Aquifer Nu	umber: 0432	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	McKenzie - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
	1.69	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
	Kanking	С	1		0.25		0.0
	A swife a Oleanification and		'				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	1	0.25	15%	
• • •	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	Ö		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 2 0.5		0.0			
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
			•	• •		Total	28.87

lquifer Νι	ımber: 0433	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	McLeod Lk - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	9	II III	2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0 0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	_	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
	A :(O) :(!: !		1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	Ŭ.	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	1	1	15%	
٠.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	,	1	1		0.83		0.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	 	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater		3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	11	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1		0.5		2.5
	+	- Orimicity	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	0.20	Total	27.62

Aquifer N	umber: 0434	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	NE of Tudyah Lak	es - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		40 501 2	2	2	0.5	1070	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5	.070	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	<u>'</u>	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	3223	none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5	12,7	
			1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²	·	1			0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	/ 1000	3		'	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	19.64

Aquifer	Number: 439	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Jim Smith La	ke		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
υ.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	-	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0 /	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опрріу Оузістіз	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Gloundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17	W/-1	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		-	•			Total	34.6

quifer Nu	ımber: 0440	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Hudson Hope - SC	OP .		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Natikitiy	II III	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
			1 3	1		5%	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	376	0.0
	ramang	С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Systems				· ·	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	1	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fetimeted Deputation Control by	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	20.70

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0442	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	3.5 Km West of Ta	aylor - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ţ.	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	unknown	0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Descible			0.5		0.0 5.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5 0.25		0.0
	+	Unlikely	1		0.25	Total	31.61

Aquifer N	umber: 0443	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Taylor Townsite -	SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		5.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			+		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
Г.	Systems				The state of the s	15%	0.0
	Cyclemo	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	<u> </u>	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	21.43

Aquifer N	umber: 0444	Unconsolidated	Location:	2 km west of Ft. S	t. John - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	. toming	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
١.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	,	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2 none reported	1 0		0.25 0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Glodilawatei	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	21.90

Aquife	Number: 445	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North-west	of Cranbrook	near Hospital	Creek
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.3
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
		,					
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	j -	3		1	10%	0.0
	water use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1576	0.0
	, ., .,	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. * 022/0	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5	.0,0	0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	_			_	1070	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	.	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3

Aquifer	r Number: 446	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Booth Creek,	NW of Cranb	rook	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	.075	0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aifa a Olas aifi a ati a a and	< 10 km ²	-	<u> </u>	1		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
O.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Danking Value	'	'			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
	5 " + 10 + 10	15.1041.4				100/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Water Goo	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	 	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0	400/	0.0
J .	Served by Groundwater				•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 '	1		2.3
• • •	planning and future	203 p.a03				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.4

Aquife	r Number: 450	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Nicholson; S	tacey Creek F	an and South	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
	Estimated Current Ground	Himb > CA I /s	2		4	400/	0.0
E.	Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	₁	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N	none reported	0		0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	`~	'		0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Division	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17	\\/	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	40.2

Aquife	r Number: 452	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East of Inve	mere; E. side	of Columbia	R.
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of		1	1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	H	1	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	34.4

Aquifer	Number: 453	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Windermere	: E. side of Wi	ndermere Lk.	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	3 73	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	_	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1 none reported	1 0		0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
Ο.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s		0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	0	10%	10.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2] 3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
	Materia Occasiiti. 9 Occasiiti.	< 1 km ²				400/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	 	1	10%	
-	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5	10 /0	0.0 5.0
		< 500	1		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	planning and future		_			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3

Aquife	r Number: 454	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Gol	den across R.	from Nichols	on
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	0.0 5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
	Aifa Olaifiti	Danking Makes	'	H			0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	J	3 2		1	10%	0.0
	Water Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	 	0.25	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.5		3.3
			· '	11 1	5.20	Total	33.2

Aquifer	Number: 455	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Hospital Cree	ek near Golde	n	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	_	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	o	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	 	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	H	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater					10%	0.0
	,,	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
IX.	planning and future	Deing planned			·	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	40.2

Aquifer	Number: 456	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Golden, conf	luence of 2 ri	vers	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	H	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
Σ.	Ranking						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0		0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/5	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
12	NA/-1	< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1]	0.25		0.0
		·				Total	62.9

