021	/	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
	1		· ·	11 1		Total	41.7

Aquifer	r Number: 380	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	14 km North	of Williams L	ake	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
Β.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	-		0.5	10 %	0.0
			2	1	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	2.5 0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Orever d Mater	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	oupply oystems	2-5	1	1	0.88		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	24.4

-	r Number: 381	Type: Unconsolidated			e 30 km nortl		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	°	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	J J	0.5	10,0	0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
	1					Total	42.4

Aquife	r Number: 382	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Soda Creek 2	26 km north o	f Williams La	ke
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	0		1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
			1	1 3		50/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0
	5	C	1		0.25		
			I				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	- / -	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.5

Aquife	r Number: 383	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Dugan Lake	7 km north of	150 Mile Hou	ise
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
		С		2	0.25		
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	i kunking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	<u> </u>	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Deviation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water menagement	< 500 Reing planned	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	25.5

Aquife	r Number: 384	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	150 Mile Hoເ	ise		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
υ.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊑.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	2	0.25		5.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0	=0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	Ũ	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	57.1

quifer N	umber: 0385	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	4.5 Km SW of Mc	Kenzie - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5	10,0	
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		5.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of					0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	
Γ.	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1376	0.0
	,	2 - 5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
11.	Weil Density	> 5 km ²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 29.16

uifer Nu	imber: 0387	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Whistler - Lower I	Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1078	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.		Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	0.0
••	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
							0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0	100/	
	Wein Denoty	> 5 km ²	-	3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	1051	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 30.36

Aquifer No	umber: 0388	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	SW of Green Lake	e - Lower Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	Accigned	1	400/	
			0		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking		2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
			1	2	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
			· · ·				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Kanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3	12	1.0 - 0.24	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
	Weter Overfitzend C		0			400/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3	11	1		
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
	Ì			••		Total	25.36

ulfer Nu	umber: 0389	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Whistler - Lower I	Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	C C	II 	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	150/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5		0.0
	~ 32E/S		1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		*				Total	22.19

quifer No	umber:0390	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West side of Gree	en Lake - Lower Mainlaı	nd	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	11 -	0.25		0.0
	1			1.1		Total	41.85

Aquifer N	umber: 0393	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Whistler Creek- L	ower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	g	1	10%	
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1376	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3		1		2.5
13.	future regulation		Ŭ			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		č				Total	30.36

quifer Nu	umber: 0394	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Sport Creek / Whi	stler - Lower Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	. canting	II III	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	~ 32E/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3	Ť	1	400/	
	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
			· ·			Total	26.67

quifer Number: 0395 Type: Unconsolidated Item Description Measure		Location:	West of Alpha La	ke - Lower Mainland			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1078	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.		Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	2	0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		
			1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	0	1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1			2.5	
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 37.86

quifer N	umber: 0396	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cheekye Fan - Lo	wer Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.		Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	11	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3	1	0.25		2.5
Γ.	future regulation	being plaimed	3			10%	
	, v	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
					·	Total	29.64

quifer Nu	umber: 0397	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Powerhouse - Lov	wer Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1076	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.		Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Ш	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	Ŭ	0.5	1070	
			- 1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²	I		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fatimated Danulation Converting	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	future regulation					1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 27.14

quifer N	umber: 0398	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Mamquam Valley	- Lower Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		Ш	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
1.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
	- ,	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Weil Density	> 5 km ²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	future regulation					1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 24.64

quifer N	umber: 0399	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Squamish River -	Lower Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranning		2		0.5		0.0
0			1 3	1 3	0.25	5%	
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
	ranning	C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	
	,	-	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		•			·	Total	26.13

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0400	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Squamish/Cheaka	amus/Cheekye - Lower	Mainland	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5	1070	
			1		0.25		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25	F 0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	i kunning	С	1	_	0.25		0.0
							0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1	0	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.23		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	0	1	10%	
			2		0.5	1078	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
0.	Groundwater		-			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water management planning and	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		· · ·		**	+	Total	25.36

