Aquifer Nu	umber: 0572	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East of Terrace /	Thornhill - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1078	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Systems	2 – 5	2		-	15%	0.0
	- ,	2-5	1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
							0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3		0		0.0
п.	Weil Density	> 5 km²	-	3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	1	1	0.5		2.5
K.	Water management planning and		3		1		2.5
IX.	future regulation	Denny planneu	5			10%	
	č	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.5		2.5
		<u> </u>		11 '	0.20	Total	30.94

quifer N	umber: 0573	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Terrace North - Se	OP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5	10,0	
			1		0.25		5.0
B.		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	0		1	400/	
	5		3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25	=0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	ranking	С	1	1	0.25		
							1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	036	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
							0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
		Stinkely	1 '	11 '	0.20	Total	25.94

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0574	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Gossan Cr SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	10,0	-
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>-</sup> Degree of			0.20		2.5
υ.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		П	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	036	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.3		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
							0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0	400/	0.0
	Then Berneity	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	none reported > 1000	0 3		0	10%	0.0
	Giodildwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	42.14

quifer Nu	umber: 0575	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Terrace South - S	OP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	g	1	10%	
			2	2	0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km <sup>2</sup>		2 ×			5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			4		
	Ranking	Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		П	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 - 10	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	2	0.25		2.0
		_					0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	-	0.25		
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns Reported		_			10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5		0.0
K	Mater monogor		1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	11	0.25	Total	0.0 68.33

quifer Nu	ımber: 0576	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Telkwa -	SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	10,0	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
-		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>			0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	5		2	2	0.5	1070	
			1	2	0.25		5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 = 10 < 2	1		0.5		0.0
		· <b>_</b>			0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	_	0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5

quifer Nu	umber: 0577	Unconsolidated	Location:	East of Smithers -	SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.3		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0	10%	0.0
	C. CL. HUMAION	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5 25.94

quifer Nu	umber: 0581	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Telkwa -	SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
А.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	<u>.</u>		2		0.5		0.0
		III	1 3	1	0.25	5%	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	13%	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3	1	1	10%	2.5
	future regulation	Dessible	_		0.5	1070	0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		· · · ·	•	·· ·		Total	23.44

quifer Nu	umber: 0582	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Telkwa and Bulkle	ey Rivers - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ŭ		2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3		0.25	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Estimated Current Ground Water	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1 0.0
E.	Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	3 2 1	1	1 0.5 0.25	10%	0.0 0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 <b>29.64</b>

quifer Nu	umber: 0583	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East of Telkwa Riv	ver - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25	<b>F</b> 0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Cyclonic	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	none reported > 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Mater management plan-in	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25	Total	0.0 <b>29.88</b>

quifer Nu	umber: 0584	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Smithers North - S	SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		5.0 0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of					0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		=	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	· ·	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
0		none reported	0	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
							0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Croundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	future regulation					1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 31.18

Aquifer N	umber: 0585	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Smithers south - S	SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	5		2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25	E0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	030	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		1.3 0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	none reported > 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation		3		1	10%	
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	24.93

•	Imber:0586	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Smithers - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking		2	2	0.5		5.0
			1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	5	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0	=0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	
	,		2	3	0.5	1070	10.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		•				Total	27.97

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0587	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Smithers - SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	2		0.5	1078	0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25	070	0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0	4.00/	0.0
••	Groundwater		-			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3	1	0.25		2.5
13.	future regulation	Doing planned	5			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	- 1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	20.47

quifer Nı	umber: 0588	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Terrace West - SO	OP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	r taining	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25	<b>F</b> 0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В			0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3		0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
			0	0			0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	3	0	0		
п.	Well Delisity	> 5 km²	-			10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	400/	
	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	40.12

uifer Nu	umber: 0590	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Groundbirch S -	SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5	1070	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	-			5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I			0.5	1076	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		
			4		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by		3		1	10%	
	Groundwater	500 1000	-		0-	1070	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		<b>C</b>		11 '	0.20	Total	23.92