Aquife	Number: 457	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	N.E. of Inver	mere; east si	de of Columbi	ia River
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²	•				2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	raming	II	2	2	0.5		
		l III			0.25		5.0
	A		1			50/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
		C			0.25		
			1	1	0.20		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
		(basea on 7 sab lactors)	0 10 21		1.0 - 0.24	370	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	₀	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	 	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	·	3	3	1	10%	10.0
• • •	Won Bonotty	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10 /6	
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	10%	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.20		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	.370	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 29.4

Aquifer	Number: 458	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Fairmont Ho	t Springs; W.	side of River	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²	•				2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	II			0.5		
		l III	2		0.25		0.0
	A ''. OI ''. ''		1	1		50/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	ranking	В			0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
		(based on 7 sub-lactors)	3 10 21		1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	1	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0.33		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s		0				0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	0	0	400/	
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3		1	100/	0.0
0.	Served by Groundwater		-		•	10%	0.0
	-	500 - 1000 < 500	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	'	0.25		2.5
11.	planning and future	Doing planned			·	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
·						Total	27.1

Aquife	Number: 459		Location:	Fairmont Ho	t Springs		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	.075	0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	A	< 10 km ²	-	<u> </u>	1		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		ll II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
O.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	2		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/S	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported > 5 km ²	3	0	1	10%	0.0
• • • •		> 5 km ⁻ 1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	10 /0	5.0
			1		0.25		
1.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	 	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1.570	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
14	M/-1	< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	38.7

Aquife	r Number: 460	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Madias Ck: N	. of Fairmont	Hotsprings	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2	2	0.5	10 /0	
		III	1		0.25		5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
О.	Ranking	Vullierability A B	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N. of December 1	none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5	1272	0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.2

Aquife	r Number 461	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Upp	per Mission Cr	eek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		"	2		0.25		0.0
			1	1			2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	10%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	1076	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s	none reported	0		0		2.5 0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		1 – 5 km ²	1	2	0.25		
l.	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns		2		0.5	10 /6	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	1	1	0.5		0.0 2.5
		none reported	0	'	0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	38.8

Aquifer	Number 462	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: 1 k	m. south of M	ission Creek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aguifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of					2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		i II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	2.5 5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
	A : : :	Danking Makes	'				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
	F	15.1 04.17				100/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Water Coo	Low < 32 L/s	1	2	0.5		5.0 0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
	N. observices	none reported	0		0	400/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s			·			2.5
	Mall Danaite	none reported	0	_	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3 2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
	144 4 9 414 9 9 111	< 1 km ²	1		0.25	100/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management			1	5%		
	planning and future	0.				3 /0	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		Unlikely	l I	1	0.25	Total	1.7 38.8

Aquifer	Number: 463	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: S,	E, NE of Kelov	vna; S & E sid	e of valley	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			_		0.0
Δ.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25	F0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
		,	0 10 2 1		1.0 0.24	0,0	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	3	0.25	15%	0.0 15.0
Γ.	Supply Systems	2-5	2	3	0.66	1576	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Irrigation and large production wells.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0				0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3	3	1	5%	F 0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		5.0 0.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
	J		'	1	1 0.20	Total	82.5

Aquifer	Number: 464	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Va	lley bottom S,	E, NE of Kelo	wna	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5	F0/	0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	3	0.5	10 /6	10.0 0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0		0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	3	1	10%	0.0 10.0
Ο.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	0	10%	0.0 10.0
• • • •	Won Bonoky	> 5 km ² 1 – 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10 /0	
			1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	.0,0	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0				0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	3	1	5%	F 0
	planning and future regulation	Possible	2		0.5		5.0 0.0
	J	Unlikely	1		0.5		0.0
		1 01	' '	1	0.20	Total	87.5

Aquifer	Number 465	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: S.	Kelowna; pos	sibly E. to Rut	tland	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aguifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of					2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		ll l	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	2.5 0.0
O.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	070	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
	A : if Ol : if ti d	Danking Makes	'	'			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	5	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.2
1	F !!	15.1.0417				100/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Water 636	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0	1001	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s			_			0.0
	Mall Danath	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3 2		· ·	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²			0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	0	1	10%	
٠.	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
K.	Water management			'		F0/	2.5
	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Tetal	1.7
						Total	17.0