Aquifer No	umber: 0401	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North along Chea	kamus Drive - Lower M	lainland	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.05		0.0
		< 10 km ²		1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	i kanning	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
	Ranking	B C			0.5		
			1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	_	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and		3		1		2.0
	future regulation		-			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	33.10

Aquifer N	umber:0402	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Stawamis River V	alley / Squamish - Low	er Mainland	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1070	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Ш	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 = 10 < 2	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5	10,0	
		-	1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²		1			2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
. .	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
V	Motor monogor t - l	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Possible			0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
	1	Chintery	'	++	0.20	Total	21.90

quifer Nı	umber: 0403	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Shannon Falls - L	ower Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1076	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.		Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		2.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
1.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1376	0.0
	- ,	2 – 5 1	1		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	1	1	0.5		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	1 0.25	Total	2.5 32.14

quifer N	umber: 0404	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Furry Creek - Low	ver Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	40%	
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	п	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1	2			0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		0.25	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	ranking value					
	5	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Operational Works of Operation	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Cyclonic	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	Ů Š	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	- / -	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		
		< 1 km ²		1			2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3	П	1	1001	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible 2 0.5	0.5		0.0		
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	22.62

Aquifer No	umber: 0405	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	D'Arcy Creek - Lo	wer Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of Development I		·			2.5
В.	Ranking	· ·	3		1	10%	0.0
		 	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25	F 0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
	Kanking	С	1		0.25		
		-	1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	036	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	1	1	10%	2.5
	Issues/Concerns Reported		_			1070	0.0
		2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	22.62

Aquife	r Number: 406	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Approx. 11 k	m NE of 150 l	Mile house	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	i tulining	B			0.25		0.0
		-	1	1	0.20		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	oupply oystems	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Scree by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.4

quifer Nu	umber: 407	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Pt. Holmes - VI			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	Abbightu	1		
	•	> 50 km ²				10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I					
В.	Ranking		3		1	10%	0.0
		Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
							0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	i kainking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3	10	1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3		0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	> 1000	3			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25	Total	0.0 37.38

Quifer Nu	ımber: 408	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Comox Harbour -	VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
			1		0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	3	0.25	15%	0.0
F.	Systems			3		15%	15.0
	Cyclonic	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		
		< 1 km ²				400/	0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	3		0		0.0
υ.	Groundwater			3		10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
К.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	future regulation					1070	0.0
		Possible	2	.	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	0.0