quifer N	umber: 0592	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Willow Valley - So	OP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	llevelopment I	2		0.5	1070	0.0
					0.25		
			1 3	1		5%	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking	_			0.5		
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0	-0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		
	- 0223						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>		1			2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Groundwater		_		a -	1070	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
	1	2				Total	28.92

quifer Nu	umber: 0594	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Groundbirch buri	ed valley - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
А.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5 0.25		0.0
			1	1		<b>F</b> 0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Systems	> 5			1	15%	0.0
	Gystellis	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
О.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	576	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	0	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
				1		100/	2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		•				Total	26.18

quifer Nu	umber: 0596	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Progress - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
			2		0.5	1070	10.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kanking	ll	2		0.5		0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Gysterns	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 - 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	1	1	10%	2.5
	Issues/Concerns Reported		_			1070	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	1	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	109/	
	Groundwater		_			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 29.63

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0597	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Arras buried char	nnel - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.		Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	2		0.5	1078	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use Use	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fatimated Danulation Correct here	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	č	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
			1			Total	21.18

Aquifer No	umber: 0598	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Pouce Coupe - SC	OP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1076	
		10 – 50 km²			0.05		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		0.25	15%	
••	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 522/3	< 2	1 0		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported > 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	0	0	400/	
	tron Donony	-	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fatimated Danulation Correct here	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Croundation	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	24.64

Aquifer Nu	umber: 599	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Sooke River west	of Kemp Lake - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5	1070	
		10 – 50 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	5	II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	3	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
	Kanking	B	1		0.5		
		_	1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	000	Low $< 32$ L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1?	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3		0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3		0		0.0
J.	Groundwater		-			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Matar management plan-in	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		÷				Total	35.12

quife	r Number: 600	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Horse Creek	Fan S. of Nich	nolson	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported > 10	0	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	2 – 10	3 2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	

quifer	Number: 601	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	5 km S. of Ra	adium Hot Spi	rings	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	_	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
_		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	~ 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	35.0

<b>Aquife</b>	r Number: 602	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	from Radium	towards Colu	ımbia River	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	-		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3	3	1	5%	0.0 5.0
0.	Ranking	Vullerability A	2	5	0.5	570	0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	ů – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerveu by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.2

Aquifer	<sup>-</sup> Number: 603	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Invermere 3	km N and S		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	0 3		0	5%	0.0
С.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	570	2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	51.2

Aquifer Nu	umber: 604	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East of Sooke arc	ound Young Lake - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>		1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	3	II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25	=0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking	С	1	1	0.25		
		-	-	-			1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	030	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
О.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	576	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		Officery		11 1	0.20	Total	2.0

Aquifer Nı	umber: 605	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Broom H	lill and NE of Sooke Ba	y - VI	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	J	П	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		2.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		0.25	15%	
• •	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	-	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fatimated Danulation Correct here	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500 1 <u>1</u> 0.25		2.5			
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	26.43

Aquifer Nı	umber: 609	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Littlewood Rd nor	rth to Victoria Airport -	VI	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	3	II	2		0.5		0.0
		=	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	i tunking	С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	030	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0	-0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
			2	Ŭ	0.5	1070	
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	32.92

quifer Nu	umber: 610	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North Saanich / B	azan Bay - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3	Rooigilou	1		
		> 50 KM				10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	· ·	-			10%	
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		≡	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В		2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	
1.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	-	2-5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 521/3	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	wen Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>		3	-	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	C. CL. Iditutor	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 32.14

quifer Nu	umber: 611	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Central Saanich /	Saanichton - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of Development I		1			2.5
В.	Ranking	0	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking	С	1	-	0.5		
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors) High > 64 L/s	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9 0.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	Hign > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	1	0.33 0		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/5	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
11.	Weil Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	-	3	-	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	none reported > 1000	0 3		0	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 36.90