Aquifer	Number 466	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: 6 l	cm. SE of Kelo	wna		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of					2.3
	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
		· ·	0 10 2 .		1.0 0.21	0,0	
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	ļ ļ	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
١.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
	1	1 2	· ·	1 1		Total	29.0

AQUIFER 466 Appendix L

Aquifer	Number: 467	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Eas	st Kelowna an	d Rutland Are	a	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	i talling	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
0.	Ranking	В	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	10%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	1076	0.0 5.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	40.6

Aquifer	Number: 468	2-		cm N of Kelow	na; Clifton Ro	l. area	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of					2.5
Ξ.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	Ů	1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 52L/3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	· ·	3	0	1	10%	
• • • •	Troil Bolloky	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10 70	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.25		5.0
	Material Constitution of the	< 1 km ²				400/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	0	1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000			I -	1070	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
K.	Water management		-	† '		50/	2.5
	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	-	1.7
						Total	32.0

quifer	Number 469	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:Gler	nmore Valley,	N. of Kelowna	a	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of					0.0
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	3	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
_	Normal and a financial Material	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опрріу Субістів	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation Wells	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	F	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Joseph Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Other, e.g. future land development	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	1.7 25.5

AQUIFER 469 Appendix L

Aquifer	r Number: 474	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Kettle Valley	-Rock Creek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3		0	400/	
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.5		5.0
	144 4 9 174 199 174	< 1 km ²				100/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	 	1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	.	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 28.5

Aquifer	Number: 476	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Low lying are	ea northeast	of Rock Creek	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	realiking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	N 1 10 1111	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опры Оузгеніз	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Octived by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
1/	\\/	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.4

Aquife	r Number: 477	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Kettlle R., ea	stward from	Rock Creek	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of		H	1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	0.0 5.0
O.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•	H			0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	H	1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0		0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	H	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	H	0	100/	
п.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	•	1 (isolated) none reported	1 0		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	
٠.	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.5		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1		۷.۵
==	planning and future	3 7 1-1-1-1-1				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	_	3.3
						Total	53.9

Aquifer	Number: 478	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Midway			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3		-	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Italikilig	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	. = 0 /	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1		0.66		10.0 0.0
		none reported	Ö		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E.C. J. ID. J. C.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
- V	Matan managaras	< 500	1	H	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	51.4

Aquifer	Number: 479	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Kettle River Valley near Christina Lake			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	₁	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5 /6	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s		_		_		1.3
Н.	Wall Daneity	none reported	3	H	0		
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	2		-	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0		0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3		1	100/	0.0
٥.	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000			•	10%	0.0
		< 500 - 1000 < 500	2	₁	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	1		2.5
	planning and future	3 9 6				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	31.7

Aquifer	Number: 480	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South end of Christina Lake			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²	•	•			2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	I			0.5		
			2		0.25		0.0
	A ''. O' ''. ''		1	1		50/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0
	ranking	В			0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
		(based on 7 sub-lactors)	3 10 21	''	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	H 0	1	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s		_		_		0.0
	Mall Danath	none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3			10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	roportou	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		-		•	10%	0.0
	,	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	3	1	0.25		2.5
ĸ.	planning and future	Being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
			•		•	Total	26.0

Aquifer	r Number: 481	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Kettle River Valley at Westridge			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of		H	1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	₁	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	2.5 0.0
	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•	H			0.0
Б. Е.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
	Estimated Current Ground	Library CALL	2		4	400/	0.0
	Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	· -			0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
	Served by Groundwater		-		•	10%	0.0
	,	500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	planning and future	Doing planned			·	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	33.5

Aquifer	Number: 482	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Kettle River	Valley near B	everdell	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
- V	Mater manager	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	48.5

Aquifer	Number: 483	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Trail Townsit	:e		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of		1	1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		-	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	 	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Cationate d Danielatic	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25 1		2.5
r.	planning and future	Deing planned	S		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	23.2

Aquifer	Number: 484	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Waneta Juno	tion/southea	st	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•	<u> </u>			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 %	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	376	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						1.3
- 11	Mall Danaite	none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	3	1	100/	0.0
٥.	Served by Groundwater			3	•	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	1631	0.0
	planning and future	J 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	T. / /	3.3
						Total	45.9

