Aquifer	Number: 719	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Ashcroft; W side of Thompson River			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2 5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	1	0.55		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	9	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	regulation	Dessible	2		0.5		0.0
		Linlikely	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
		Offinitory			0.20	Total	28.2
	L						20.2

Aquifer	Number: 724	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Nicola R fldp	In betqween	Canford & Coy	/le
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2 5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		I none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	• / •	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.y. > 32L/S	nono roportod	0		0		0.0
Н	Well Density		3		1	10%	0.0
	Weil Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25	400/	0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		r (Isolated)	1	0	0.25		0.0
J	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	0	1	100/	0.0
Ū.	Served by Groundwater	E00 4000	õ			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	4	0.5		0.0
к	Water management	Reing planned	3	1	0.20		2.5
IX.	planning and future		J J			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.2

Aquifer	Number: 726	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Nicola R & spius Cr con; w of Canford			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	150/	2.5
⊦.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	Ŭ	1	5%	0.0
_	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	regulation	Dessible	2		0.5		0.0
		Linlikely	2 1	1	0.5		0.0
		Offinitory		1	0.20	Total	28.2
						10101	20.2

Aquifer	Number: 728	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cherry Cr va	lley; SW of Ka	amloops	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	-	11	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Penerted	hone reported	0		0	5%	0.0
С.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	576	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	2	0.25		2.5
	e.g. > 32L/s	-			0.20		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Dessible	_		0.5	1070	0.0
	- Salation	POSSIDIE	2	2	0.5		5.0
		UTIIKEIy	1		0.20	Total	50.8
						i otai	0.0C

Aquifer	Number: 739	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Denman Isla	nd - east		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ŭ		2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	-	-	0.25		0.0
С	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	• * *	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	I	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	- / -	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/S	nono reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н	Well Density		3	0	1	10%	10.0
		> 5 km <sup>-</sup>	2	5	0.5	1078	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
	Water Questity & Quelity	< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.20	109/	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns		Э		0.5	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 10 3 (100al) 1 (isolated)	2 1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
		< 500	-	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	· · · ·	1	109/	
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Tetal	3.3
						i otal	35.2

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0743	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Bowen Island/Graf	ton Lake Valley - Lov	ver Mainland	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
А.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	. contrary	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Banking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Kanking	С	- 1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	10,0	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 521/3	< 2	1	_	0.25		0.0
	Mall Density	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
п.	weir Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIINEIY	ļ. '	ļ I	0.25	Total	28.44

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0744	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Bowen Island SW	Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Railking	П	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
_	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of One and Motor Oursely	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4 50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported Irrigation		3		0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 - 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	J J	Bassible	2		0.5		0.0
		Linikely	1	1	0.5		2.5
	1	Chinkoly	<u> </u>	· · · ·	0.20	Total	45.36

Aquifer	Number: 750	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Mayne Island	l, east of Villa	age Bay	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
	J. J	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1	2	0.25		0.0
C	Aquifer Classification and	Vulporability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	Vullierability A	2		0.5	570	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		I none reported	0	I	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		010
	e.g. > 32L/s		_	_	_		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
н.	well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
	Estimated Development	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	14/-1	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	vvater management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•			Total	36.7

Aquifer	Number: 751	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Quadra Islan	d		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Penorted		0	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikelv	1	1	0.25		3.3
		- ····· ,	· ·			Total	30.5