Aquifer Nu	Imber: 612	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Central Saanich /	Keating - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
B.	A suites Olassifiaaties and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
в.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		II	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C		2	0.5 0.25		2.5
			1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Estimated Current Ground Water	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1 0.0
E.	Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	Ŭ	0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	< 1 km <sup>-</sup> > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3		1		2.5
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 <b>34.64</b>

Aquifer Ni	umber: 613	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Durrance Road / S	Saanich - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3	, teolghou	1	100/	
		> 50 KM			0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	с ,				10%	
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	
1.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	,	2-5	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5		0.0
	- 522/3				0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
11.	Weil Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1		
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	35.47

quifer Nu	umber: 615	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East side of Saan	ich Penn VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kanking	II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	· ·	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	Ŭ	0.5		
			1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity and Quality	< 1 km <sup>2</sup> > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
Ι.	Issues/Concerns Reported	,				10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	1	0.25 0		2.5
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
V	Mater meneroment planting and	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2	1	0.5		2.5
		or mixery		-1.1 '	0.20	Total	34.40

quifer Nı	umber: 616	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Elk Lake to Cordo	va Bay - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	400/	
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	11	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Ш	1	2			0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and		3		0.25	5%	0.0
С.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		0.5	070	0.0
	·	C	1	1	0.25		
			1	I			1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Systems					15%	0.0
	Oyatema	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
			2	Ŭ	0.5		
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible	2	.	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 36.42

quifer N	umber: 617	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	W. Saanich Road	@ Wallace Dr VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	11	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Ш	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	1
•••	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	-	2-5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	_	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.3		2.5
		<u> </u>			0.20	Total	27.97

quifer Nu	umber: 0624	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Wildmore Creek -	SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	i kanning	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Oregonal Wester Oregonal	Low < 32 L/s	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems				1	15%	0.0
	Oystems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
			2	5	0.5	1070	10.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater		3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
	1			++ '	0.20	Total	28.20

Aquifer N	umber: 0625	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Bisset Creek - SO	P		
7	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking		2	2	0.5		5.0
			1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
	5	C	1		0.25		
		C	I				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
			0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	3		1	100/	
	Weir Density	> 5 km²	-	3	-	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Groundwater	500 4000				1070	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
			•	••	· •	Total	29.64

quifer Nu	umber:0626	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North of Pine Rive	er - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	-	0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.5		2.5
	1	Offinitely		-+	0.20	Total	28.20

quifer Nu	umber: 0628	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Chetwynd North o	of Pine River - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1070	
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kanking	I	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Ш	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
			_				0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		Unincely		11 1	0.25	Total	2.5 29.40

utter Nu	umber: 0629	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Chetwynd area - S	OP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		 	2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	036	Low < $32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	29.40

quifer N	umber: 0630	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Jackfish Lake - S	OP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
О.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	578	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	intere regulation	Dessible	0		0.5		0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		Offinitely	'		0.20	Total	20.70

Aquifer Number: 0635 Ty Item Description		Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	SW of Tumbler R	dge - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of					2.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Panking Value			+ +		
D.	Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	15	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2?	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		
					0.05		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Groundwater					10 /0	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		_					0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	58.57

uifer Nu	umber: 0636	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Goodlow / East of	f Ft. St. John - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	3	II.	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	- 022/3						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Groundwater		_			1070	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
			·	••	· ·	Total	20.70

Aquifer N	umber: 0637	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Between Prespate	ou and Unbach Creeks	- SOP	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
А.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and		3	1	1	5%	2.5 0.0
С.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	2	0.5	570	2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		0.25	15%	
•••	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		2-5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 02213	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
11.	Weil Density	> 5 km²	-			10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3		0 1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
					·	Total	25.12

Aquifer N	umber: 0638	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Between Synder a	and Buic Creeks - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	400/	
			2	2	0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km <sup>2</sup>	2	2			5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1 3	1	0.25	5%	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Kanking	С			0.25		
		C	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
1.	Systems	2 – 5	2			1370	0.0
	- )	2-5	1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	20.70

		Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East of Tumbler F	Ridge - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		Ш			0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		4 5 1	2		0.5		
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Croundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	-	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2	1	0.5		2.5
	+	UTIIKEIY		<u>11 1</u>	0.20	Total	2.5 25.12
						iutai	ZJ. 14

quifer No	umber: 0641	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Between Fracois	and Tchesinkut Lakes	- SOP	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	
			2	2	0.5	1076	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.		Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0	=0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	022.0	none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	0	1	10%	
			2		0.5	1070	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2				0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Groundwater					1070	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	20.47

quifer N	umber: 0643	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Endako - SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of					2.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use		3 2		1	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
О.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	578	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater		-			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
			_				0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
	+	Unikely		-++	0.20	Total	2.5

quifer N	umber: 0645	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Gerow Island and	South shore of Burns	Lake - SOP	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	, ice.g.icu	1		
		> 50 KIII				10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		
B.	A swife s Ole said a stars and						2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	i kunning	II	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	070	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		
		-	ļ .				1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
-		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	450/	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Oystems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
			2		0.5		
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by		3		1	10%	
	Groundwater	500 4000	<u>^</u>		0.5	1070	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5		0.0
14			1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	36.42

Aquifer Number: 0647		Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East shore of Dec	ker Lake - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	
	Rainking		2	2	0.5	10 /0	0.0
				2	0.25		5.0
-			1				0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km²	-	3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns Reported					10 /0	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		<b>C</b>	- I		0.20	Total	28.20

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0648	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North of Decker L	ake - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I II	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
			1 3			5%	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking	С	1	1	0.25		
		-	ļ	I			1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		0.25	15%	2.5
•••	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5		0.0
					0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
	Weir Density	> 5 km²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Cioundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	T
							0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 23.44

quifer Nu	umber: 0649	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North shore of Bu	ırns Lake - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Ranking	Development I II	2	Ŭ	0.5	1070	0.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	070	2.5
		C			0.25		
		C	1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	3 2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		
		1 – 5 KM					0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 30.60

quifer Ni	umber: 0653	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Rose Lake - SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	~ 32L/S	< 2	1	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km²	-			10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns Reported					1070	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Deputation Served have	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		T I	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	2	Docsible	2		0.5		0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0 2.5
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 24.64
						TULAI	24.0

Aquifer N	umber: 0655	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	NE of Houston an	d west of Topley - SOP	•	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		40 501 2	2	2	0.5	1070	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	i kanning	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		0.25	15%	
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	-	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 52L/5	< 2	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported > 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	0	1	10%	
		-	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		*				Total	27.62

quifer Nu	umber: 0656	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Topley Landing w	est of Babine Lake - S	OP	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1078	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	I	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	3	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
1.	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	,	2-5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported > 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	0	1	10%	
		-	2		0.5	1076	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fotimated Danulation Computer	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Croundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5 20.70
			•	••	•	Total	20

quifer Nu	umber: 0657	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Nechaco and Che	eslatta Aves - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	I	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1	1	0.25	<b>F</b> 0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
	1	C. Intony		++ '	0.20	Total	23.68

Aquifer Nı	umber: 0659	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Bulkley	River - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		5.0 0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3	3	1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0 0.0
		iii	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	15	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 - 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	_	0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water meneroment planting and	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
		· · ·				Total	49.82

quifer Nu	umber: 0660	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Bulkley	River - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	I	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	
1.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	- ,	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
11.	Well Defisity	> 5 km²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Motor monoror t - l	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
		Officery			0.25	Total	21.18

\quife	r Number: 661	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Spider Lk nr	Horne Lk		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
	A muifen Olassifisation and		1 3	1 3		<b>5</b> 0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0
	Ŭ	C	1		0.25		
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	35.7

Aquifer	Number: 662	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Between Big	& Little Qual	icum Rivers	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	-		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	570	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	450/	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1	2	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	50.4

quife	r Number: 663	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Upper reache	es of Whisky (	Creek	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	-		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and		1 3	1 3	1	5%	2.5
C.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3	3	0.5	5%	5.0
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	C	1		0.25		0.0
_			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1	_	0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	31.4