Aquifer	Number: 485	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Southwest of	f Village of Mo	ontrose	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	November of Demontral	none reported > 10	0		0	5 0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						1.3
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Noporteu	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
1	Estimated Description	none reported	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000		3	-	10%	10.0
	22.124.07.0.00.00.000	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3		0.25		0.0
r.	planning and future	being planned	٥		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	46.7

quife	r Number: 487	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Goat River F	loodplain nea	r Creston	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	0		1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	ranking	B C	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
			1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E.C. L. L. D. L. L.C.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	So. 13d by Grodinawator	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
1/	Water management	< 500	1	H	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
			·		<u> </u>	Total	49.7

Aquifer	Number: 489	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Canyon, SE o	of Creston		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²	•	<u> </u>			2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	I			0.5		
			2		0.25		0.0
	A ''. Ol ''. ''		1	1		50/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	ranking	В			0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
		(based on 7 sub-lactors)	3 10 21	'	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	1	0.33		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
٥.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s		•				0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	0	0	400/	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²				100/	0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	0	o	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	 	1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	₁	0.5		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	1		۷.5
	planning and future	J				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	26.7

Aquifer	Number: 490	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	RYERTS LAKE			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of		 	1		2.5
٥.	Ranking	Development I	3		•	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	realiking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.50	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опрріу Оузістіз	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	Ö	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E.C. d. D. d. L.C.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	St. 15d by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
I/	Watermanagement	< 500	3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	33.5

Aquifer	Number: 491	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Southern are	ea of the Moyi	e River	
Item	Description	ion Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	'	0.33		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	3,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E.C. at J.D. at J.C.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Gloundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
1/	M-t	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
ı	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	37.2

Aquife	r Number: 492	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Ya	ahk			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
Β.	Ranking	Development I	3		-	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Tanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1	2	0.66 0.33		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		-			10%	0.0
	,	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
IX.	planning and future	Deling planned			'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	44.7

Aquife	r Number: 496	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Salmo River			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	rtoportod	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0 1	100/	0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater				•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	1	1		2.5
	planning and future	Don's planted			•	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	37.0

Aquife	r Number: 497	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Erie 1, Salmo			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		1.5
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		, ,	ı	<u> </u>		Total	47.0

Aquifer	Number: 498	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Erie 2, Salmo			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of		 	1		2.5
Δ.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	<u> </u>	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Training	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1		0.66		0.0
		none reported	Ö	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	. topontou	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	<u> </u>	400/	0.0
0.	Served by Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	1	10%	2.3
	planning and future		_			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3

Aquifer	Number: 501	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Lower China	Creek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
	Mall Danath	none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	 	1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	₁	0.5		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	1	1	400/	2.5
	planning and future	• .				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	H	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	ll 1	0.25		3.3

Aquifer	Number: 503	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Robson - Sou	uth		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	_	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
L.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
	W # D **	none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Gloundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14	100	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	$ $ $ $	0.25		3.3
		, ,		-	<u> </u>	Total	31.0

Aquifer	Number: 504	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Raspberry Vi	illage		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	,	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
	Aifa Ola a a ifi a a ti a a . a	< 10 km ²	-	-			2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	0.0 5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Danking Makes	•				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking Value					
	g	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
	Fatina ata d Ocuma at Ocasina d	Library CALL			4	400/	0.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	₁	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
	11 (5)	none reported	0		0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s		•		0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Troporteu	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Denvilation	none reported	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	-		•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	3	1	0.25		2.5
ĸ.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
					•	Total	38.2

Item A.	Description	Measure		-			
A.			Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5	2,75	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•				0.0
	Ranking	Natikitiy value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	070	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1	_	0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	3		0	100/	
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	2	_	0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	++	1	400/	0.0
.	Served by Groundwater		-	3	•	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1		0.0
	planning and future	- 3				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 48.0

Aquifer	Number: 506	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Brilliant			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		-	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking		51:04	40			
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	'	0.33		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/5	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density		3		1	10%	0.0
		> 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	10 /0	
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		5.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	10 /6	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	3	Unlikely	1	1	0.5 0.25		3.3
		J	'	11 1	3.20	Total	28.2