Item         Description         Measure         Point Scale         Points Assigned         Weighting Factor         Maximum Weighting         Score           A.         Aquifer Area         > 50 km²         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0           B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree 01         1         3         1         10%         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree 01         1         2         0.5         5.0         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability A B         3         1         5%         0.0         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         9         1.0 - 0.24         5%         2.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Number of Ground Water supply Systems         >5         3         1         15%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported supply Systems         >10         0         0         0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported sq.3 > 32L/s         1<	Aquifer	Number: 752	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Quadra Islan	d		
A.         Aquifer Area $> 50 \text{ km}^2$ 3         1         10%         0.0           10 - 50 km^2         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           8.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         1         1         0.25         0.0           11         2         2         0.5         5.0         0.0           6.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5.0         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5.0         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         9         1.0 - 0.24         5%         2.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Ground Water         > 5         3         1         1.0.25         2.5           F.         Number of Reported production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         0.3         1         0.05         0.0           I         1         0	ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         3         1         10%         0.0           III         2         2         0.5         5.0         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5%         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         0.5         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         9         1.0 - 0.24         5%         2.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 · 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           Supply Systems         2 - 5         3         1         1         0.25         2.5           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 1         0.3         1         5%         0.0           I.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%			< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			II	2	2	0.5		5.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			111	1		0.25		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
C         1         1         0.25         1.7           D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value Ranking         (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         9         1.0 - 0.24         5%         2.1           E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           Medium 32 - 64 L/s         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           1         1         0.33         1         15%         0.0         0.0         0.0           6. Number of Reported Irrigation and Iarge production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0		Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         9         1.0 - 0.24         5%         2.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 1         0.25         0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 1         0.25         0.0         0.0           I.         Water Quanity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 10         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Reported         > 1000         3         1         10%			С	1	1	0.25		1.7
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
Water Use         Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s         2         0.5         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         1         0.25         2.5           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0           1         1         0.33         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation </td <td>E.</td> <td>Estimated Current Ground</td> <td>High &gt; 64 L/s</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>10%</td> <td>0.0</td>	E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline $Low < 32 L/s & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & $$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$		Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
Supply Systems $2-5$ $2$ $0.66$ $0.0$ 1         1         0.33         0.0           0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         10.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Ibilikely         1         1         0.25         2.5         2.5	F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         10.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Vater management planning and future         Possible         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           Vater management planning and future         Possible         2         0.5         0.5         0.0			1	1	0	0.33		0.0