Number: 664	Type: IA	Location:	-			
Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
	10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
	< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
	Degree of			1	100/	
Ranking			3	0.5	10%	10.0
						0.0
					=0/	0.0
	3		3		5%	5.0
				0.25		
		1				0.0
	Ranking Value					
i kan king	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
	-		2		15%	0.0
			2			10.0 0.0
	none reported	0		0		0.0
Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	2 – 10			0.5		0.0
e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
-	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
	< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
Reported	. ,					0.0
Estimated Devulation			0			0.0
				-	10%	0.0
			2			5.0
Water management						0.0
planning and future	Deilig plaimed	3			10%	0.0
regulation	Possible		0.5		0.0	
	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
	Description         Aquifer Area         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         Well Density         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         Water management planning and future	DescriptionMeasureAquifer Area> 50 km²10 – 50 km²10 – 50 km²10 – 50 km²10 km²Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of DevelopmentAquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability BAquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability BAquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	DescriptionMeasurePoint ScaleAquifer Area> 50 km²310 - 50 km²210 - 50 km²1Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development3III1Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development3Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability B3Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability (based on 7 sub-factors)3Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 21Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	DescriptionMeasurePoint ScalePoints AssignedAquifer Area> $50  \mathrm{km}^2$ 310 - 50 km²2<10 - 50 km²	DescriptionMeasurePoint ScalePoints AssignedWeighting FactorAquifer Area> 50 km²31 $10 - 50 km²$ 20.5<10 km²	DescriptionWeightingMaximum WeightingAquifer Area> 50 km²3110%Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development1331Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development33110%Aquifer Classification and RankingWeighting0.50.50.5Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability B33110%Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability B3315%C10.250.50.250.5Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 21131.0 - 0.245%Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s

Aquife	r Number: 665	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Between Big	Qualicum R.	& Thames Ck.	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
		C		2	0.25		
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Matar manager at	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	35.5

	mber: 0666	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North shore of St	uart Lake - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km Degree of					2.5
5.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0
	Aquifer Cleasification and		1 3			5%	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	570	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3		0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
••	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	10,0	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	> 1000	3			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 23.20

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0667	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West end of Frase	er Lake - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3	Accigned	1		
		> 50 km²				10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of					2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	5	II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aguifar Classification and	Ranking Value					
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		-	2	0	0.5	1070	
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and		3	<u>   '</u>	1		2.0
	future regulation		-			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	25.23

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0668	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Stellako - SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		11	1	1	0.25		
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	2.5 0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		
		-	I				1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4 = 0 (	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Gysterns	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	č	Dessible	2		0.5		0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
		Officely			0.25	Total	2.5

Quifer No	umber: 0669	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West end of Frase	er Lake - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3	7.00.g.100	1		
		> 50 km-				10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of					2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Operation (Western Operation	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems					15%	0.0
	Cyclonic	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>		1		100/	2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
υ.	Groundwater	2 1000	5			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	future regulation					1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 17.73

Item         Description         Measure         Point Scale         Points         Weighting Factor         Maximum Weighting			Location:	SW side of Fraser	Lake - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			4		
	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		Ш	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
••	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	0220	none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		-	2		0.5	10,0	
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Denuistics Computer	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Croundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	100/	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 20.23

quifer Ni	umber: 0671	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	SW of Dry Willian	n Lake - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	10,0	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	5	II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
_		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	576	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
			2	5	0.5	1070	
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Giodinawater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		Ormitory	1	11	0.20	Total	25.23

quifer Nu	umber: 0676	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Mathews	s Lake and west of Hou	ston - SOP	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	2.5 0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	070	0.0
		C			0.25		
		C	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Devented Invication	none reported > 10	0	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	3 2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		
				2	0.05		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		2				Total	20.18

quifer Nu	umber: 0677	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North of Houston	and NW of Bulkley Riv	er - SOP	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3 2	2	0.5	10%	0.0
			1	2	0.5		5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0	10%	0.0
	Giodildwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 23.20