Item Description A. Aquifer Area B. Aquifer Classification a Ranking C. Aquifer Classification a Ranking D. Aquifer Classification a Ranking E. Estimated Current Ground Use F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Que Insura Consume Data	II III III III III III III III	Point Scale 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 5 to 21 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor 1 0.5 0.25 1 0.5 0.25 1 0.5 0.25 1 0.5 0.25 1 0.5 0.25 1 0.5 0.25 1.0 - 0.24 1 0.5 0.25 1 0.66	Maximum Weighting 10% 10% 5% 5% 10%	Score 0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0 0.0 2.5 5.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.0 2.5 0.0
B. Aquifer Classification a Ranking C. Aquifer Classification a Ranking D. Aquifer Classification a Ranking E. Estimated Current Ground Use F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Quartity	$10 - 50 \text{ km}^{2}$ $< 10 \text{ km}^{2}$ 10 m^{2} 10 m^{2} $11 \text{ m}^{$	2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1	1 1 3 8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline 1.0 - 0.24 \\ \hline 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline 1 \\ 1 \\ \end{array}$	10% 5% <u>5%</u> 10%	0.0 2.5 0.0 2.5 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0
C. Aquifer Classification a Ranking D. Aquifer Classification a Ranking E. Estimated Current Ground Use F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Quartity	$10 - 50 \text{ km}^{2}$ $< 10 \text{ km}^{2}$ 10 m^{2} 10 m^{2} $11 \text{ m}^{$	1 3 2 1 3 2 1 1 5 to 21 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 2 1 3 2 2	1 3 8	$\begin{array}{c c} 0.25 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline \\ 1.0 - 0.24 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ 1 \\ \end{array}$	10% 5% <u>5%</u> 10%	0.0 2.5 0.0 2.5 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0
C. Aquifer Classification a Ranking D. Aquifer Classification a Ranking E. Estimated Current Ground Use F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Quartity	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } < 10 \ km^2 \\ \hline \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	1 3 2 1 3 2 1 1 5 to 21 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 2 1 3 2 2	1 3 8	$\begin{array}{c c} 0.25 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline \\ 1.0 - 0.24 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ 1 \\ \end{array}$	5% <u>5%</u> 10%	2.5 0.0 2.5 5.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0
C. Aquifer Classification a Ranking D. Aquifer Classification a Ranking E. Estimated Current Ground Use F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Quartity	nd Degree of Development I II III III III III III III III III I	3 2 1 3 2 1 1 5 to 21 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2	1 3 8	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0.5\\ 0.25\\ 1\\ 0.5\\ 0.25\\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 1.0 - 0.24\\ 1\\ 0.5\\ 0.25\\ \end{array} $ 1	5% <u>5%</u> 10%	0.0 0.0 2.5 5.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0
C. Aquifer Classification a Ranking D. Aquifer Classification a Ranking E. Estimated Current Ground Use F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Quartity	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	2 1 3 2 1 5 to 21 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 2	8	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline 1.0 - 0.24 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline 1 \\ \end{array} $	5% <u>5%</u> 10%	0.0 2.5 5.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0
C. Aquifer Classification a Ranking D. Aquifer Classification a Ranking E. Estimated Current Ground Use F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Quartity	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline III \\ \hline IIII \\ \hline III \\ \hline IIII \hline IIII \hline IIIII \\ \hline IIII \hline IIII \hline IIIII \hline IIIIII \hline IIIIII$	1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2	8	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.25 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline 1.0 - 0.24 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline 1 \\ \end{array} $	<u>5%</u> 10%	2.5 5.0 0.0 1.9 0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0
Ranking D. Aquifer Classification a Ranking E. Estimated Current Ground Use F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Quartity	hd Vulnerability A B C hd Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) Water High > 64 L/s Medium $32 - 64$ L/s Low < 32 L/s upply > 5 2 - 5	3 2 1 5 to 21 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2	8	1 0.5 0.25 1.0 - 0.24 1 0.5 0.25 1	<u>5%</u> 10%	5.0 0.0 1.9 0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0
Ranking D. Aquifer Classification a Ranking E. Estimated Current Ground Use F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Quartity	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline B \\ \hline C \\ \hline \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	2 1 5 to 21 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2	8	1 0.5 0.25 1.0 - 0.24 1 0.5 0.25 1	<u>5%</u> 10%	0.0 0.0 1.9 0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0
D. Aquifer Classification a Ranking E. Estimated Current Ground Use F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Quartity	C Ind Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) Water High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	1 5 to 21 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2		0.25 1.0 - 0.24 1 0.5 0.25 1	10%	0.0 1.9 0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0
Ranking E. Estimated Current Ground Use F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Quartity	Ind Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) Water High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	5 to 21 3 2 1 3 2 2		1.0 - 0.24 1 0.5 0.25 1	10%	1.9 0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0
Ranking E. Estimated Current Ground Use F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Quartity	(based on 7 sub-factors) Water High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	3 2 1 3 2		1 0.5 0.25 1	10%	0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0
Use F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Quartity	Water High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	3 2 1 3 2		1 0.5 0.25 1	10%	0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0
F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Quartity	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2 1 3 2	1	0.5 0.25 1		0.0 2.5 0.0
F. Number of Ground Water S Systems G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density I. Water Quantity and Quartity	Low < 32 L/s upply > 5 2 - 5	1 3 2	1	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density	upply > 5 2 - 5	3 2		1	15%	0.0
G. Number of Reported Irrig and large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density	2 – 5	2			1070	
And large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density						0.0
And large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density				0.33		0.0
And large production wells > 32L/s H. Well Density	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H. Well Density		3		1	5%	0.0
H. Well Density	e.g. 2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
I. Water Quantity and Qua		0		0.23		0.0
I. Water Quantity and Qua	none reported > 5 km ²	3	0	1	10%	
			3	0.5	10%	10.0
	1 – 5 km²	2				0.0
	< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
		3		1	10%	0.0
Issues/Concerns Repor	ed 2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J. Estimated Population Serv Groundwater	ed by > 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K. Water management plannin future regulation	g and Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		9	11	0.5		0.0
	Possible	2				2.5

quifer Nı	umber: 410	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Oyster R	River Delta - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ŭ	Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
		II	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
.,		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25	Total	0.0 40.12