Aquifer	Number: 507	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Airport Creel	k near Castle	gar	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	2	3	Assigned	1	0 0	
	7.44	> 50 km²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊑.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	H	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	₁	0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_					1.3
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Description	none reported	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	-		•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2]] ,	0.5		0.0
V	Water management	< 500	3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	44.9

Aquifer	Number: 508	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Selkirk Colle	ge		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
	A . 'f . Ola . 'f t'	< 10 km ²	-				2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		
		l III			0.25	5%	5.0
	Aquifer Classification and		3				0.0
C.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
		C			0.25		
			1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
		(bacca on read factors)	0 10 2 1		1.0 - 0.24	370	2.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/S	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	1	10%	10.0
11.	VVCII Delisity	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
	Material and all	< 1 km ²				100/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	·	none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5	1070	0.0 5.0
		< 500	1		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1		0.0
	planning and future	3,44	-			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	48.5

Aquifer	Number: 509	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Castlegar - S	outh		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
	-	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5	,	5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E.C. L. I.B. L. L.C.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3		0.25		0.0
r.	planning and future	being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
				-		Total	43.2

Aquifer	Number: 510	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Castlegar - A	irport		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
υ.	Ranking	Development I	3		-	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	.070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		1.5
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	ocrycu by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
1/	Matanasasas	< 500	1	H	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	39.5

Aquifer	Number: 514	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Crescent Val	ley		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
	Assifes Oleanification and	< 10 km ²	-				0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		l III			0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3		1	5%	0.0
C.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	2	0.5	3 /0	2.5
		C			0.25		
		_	1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	₁₁	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
		(**************************************				0,0	2.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	11 1 10 11111	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0
	очры сустопо	1	1		0.88		10.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	c.g 022/3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	 	1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	3 2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.20		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	. 3 / 0	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	11 1	0.25		3.3

Aquifer	Number: 515	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Krestova - S	urficial		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of		H	1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	2.5 5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	370	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•	H			0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
	Estimated Current Ground	Library CALL	2	H	4	400/	0.0
E.	Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	November of Departure	none reported > 10	0	0	0	F0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	2 – 10	3 2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_					0.0
	W 11 B 11	none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	r	1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	100/	0.0
٠.	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.5		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	1	4001	2.3
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	T. ()	3.3
						Total	25.2

Aquifer	Number: 516	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Willow Point			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		•	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	realiking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Catimated Denutation	none reported > 1000	3	0	<u> </u>		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater		-		•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	< 500	1	0.25 1		2.5
ĸ.	planning and future	Being planned	3		ı	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	44.9

Aquifer	Number: 517	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Roberts Bay-	Cedar Point		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
O.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	'				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
	Estimated Organic	I Park a OATA			4	400/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	 	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
	N	none reported	0		0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_					0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	"	1	100/	0.0
3.	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000			•	10%	0.0
		< 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	1601	۷.٦
	planning and future	3,7,1				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	T. ()	3.3
						Total	35.7

Aquifer	Number: 519	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Baynes Lake	- East 1		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	.075	0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
ь.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s	nana ranartad	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	VVCII Denoity	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
	Mata Orașiti Orașiti	< 1 km ²				400/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	·	none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	'	1		2.3
	planning and future	3,, 1	-			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	27.1

Aquifer	Number: 520	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Baynes Lake	- East 2		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
L.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		2.3
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
	W " B "	none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	. topottou	1 (isolated)	1 0		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0	400/	0.0
0.	Served by Groundwater		-		•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 '	1		2.3
	planning and future				·	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	_	3.3
						Total	38.5

Aquife	r Number: 521	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Jaffray			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	H	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		2.5
	e.g. > 32L/s	· -			0.20		0.0
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
			•			Total	58.7

Aquifer	Number: 522	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Rosen Lake -	South		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.23		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Octived by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17	\\/	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.7

Aquifer	Number: 524	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cranbrook, S	Gurficial		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of		+	1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	1.50/	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	3	1 0.66	15%	15.0
	оцрріў оўвістів	2-5	1		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	66.4

Aquifer	Number: 525	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cranbrook -	West		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of		H	1		0.0
٥.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
	11 1 10 1111	Low < 32 L/s	1	 	0.25	450/	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	3	1 0.66	15%	15.0
	очры сусты	1	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	Ħ	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		-			10%	0.0
	,	500 - 1000 < 500	2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0 0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	0.25		0.0
13.	planning and future	Doing planned			·	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	52.6