Aquifer N	umber: 0679	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North of Cheslatt	a Lake - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5	1070	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	i kunking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	1	15%	0.0
•••	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	~ 52L/S	< 2 none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	0	1	10%	
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Deputation Conved by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	20.70

\quife	r Number: 682	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Colwood, La	ngford, Metch	osin	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	-		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3	1	1	5%	2.5
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	570	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
υ.	Ranking	_					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
_		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4 5 0 (	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	oupply bystems	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	U U	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	31.0

Aquife	r Number: 683	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Metchosin, fi	rom Parry Bay	/ inland 3 km	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ганкіну	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	03	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.2

lane	r Number: 684	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Goldstream	River mouth,	Finiayson	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	1	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
-	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater				-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	1	1	0.25		2.5
13.	planning and future	Deing planned	5			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3

Aquife	r Number: 685	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	San Juan Riv	er floodplain,	Port Renfrev	v
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km <sup>2</sup>	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I II	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Ш	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground	5	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	2	0.66 0.33		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerved by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	39.2

Aquife	r Number: 686	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Gordon Head	l, Saanich		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25	=0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	5	C	1	1	0.25		
		_	1	1			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
IZ.		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	24.2

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0687	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Taylor Flats - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В		2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
Γ.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	- ,	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Weil Density	> 5 km²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	П	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Croundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Docsible	0		0.5		0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		Officery			0.20	Total	32.38

quifer N	umber: 0690	Location:	Clayhurst Area - S	SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5	1070	
			1		0.25		5.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of	•		0.20		0.0
D.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		11	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Operation (Western Operation	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems				-	15%	0.0
	cyclonic	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	Ů Ů	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5		0.0
K			1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation		3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 24.64

-	r Number: 692	Type: Unconsolidated			N of Stamp Ri		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
			1	1		50/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0
		C			0.25		
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	i tulining	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	-	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Repuiled	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E d'auto de Davidad" a	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	control by croundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	25

	Type: Unconsolidated		Stamp River;			
Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
	10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
	< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
	Degree of			1	100/	
Ranking		3		0.5	10%	0.0
		2	2			5.0
		1				0.0
	-		3		5%	5.0
Ranking						0.0
		1		0.25		0.0
	Ranking Value					
Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
				-	15%	0.0
Supply Systems						0.0
			0			0.0
Number of Reported	> 10	-	ů ř	1	5%	0.0
Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
	< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
Reported	( )					0.0
Estimated Deputation			0	-		0.0
				-	10%	0.0
						0.0
Water management			1			2.5
planning and future		5			10%	0.0
regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
_	Aquifer Area         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         Well Density         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         Water management planning and future	Aquifer Area> 50 km² 10 - 50 km²        	Aquifer Area> 50 km²3Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development1Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development3III11Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability BAAquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability BAAquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s3Number of Ground Water Supply Systems> 532 - 5 production wells, e.g. > 32L/s11Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s> 103Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported> 5 km² 2 2 13Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported> 1000 33Reported Issues/Concerns Reported> 1000 33Reported Issues/Concerns Reported> 1000 33Reported Issues/Concerns Reported> 1000 33Reported Issues/Concerns Reported> 1000 33Reported Issues/Concerns Reported> 1000 33Reported Issues/Concerns Reported> 1000 33Reported Issues/Concerns Reported> 1000 33Reported Issues/Concerns Reported> 1000 33Reported Issues/Concerns Reported> 1000 33	Aquifer Area> 50 km²3 $10 - 50 km²$ 21 $10 - 50 km²$ 2 $10 km²$ 1Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development3 $11$ 22III1Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability B3Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability B3Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability B3Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 21Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s3Number of Ground Water Supply Systems> 532 - 5211Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s> 103Neil Density> 5 km²331 - 5 km²210Well Density> 5 km²331 - 5 km²210Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported> 10003Reported Inone reported100Estimated Population Served by Groundwater> 10003Served by Groundwater planning and future regulation> 10003Water management planning and future regulationBeing planned Possible2	Aquifer Area> 50 km²31Aquifer Area> 50 km²20.5 $10 - 50 km²$ 20.5Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development13Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerabilityA33Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerabilityA331Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 21121.0 - 0.24Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s311Number of Ground Water Supply Systems> 5310.5110.25.0 66.00Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s110.25Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s> 110.25Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s> 110.25Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s> 110.25Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s> 3 (regional)31Lesses/Concerns Reported> 1000310.5Starter Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported> 100031Served by Groundwater planning and futurer reguiation> 100031Served by Groundwater planning and fu	Aquifer Area         > 50 km <sup>2</sup> 3         1         10%           Aquifer Area         > 50 km <sup>2</sup> 2         0.5         1         10%           Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         1         3         1         10%           Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         1         3         1         10%           Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         3         1         5%           Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value         B         2         0.5         0.5         0.5           Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value         Ranking Value         1         1.0 - 0.24         5%           Estimated Current Ground Water Use         2         0.5         0.5         0.5         0.5           Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         2 - 5         2         0.66         0         0           Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         10%         0.25         5%           Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         0.5         0.5         0.5         0.5         0.5         0.5         0.5         0.5         0.5