G.       Industry for Negoted production wells, e.g. > 32L/s       2 - 10       2       1       0.5       0.0         H.       Well Density       > 5 km²       3       3       1       10%       0.0         H.       Well Density       > 5 km²       3       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       2       0.5       0.0       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Possible       2       0.5       0.0       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Possible       2       0.5       0.0       0.0         Vater management planning and future regulation       Possible       2       0.5       0.0       0.0       0.0         Vater management planning and future regulation       Possible       2       0.5       0.5       0.0       0.0         Vater management planning and future regulation       Possible       2       0.5       0.5       0.0       0.0         Vater management planning and future regulation       Possible	G	Number of Penorted		0	0	0	5%	0.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         10.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         10.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality         > 3 (regional)         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           I.         Issues/Concerns         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population         > 1000         2         0.5         0.5         0.0         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0		e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
H.       Well Density       > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3       3       1       10%       10.0 $1 - 5 km^2$ 2       0.5       0.5       0.0       0.0       0.0         1       Water Quantity & Quality       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         Issues/Concerns       2 to 3 (local)       2       0.5       0.5       0.0         Reported       1 (isolated)       1       1       0.25       2.5         None reported       0       1       1       0.25       2.5         None reported       0       3       1       10%       0.0         J.       Estimated Population       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0         Served by Groundwater       500 - 1000       2       0.5       2.5       2.5         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Possible       2       0.5       0.0       0.0         Unitikely       1       1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>none reported</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0.0</td>			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c } & 1 & -5  {\rm km}^2 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1  {\rm km}^2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1  {\rm km}^2 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1  {\rm km}^2 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1  {\rm ssues/Concerns} & 2  {\rm to}  3  ({\rm regional}) & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & 2  {\rm to}  3  ({\rm local}) & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & 1  ({\rm isolated}) & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 2.5 \\ \hline & & & & & 0.0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1  {\rm (isolated}) & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$	H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline $-1$ & $0.25$ & $0.0$ \\ \hline $-1$ & $0.0$ & $1$ & $1$ & $0.0$ & $0.0$ \\ \hline $-1$ & $1$ & $1$ & $0.0$ & $$			1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
I.Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported> 3 (regional)3110% $0.0$ $2$ to 3 (local)2 $0.5$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $1$ (isolated)11 $0.25$ $2.5$ $0.0$ $J.$ Estimated Population Served by Groundwater> 100031 $10\%$ $0.0$ $J.$ Estimated Population Served by Groundwater> 100031 $10\%$ $0.0$ $K.$ Water management planning and future regulationBeing planned31 $10\%$ $0.0$ $V.$ $Possible$ $2$ $0.5$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $V.$ $V.$ $V.$ $V.$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $V.$ $V.$ $V.$ $V.$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $V.$ $V.$ $V.$ $V.$ $V.$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $V.$			< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Concerns Reported         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0           1 (isolated)         1         1         0.25         2.5           1 (isolated)         1         1         0.25         2.5           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         2         0.5         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Versition         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0	Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
Reported         1 (isolated) none reported         1         1         0.25         2.5           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         0.25         2.5           K.         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0		Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
Image: constraint of the second se		Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           Served by Groundwater         500 - 1000         2         0.5         0.0			none reported	0		0		0.0
Served by Groundwater         500 - 1000         2         0.5         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         0.25         2.5           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
K.Water management planning and future regulationBeing planned310.252.5K.Water management planning and future regulationBeing planned3110%0.0Unlikely110.250.50.0		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.Water management planning and future regulationBeing planned3110%0.0Possible20.50.0Unlikely110.253.3			< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
regulation Possible 2 0.5 0.0	K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
Linikely 1 1 0.5 2.		regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
			Linlikely	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
Total 32.1			Chinkory		1	0.20	Total	32.1