Aquifer	Number: 526	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cranbrook -	East		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	realiking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0 /	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1	1	0.66		0.0 5.0
		none reported	Ö	'	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	, topolitou	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0	400/	0.0
0.	Served by Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	B	_		0.5	10 /0	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		Unlikely		1	0.25	Total	3.3 39.7

Aquifer	Number: 527	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Bull River			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.23		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
		,					
E.	Estimated Current Ground	Ü	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3		0.25 1	15%	0.0
Г.	Supply Systems	2-5	3 2		0.66	15%	0.0
	Cuppi, Cyclemo	1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	c.g 0223	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
	,	1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
			1	_	0.25		
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	H	1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future		_			10 /0	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5	1	0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3

A. Aquifer Area > 50 km² 2 0.5 0.00 0	Aquifer	Number: 528	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Warner			
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 3 1 5 % 5.0 %	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale				Score
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Pevelopment 1	A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
Section Sect				2		0.5		
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 1 3 0.5 0.0 0.				1	1	0.25		
Ranking	В.	Aguifer Classification and				1		2.5
III			Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Wulnerability A 3 3 3 1 5 5% 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0				2				0.0
Ranking			III	1	1	0.25		2.5
D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 13 1.0 - 0.24 5% 3.1	C.		_		3		5%	5.0
D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 13 1.0 - 0.24 5% 3.1		Ranking		2				0.0
Ranking			С	1		0.25		0.0
E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s 1 0.25 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	D.		Ranking Value					
Water Use		Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
Water Use	F	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems			ŭ .				1070	0.0
Supply Systems					1	0.25		2.5
1	F.		_	-		_ ·	15%	0.0
Number of Reported Principal Princip		Supply Systems			2			10.0
G. Number of Reported > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 0.0			· ·	· ·				
Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	G	Number of Reported			H		5%	
Production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	0.		· · ·		2	_ ·	0,0	
Note			_					
H. Well Density		e.g. > 32L/s		•				0.0
1 - 5 km² 2 0.5 0.00	н	Well Density	•			-	400/	40.0
Nater Quantity & Quality Sa (regional) S		VVCII Delibity			3	· -	10%	
Nater Quantity & Quality Sa (regional) Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa Sa S								
Issues/Concerns 2 to 3 (local) 2		Material Constitution of the					400/	0.0
Reported 1 (isolated) 1 0.25 0.00	I.	Issues/Concerns	, - ,				10%	0.0
Note								
J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.5 2.5 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned Possible Possib		·						
Served by Groundwater	J.	Estimated Population	•				10%	
K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3				-		•	1070	
K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Possible Unlikely 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 3 1 1 0.25 0.0					1			
planning and future regulation Possible Unlikely 2 0.5 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3	K.	Water management			 		4607	2.0
Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3		planning and future					10%	0.0
5.5		regulation						0.0
Total 43.0			Unlikely	1	1	0.25	—	3.3 43.9

Aquifer	Number: 530	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Rosen Lake -	North		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					1.7
D.	Ranking	Kalikilig value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	H	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1370	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	_	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
			I.	<u> </u>		Total	29.6

Aquife	Number: 531	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Tie Lake			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Kalikilig	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.23		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E.C. at J.D. at J.C.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	3	1	0.25		2.5
ĸ.	planning and future	Being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.7

Aquifer	r Number: 532	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Elk River			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	₁	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value		H			117
D.	Ranking	Natiking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	₁	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N	none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	`~	'		0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1	_	0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		-		•	10%	0.0
	23.130.2, 3.00	500 - 1000	2] .	0.5		0.0
Κ.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25 1		2.5
r\.	planning and future	being planned	٥		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.6

Aquifer	Number: 533	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Fernie - Sout	:h		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.23		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Danidation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	Water management	< 500	3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.6

Aquifer	Number: 538	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	St. Mary Rive	er IR		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5	1	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
L.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	3 /6	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
	Wall Danait.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0		0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	+	1	100/	0.0
٠.	Served by Groundwater				•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	45.51	۷.5
	planning and future	3 9 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	43.9