quife	r Number: 694	Type: Unconsolidated			E side of Sta	mp Falls	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
			1			50/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
		C		2	0.25		
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	i tulining	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 %	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	°	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Scrved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
<u> </u>		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	35.2

-	Number: 695	Type: Unconsolidated			proat Lake; cl		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	1	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	I	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Devulation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	.	0.5		0.0
K	Water management	< 500 Roing planned	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
			•	•••	•	Total	

-	r Number: 700	Type: Unconsolidated			proat Lake at		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.		Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2		0.5	1070	
			2	1	0.25		0.0 2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
_		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.86		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	-	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Screed by Croundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	26.7

-	r Number: 703	Type: Unconsolidated		W bank of So			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	<u> </u>		1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
			1	1		50/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	5	C	1	-	0.25		
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	i tulining	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	°	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Scrved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	22.

Description						
	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
	10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
	< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
	Degree of	2		1	100/	
Ranking				0.5	10%	0.0
						0.0
			1		50/	2.5
	3		2		5%	0.0
			2	0.25		
		I				0.0
	Ranking Value					
	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.50/	2.5
				-	15%	0.0
Supply Systems						0.0
	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	2 – 10			0.5		0.0
e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
	1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
	< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
Reported	. ,					0.0
Estimated Deculation			0	-		0.0
				-	10%	0.0
						0.0
Water management			1			2.5
planning and future	Beilig plaimed	5		· ·	10%	0.0
regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
	Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Estimated Current Ground Water Use Number of Ground Water Supply Systems Number of Reported Irrigation and Iarge production wells, e.g. > 32L/s Well Density Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported Estimated Population Served by Groundwater Water management planning and future	Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of DevelopmentAquifer Classification and RankingDegree of DevelopmentAquifer Classification and RankingVulnerabilityAquifer Classification and RankingVulnerabilityAquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development2 1Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development3III1Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerabilityA B CAquifer Classification and RankingVulnerabilityA B CAquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 21Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 10 - 50 \ \text{km}^2 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 10 - 50 \ \text{km}^2 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 &$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline 10 & -50  km^2 & 2 & 0.5$

-	r Number: 705	Type: Unconsolidated			4km S of Port	-	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	i taining	B			0.25		0.0
			1	1	0.20		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	oupply bystems	2-5	2		0.86		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Devulation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	1	1	0.25		2.5
13.	planning and future	Deing plaimed	5			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3