Aquifer	Number: 753	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Quadra Islan	d		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Penorted		0		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_					0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		- ,		-	-	Total	39.4

Aquifer	Number: 755	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Quadra Islan	d		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Pepertod		0	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_					0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				Total	29.4

Aquifer	Number: 761	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Quadra Islan	d		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		11	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	i territing	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	Ū	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	-	$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$r = 5 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Describe			<u> </u>	1070	0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5		0.0
		Uniikely	1		0.20	Total	3.5 22.5
L						iotai	22.2

Aquifer	Number: 762	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Quadra Islan	d		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	11	2		0.5		0.0
		III	-		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.y. > 32L/S	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density		3	3	1	10%	10.0
		> 5 km <sup>-</sup>	2	5	0.5	1070	10.0
		1 – 5 Km <sup>-</sup>	- 1		0.25		0.0
	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km <sup>2</sup>			1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	2  to  3  (local)	3		0.5	10 %	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	2 1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.20		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future					1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Uniikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3
						TULAI	44.4

Item         Description         Measure         Point Scale         Points Assigned         Weighting Factor         Maximum Weighting         Score           A.         Aquifer Area         > 50 km²         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0           B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         1         1         0.0         0	Aquifer	Number: 764	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Port McNeil -	east		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \end{tabular} \\ \hline \e$			$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
B. Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         3         1         10%         0.0           C. Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5%         0.0           C. Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5%         0.0           D. Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         11         1.0 - 0.24         5%         2.6           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s			$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
Control         Decode Math         I         2         0.5         0.0           III         1         0.25         0.25         0.0         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5%         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         11         1.0 - 0.24         5%         2.6           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         1         1         0.25         2.5.0           III         1         1         0.25         0.5         0.0         0.0           Heilden 32         2 - 10         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0           Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         1         1         0.25         0.0         0.0           III         1.5 km²         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         <	В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		i tainting	l	2	2	0.5		<u>г</u> о
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			111	2	2	0.25		5.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		A swifes Oleanification and		1		0.20	50/	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	C.	Aquiter Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	2	1	5%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		i tainting	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         11         1.0 - 0.24         5%         2.6           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 · 64 L/s         3         1         1.0%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         1.0%         0.0           G.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         1.033         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%			C	1		0.20		0.0
Itemating         (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         11         1.0 - 0.24         5%         2.6           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality         > 5 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality         > 3 (regional)         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0	D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 · 64 L/s         3         1         10% 0.5         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         1         0.25         0.0           G.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         1         0.33         5.0           Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         <			(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
Water Use         Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s         2         0.5         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         0.25         2.5           G.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0           Image: Construct of Construct of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 1         1         0.33         1         5.0           Medium 32 - 64 L/s         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         2 - 10         2         0.5         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater regulation	E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
Low < 32 L/s         1         1         0.25         2.5           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0         0.0         0.0           Image: Supply Systems         2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0         0.0           Image: Supply Systems         2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0         0.0           Image: Supply Systems         > 10         3         1         0.33         5.0         0.0           Image: Supply Systems         > 10         3         1         5.0         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         5%         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0 <td></td> <td>Water Use</td> <td>Medium 32 - 64 L/s</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>0.5</td> <td></td> <td>0.0</td>		Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
Supply Systems         2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0           1         1         1         0.33         5.0           none reported         0         0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           griduction wells, e.g. > 32L/s         2 - 10         2         0.5         0.25         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Voltikely         1         0.55         0.0	F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         10.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Value         Possible         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Mathe			1 none reported	1	1	0.33		5.0
O.         Industry of Reported production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         2 – 10         2         0.5         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Value         Possible         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           J.         Estimated Population served by Groundwater         Solo - 1000         2         0.5         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future	G	Number of Peported		0		0	5%	0.0
production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         1         0.0         0.25         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         10.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 5 km²         2         0.5         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Water management planning and future         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Water management planning and future         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Water management planning and future         Possible         2	0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
Image: model model model method me		e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
H.       Well Density $> 5 \text{ km}^2$ 3       3       1       10%       10.0         1 - 5 km²       2       0.5       0.5       0.0         1 - 5 km²       1       0.25       0.0         1       Water Quantity &Quality       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         1       Issues/Concerns       2 to 3 (local)       2       0.5       0.0       0.0         1       Isolated)       1       0.25       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       > 1000       2       0.5       2.5       2.5         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Possible       2       0.5       0.0       0.0         Unlikely       1       1       0.25       0.5       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       Possible       2       0.5       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>none reported</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0.0</td>			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           Issues/Concerns Reported         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           1 (isolated)         1         0         0         0         0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Volte         Possible         2         0.5         0.5         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3         0.0         0.0         0.0			< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Concerns Reported         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0           1 (isolated)         1         0.25         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Volume         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0           Volume         Volume         1         1         0.25         3.3         0.0	Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
Reported         1 (isolated) none reported         1         0.25         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Volume         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3         0.0		Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           Served by Groundwater         500 - 1000         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Volume         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3         0.0			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Served by Groundwater         500 - 1000         2         0.5         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           V.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           V.         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         0.25         2.5           M.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Volta         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.Water management planning and future regulationBeing planned3110%0.0Possible Unlikely20.50.00.00.0Unlikely110.253.3			< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
praining and future         Possible         2         0.5         0.0           regulation         Possible         2         0.5         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3	K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
Cost of the second se		regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
Total 26.0			Unlikelv	ے 1	1	0.5		0.0
				· · ·	1 1	0.20	Total	36.0

Aquifer	Number: 766	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Anahim - E o	f Tweedsmui	r Park	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	I	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1	-		Total	26.9

Aquifer	Number: 768	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Anahim - Eas	t of Tweedsn	nuir Park	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ũ	II.	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	-	-	0.25		0.0
С	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported		0		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	-		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	vveli Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerved by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		,	· ·			Total	34.4

Aquifer	Number: 769	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Clearwater, I	North of Kam	oops	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	100/	2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		"	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1 none reported	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	-		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	regulation	Deesible	<u> </u>		0 5		0.0
	- Salation	LINIKALV	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIINETY	ı ı	1	0.20	Total	46.2
						1 5101	70.2