Aquifer	Number: 539	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Mather Creek			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	_	1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		ı	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
	A . 'f . Ola . 'f t'	De ille Male	'	<u>'</u>			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	rtanning	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	J	3		1	10%	0.0
	water ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	2.5 0.0
• •	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	\2	'		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Lzehorren	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Cotimated Description	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	3	1	0.25 1		2.5
ĸ.	planning and future	Being planned	3		ı	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	26.9

Aquifer	Number: 540	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Wasa Lake			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3	3		10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
						100/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Water 636	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	'	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	~ 2	'		0.23		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Octived by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
17	NA/-1	< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	58.7

Aquifer	Number: 541	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Ta Ta Creek			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		ı	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	 '	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5	- 77	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					1.7
D.	Ranking	Natiking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0 1	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5 /6	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						1.3
	Well Breed	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Toportou	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0 1	100/	0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		-		*	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2 1		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
IX.	planning and future	Doing planned			ı	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.4

Aquife	r Number: 542	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North of Was	sa Lake		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1076	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	₁	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N. I. CD. I.	none reported	0	0	0	5 0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	12	'		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerveu by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	144.4	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
			•	• •	•	Total	20.0

Table 3. Unconsolidated aquifer prioritization for monitoring.

Aquifer	Number: 543	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Skookumchu	k S.		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aguifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5	- 77	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
	Fatinanta d Ocument Occurs d	Himb > CAI /a	2		4	400/	0.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
-	N (D t. d.	none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_					0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	U	1	400/	0.0
0.	Served by Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1		2.3
	planning and future	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	-	3.3
						Total	26.0

Aquifer	Number: 544	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Skookumchu	ık E.		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
٥.	Ranking	Development I	3		-	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
						100/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Water ooc	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	 	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	'		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.2

Aquifer	Number: 545	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	NE. of Skook	cumchuk		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5	.070	0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aifa Ola a a ifi a a ti a a . a	< 10 km ²		<u> </u>	1		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	2.5 0.0
O.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 52L/5	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	0	1	10%	0.0
		> 5 km ⁻ 1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
			1		0.25		
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	+	1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
			1	11 *		Total	23.2

Aquifer	Number: 546	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Moyie River -	South near (Glenlily	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.23		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	H	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		2 5 km ⁻ 1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	H	1	10%	0.0
١.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
			1	*		Total	30.7

Aquifer Nu	ımber:0547	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	SE portion of Kea	ts Island - Lower Main	land	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		11	2		0.5		0.0
		***	1	1	0.25	F0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
	ranking	С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	322/3						0.0
	W 115 ''	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		/		*		Total	32.62

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0552	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Langdale - Lower	Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	<u> </u>	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	7 022/3						0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	29.88

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0553	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Soames Point - Lo	ower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	, and the second		2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В		2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Niverban of Opening I Water Overship	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	3	0	0 1	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	376	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		-	2		0.5	1070	
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		-	-			Total	26.90

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0554	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Gibsons Landing	- Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I				100/	2.5
	Ranking		3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
	A '' O '' '	III	1 3		0.25	5%	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
	1.65.11.11.19	C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	·				0.0
	Ranking	, and the second					
	F (: 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	<u> </u>	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	Ш	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	3223						0.0
H.	Wall Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gloulidwatei	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	13.1.1	Doosible	2		0.5		0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
	†		'	''	0.20	Total	39.88

Aquifer No	umber: 0556	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Chapman Creek -	Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	+ '	0.25	15%	
١.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	.,	2-5	1		0.83		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	22.14

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0557	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Sargeant Bay - Lo	wer Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	1.6		2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Court d Weter Court	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems				1	15%	0.0
	Cyclemo	2 – 5 1	2		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	+	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	25.47

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0560	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Chaster Creek - L	ower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		=	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
	Aguifar Classification and		'	<u>'</u>			2.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	(based on 7 sub-factors) High > 64 L/s	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1 0.0
E.	Use	Hign > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	350	Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	0223	_	•				0.0
Н.	Mall Danath	none reported	0 3	0	0 1		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²		3		10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		- 1	*	···		Total	32.14

Aquifer No	umber: 0563	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Sechelt - Lower M	lainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	ranking	C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	_	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	3 2 1	1	1 0.5 0.25	10%	0.0 0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	 	0.25	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 321/5	< 2 none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3 2		0.5	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	1	1	0.5		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
			•	++		Total	25.47