			China Cr; E A			-
Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
	10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
	< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
Ranking	Development I	-		0.5	1070	
	III		1	0.25		0.0 2.5
Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A		1	1	5%	0.0
Ranking	B	2		0.5	070	0.0
	С	1	1	0.25		1.7
Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
Ranking	-		_			
	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	5	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.2
Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
			1			2.5
					15%	0.0
Supply Systems						0.0
		-	0			0.0
Number of Reported	> 10		Ű	1	5%	0.0
Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Well Density		3		1	10%	0.0
		2	2	0.5		5.0
		1		0.25		0.0
Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
			0			0.0
	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
	Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         Well Density         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         Water management planning and future	Aquifer Area> 50 km² 10 – 50 km² 10 – 50 km²Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of DevelopmentAquifer Classification and RankingVulnerabilityAquifer Classification and RankingVulnerabilityAquifer Classification and RankingVulnerabilityAquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	Aquifer Area> 50 km²310 - 50 km²210 - 50 km²1Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development3III1Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability B3Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability B3Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability B3Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 21Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	Aquifer Area> 50 km²3 $10 - 50 km²$ 21 $10 - 50 km²$ 2 $< 10 km²$ 1Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development3 $111111111111111111111111111111111111$	Aquifer Area> 50 km²31Aquifer Area> 50 km²31 $10 - 50 km²$ 20.5Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development11 $11$ 20.5Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability31 $11$ 10.25Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability31 $11$ 10.25Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 215 $1.0 - 0.24$ 110.25Number of Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	Aquifer Area         > 50 km <sup>2</sup> 3         Image: Factor         Weighting           Aquifer Area         > 50 km <sup>2</sup> 3         1         10%           Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         1         0.5         1           Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         3         1         10%           Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         1           Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value         B         2         0.5         5%           Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value         Ranking         1         0.25         5%           Aguifer Classification and Ranking Value         Kased on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         5         1.0 - 0.24         5%           Estimated Current Ground Water Use         Number of Ground Water         2         0.5         1         10%           Number of Reported in reported         > 10         3         1         10%         0.33           Image: Construct the construct of the

\quife	r Number: 713				; S shore of N	licola Lake	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and		1 3	3	1	5%	0.0
C.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3	3	0.5	5%	5.0
	Ū,	C	1		0.25		
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	. Guinning	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1	2	0.66 0.33		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
	Estimated Devulation	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water management	< 500	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	42.

quife	r Number: 714	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Nicola Lake I	e		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1	1	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
	Ŭ	none reported	0		0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water menogement	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
ĸ.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
К.				1			10% Total

-	r Number: 715				mouths of Moore and Stumplake Creeks			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0	
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0	
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5	
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0	
			2		0.25		0.0	
			1	1		=0(	2.5	
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0	
		С			0.25			
			1	1	0.20		1.7	
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value						
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1	
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0	
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5	
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0	
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1		0.66 0.33		0.0	
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0	
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0	
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0	
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3	
		none reported	0		0		0.0	
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0	
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0	
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0	
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0	
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0	
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0	
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0	
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0	
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5	
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0	
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0	
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3	

quitei	r Number: 716	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	confluence of Nicola and Thompson Rivers				
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0	
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0	
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5	
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1			
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0	
			2				0.0	
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5	
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0	
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0	
		С	1		0.25		0.0	
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value						
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1	
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0	
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5	
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0	
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0	
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0	
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0	
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0	
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3	
		none reported	0		0		0.0	
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0	
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0	
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0	
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0	
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0	
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0	
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Scree by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0	
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5	
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0	
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0	
	1	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3	

quife	r Number: 717	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	S of Ashcroft; E side of Thompson River				
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0	
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0	
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5	
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%		
	Ranking	Development I	-		0.5	10%	0.0	
			2	2	0.25		5.0	
C.	Aquifer Classification and		1 3		1	5%	0.0	
С.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		0.5	5%	0.0	
		C	1	1	0.25			
			I	I I			1.7	
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value						
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4	
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0	
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1 0.25		2.5		
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0	
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0	
		1	1		0.33		0.0	
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0	
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0	
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0	
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0	
	-	none reported	0	0	0		0.0	
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0	
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0	
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1	_	0.25		0.0	
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0	
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0	
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0	
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0	
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5	
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0	
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0	
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3	
	1					Total	24.9	

quife	r Number: 718	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Ashcroft; Asl	hcroft Ranch		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Raiking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
_		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4 504	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	oupply oystems	2-5	1	1	0.88		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.0