Item         Description         Measure         Point Scale         Points Assigned         Weighting Factor Factor         Maximum Weighting         Score           A.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         > 50 km²         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         1         3         3         1         10%         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         3         1         50         0.0         <	Aquifer	Number: 770	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Clearwater, I	North of Kam	loops	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
B. Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         1         3         3         1         10%         10.0           III         2         0.5         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0           C. Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         3         1         5%         5.0           D. Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         3         1         5%         5.0           D. Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         13         1.0 - 0.24         5%         3.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 = 64 L/s         3         3         1         10.0         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         2 = 5         2         2         0.66         10.0         <			< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
III         2         0.5         0.0           III         1         0.25         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5%         5.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         13         1.0 - 0.24         5%         3.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         3         1         10%         10.0           F.         Number of Ground Water         > 5         3         3         1         10%         10.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 1         1         0.25         0.0           F.         Number of Reported         > 0         0         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         15%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10	В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			. II	2		0.5		0.0
C. Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         3         1         5%         5.0           D. Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         0.5         0.25         0.0           D. Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         13 $1.0 - 0.24$ 5%         3.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s         3         3         1         10%         10.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         10.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 1         5 km²         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.				1		0.25		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         13         1.0 - 0.24         5%         3.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         3         1         10%         10.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         10.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 1         1         1         0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water management planning and future regulation         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3			С	1		0.25		0.0
Ratiking         (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         13         1.0 - 0.24         5%         3.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 · 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s         3         3         1         10%         10.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 1         15%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 10         3         2         0.5         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 2         0.5         0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         10.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality sues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planing and future regulation         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K. <td< td=""><td></td><td>Ranking</td><td>(based on 7 sub-factors)</td><td>5 to 21</td><td>13</td><td>1.0 – 0.24</td><td>5%</td><td>3.1</td></td<>		Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
Water Use         Medium 32 - 64 L/s         2         0.5         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           Supply Systems         2 - 5         2         2         0.66         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         1         1         0.33         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s          2         0.5         2.5         0.0           Medium 32 - 64 L/s         2         1         0.25         0.0         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         2         2         0.5         0.0         2.5         0.0	E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 1         0         0         0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         > 1000         2         0.5         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Vation         Possible         2         0.5         0.5         0.0         0.0			1	1		0.33		0.0
G.       Number of Reported production wells, e.g. > 32L/s $2 - 10$ $3$ $1$ $0.0$ $2.5$ Mean of regioned production wells, e.g. > 32L/s $2 - 10$ $2$ $2$ $0.5$ $0.25$ $0.0$ H.       Well Density       > 5 km <sup>2</sup> $3$ $3$ $1$ $10\%$ $0.0$ H.       Well Density       > 5 km <sup>2</sup> $3$ $3$ $1$ $10\%$ $0.0$ I.       Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional) $3$ $1$ $10\%$ $0.0$ J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000 $3$ $1$ $10\%$ $0.0$ K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Being planned $3$ $1$ $10\%$ $0.0$ K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Being planned $3$ $1$ $10\%$ $0.0$ Mean duard       Unlikely $1$ $1$ $0.25$ $0.0$ $0.0$	G	Number of Reported	none reported	0		0	5%	0.0
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	5%	0.0
e.g. > 32L/s         none reported         0         0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         10.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         10.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3         0.0         0.0		production wells,	<2	1	2	0.5		2.5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		e.g. > 32L/s	-			0.20		0.0
H.       Well Density       > 5 km²       3       3       1       10%       10.0 $1-5$ km²       2       0.5       0.5       0.0 $1-5$ km²       1       0.25       0.0         I.       Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       2       0.5       2.5       2.5         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Being planned       3       1       10%       0.0         Unlikely       1       1       0.25       0.5       0.0       0.0       0.0			none reported	0		0		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } & 1-5\text{km}^2 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & 0.0 \\ $	H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & < 1 \mbox{ km}^2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline  Water Quantity & Quality & >3 (regional) & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline \mbox{ lssues/Concerns & 2 to 3 (local) & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline \mbox{ Reported & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline \mbox{ lssues/Concerns & 2 to 3 (local) & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline \mbox{ lssues/Concerns & 2 to 3 (local) & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline \mbox{ lssues/Concerns & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \mbox{ lssues/Concerns & 2 to 3 (local) & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline \mbox{ lssues/Concerns & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \mbox{ lssues/Concerns & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \mbox{ lssues/Concerns & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \mbox{ lssues/Concerns & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \mbox{ lssues/Concerns & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \mbox{ lssues/Concerns & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \mbox{ lssues/Concerns & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \mbox{ lssues/Concerns & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & $			1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
I.Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported> 3 (regional)3110%0.0 $2$ to 3 (local)20.50.50.0 $1$ (isolated)10000.0J.Estimated Population Served by Groundwater> 10003110%0.0 $500 - 1000$ 20.50.00.0K.Water management planning and future regulationBeing planned3110%0.0 $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.5$			< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.Estimated Population Served by Groundwater> 10003110%0.0Served by Groundwater $500 - 1000$ 20.50.0< 500			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Served by Groundwater         500 - 1000         2         0.5         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Vertication         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         0.0         0.0	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         0.25         2.5           Model         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Model         Possible         2         0.5         0.0           Model         1         1         0.25         0.5		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.     Water management planning and future regulation     Being planned     3     1     10%     0.0       Possible     2     0.5     0.0     0.0       Unlikely     1     1     0.25     3.3			< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
praiming and roture         Possible         2         0.5         0.0           unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3	K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
Cost of the second se		regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		- 0	Unlikelv	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
l lotal 1 58.9 l			Crimitory			0.20	Total	58.9