Aquifer Νι	ımber: 0566	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Angus Creek - Lo	wer Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	.070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of Development I		1			2.5
ъ.	Ranking	· ·	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		***	1 3	1 3	0.25	5%	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	2]	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
	Ranking	B C			0.5		
		C	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	 	0.25	15%	0.0
٠.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	future regulation					10 /0	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 24.64

Aquifer	Number: 567	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Madias Ck. F	an; N. of Fairi	mont Hot Spri	ngs
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	В	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value		<u> </u>			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	H	1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	 	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	c.g. > 02L/3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		2 5 km ⁻ 1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	 	1	10%	0.0
١.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	3	Unlikely	1	1	0.5 0.25		3.3
		1 011111013	<u>'</u>	11 1	0.20	Total	29.4

Aquifer	Number: 568	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Shuswap Ck.	area; NE of I	nvermere	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
Δ.	Ranking	Development I	3		-	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	J	3		1	10%	0.0
	vvaler use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	15 /6	0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	Ħ	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
_						Total	35.2

Number of Ground Water Supply Systems Cape State Point Scale Poi	uifer Nun	mber: 0569	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Telkwa and Bluel	berry Cr SOP		
South 10 - 50 km² 2 0.5	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale		Weighting Factor		Score
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development	A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 1			10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
Ranking			< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 3 1 5%	B.			3		1	10%	0.0
C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 5% D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value 1 1 1 0.25 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s High > 64 L/s Sequence 3 1 1 10% F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 1 10% F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 2 0.5 0.66		Ranking			2	0.5		5.0
Ranking Ranking Ranking Value Ranking			III	1		0.25		0.0
D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value Ranking (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 7 1.0 – 0.24 5%	C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A			1	5%	0.0
D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value Ranking (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 7 1.0 - 0.24 5%			В	2				0.0
Ranking			С	1	1	0.25		1.3
E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use	D.		Ranking Value					
Use					7			1.7
Low < 32 L/s	E.						10%	0.0
F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems 2 - 5 2 0.666 0.666 0.333 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Ose						2.5
Systems	F.	Number of Ground Water Supply			 		15%	0.0
Section Color Co			2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
Second Second Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. 2 - 10								0.0
And large production wells, e.g. 2 - 10 2 0.5 0.25 0.					0			0.0
Name of the second color	G.		_				5%	0.0
Note			-					0.0
H. Well Density		3223			1 0			0.0
1	H.	Well Density	'				10%	10.0
Company			1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		
I. Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.5 0.25 0.25 0.25 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% Possible 2 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5				1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Concerns Reported 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.25 0.	I.	Water Quantity and Quality		3		1	10%	0.0
1 (isolated) 1 0.25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			, ,			0.5		0.0
None reported O O O								0.0
Groundwater			` ′		0			0.0
Sol - 1000 2 0.5 0.25	J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater					10%	0.0
K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% Possible 2 0.5								0.0
future regulation 10% Possible 2 0.5					1			2.5
	K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
								0.0
Unlikely 1 1 0.25 Total			Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5 27.97

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0570	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Jack Pine Flats - S	SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	.0,0	
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			+		2.5
Ξ.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
1.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	,,,,,	2-5 1	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	o o	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	1	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
							0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
1.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	3.3333	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
			·			Total	34.88

Aquifer Number: 0571		Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East of Terrace / Thornhill - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B C	3 2 1	3	1 0.5 0.25	5%	5.0 0.0 0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value	· ·				0.0
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	3 2 1	1	1 0.5 0.25	10%	0.0 0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3 2 1 0	0	1 0.66 0.33 0	15%	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10 2 – 10 < 2	3 2 1		1 0.5 0.25	5%	0.0 0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
11.	Well Beliefly	> 5 km ² 1 – 5 km ² < 1 km ²	2	3	0.5 0.25	10%	0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional) 2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated) none reported	3 2 1 0	0	1 0.5 0.25 0	10%	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000 500 - 1000 < 500	3 2 1	1	0.5 0.25	10%	0.0 0.0 2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation		3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2 1	2	0.5 0.25		5.0 0.0
						Total	35.12