Aquifer Nu	umber: 412	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Kahusham / north	bank of Oyster River	VI	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	B C	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	030	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	-			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation		10%				
		Docsible	0		0.5		0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	2	0.5 0.25		0.0
		Chinkely	I. '		0.20	Total	35.12

Aquifer Nu	umber: 414	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Mouth of Rosewa	ll Creek - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1076	
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	i kanking	Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Ш	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water meneroment planting and	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Possible	2	2	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
				••		Total	35.60

Aquifer N	umber: 415	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Tsable River Delta	a - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	-	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3		1		2.5
	future regulation	Doing plaining	Ŭ			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	24.88

Aquifer Ni	umber: 416	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Thame River to M	apleguard Pt VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		=	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1	5%	0.0
	Kanking	B C	1	2	0.5 0.25		2.5 0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1?	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3		0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	Being planned 3 1 10%	10%	0.0		
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	- 1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	32.86

Aquifer Ni	umber: 417	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North of Cumberl	and to Puntledge River	- VI	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		5.0 0.0
В.		Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Systems					15%	0.0
	cyclonic	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	ů	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	25.12

Aquifer Nı	umber: 419	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Wilfred Creek sou	ith of Fanny Bay - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	J	II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
_		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	5%	2.5
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	2	0.25		
							0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	30.36

Quifer No	umber: 421	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Nile Creek to Tha	mes Creek - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	400/	
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	1	2		0.5		0.0
							2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3	1	0.25	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	070	2.5
		c	1		0.25		0.0
D	Anvitan Olana fination and	-					0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	3	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		_					0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		
11.	Well Defisity	> 5 km²	-			10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1			2.5
	T			-++		Total	21.90

Aquifer No	umber: 0422	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Porteau Cove - Lo	ower Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1076	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kanking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
•••	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3	3	1	10%	
			2	5	0.5	1070	10.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fatimated Danulation Correct here	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	29.64

Aquife	r Number: 423	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North shore	of La Hache L	ake	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2	2	0.5	1070	
		111	1	2	0.25		5.0 0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5 15.0
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2	3	0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	53.0

\quife	r Number: 424	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	eastside of B	ridge Lake		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3	1	1	5%	2.5 0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	570	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
	Ranking	-	5 40 04				2.4
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	J	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of One and Mater	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1	2	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		•				Total	35.5

Aquife	r Number: 426	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Eagle Creek			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
			01021	,	1.0 - 0.24	570	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	0	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	- 2			0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		· · ·	·			Total	26.7

quifer	Number: 427	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	southern tip	of Canim Lak	e	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	ranking	В		2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10,10	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1 none reported	1 0		0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
IZ .		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3

luite	Number: 428	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	San Jose River and Knife Creek			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Scree by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3

Aquife	r Number: 429	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	near Ruth La	ke		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	i tanting	B		2	0.25		2.5
			1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Kanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4 5 0 (2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	-	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Scree by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	22.7

Aquifer	r Number: 430	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West shore o	of Canim Lake		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	-		0.5	1070	
		Ш	2	1	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	N	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	150/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	-	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	26.7

quifer Nu	imber: 0431	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	McKenzie - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	. taining	I	2		0.5		0.0
		Ш	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		0.25	15%	0.0
••	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 521/3	< 2 none reported	1 0	1	0.25 0		1.3 0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	
	, ,		2		0.5	1070	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	~ 1000	3		· ·	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	109/	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25	Total	0.0 36.61