Item         Description         Measure         Point Scale         Points Assigned         Weighting Factor Factor Meighting         Maximum Weighting Score           A         Aquifer Area         > 50 km²         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0           B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         1         10%         0.0	Aquifer	Number: 773	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Clearwater, I	North of Kam	loops	
A.         Aquifor Area $> 50  \mathrm{km}^2$ 3         1         10%         0.0           0.         0.5 km²         2         0.5 km²         0.0 km²         0.5 km²         0.5 km²         0.0 km²	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
< 10 km²         1         1         0.25         2.5           B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         1         3         1         10%         5.0           B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Development         1         2         0.5         5.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5%         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         0.5         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         9         1.0 - 0.24         5%         2.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           Low < 32 L/s			$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of bevelopment         1 II         3 2         1 0.5         10% 0.0         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3 8         1         5% 0.0         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5% 0.0         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         9         1.0-0.24         5%         2.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 Us Medium 32 - 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         1         1         0.25         0.6         0.0         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         >10         1         0.25         0.0         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality issues/Concerns Reported         >1         10%         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality issue			$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
Intervent         II         2         0.5         5.0           III         1         0.25         0.0         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5%         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value         0.25         1.7         0.0           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water         > 5         5         3         1         10%         0.0           Supply Systems         2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 1         1         0.33         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns         > 1 (solated)         2         0.5         0.0         0.0 <t< td=""><td>В.</td><td>Aquifer Classification and</td><td>Degree of Development</td><td>3</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>10%</td><td>0.0</td></t<>	В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				2	2	0.5		E O
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				2	2	0.25		5.0
C.         Ranking         Vulnerability         R         2         0.5         0.0           Ranking         C         1         1         0.25         0.0         1.7           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         9         1.0 - 0.24         5%         2.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Inrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 1000         3         1         10%	C	Aquifer Classification and	Vulporability A	3		1	5%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 1.7 \\ \hline D. & Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) & 5 to 21 & 9 & 1.0 - 0.24 & 5\% & 2.1 \\ \hline E. & Estimated Current Ground Water Use & Medium 32 - 64 L/s & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline Water Use & Medium 32 - 64 L/s & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline Low < 32 L/s & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 2.5 \\ \hline F. & Number of Ground Water & > 5 & 3 & 1 & 15\% & 0.0 \\ Supply Systems & 2 - 5 & 2 & 0.66 & 0.0 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline Number of Reported & > 10 & 3 & 1 & 5\% & 0.0 \\ roduction wells, & 2 & 10 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline e. & none reported & > 10 & 3 & 1 & 5\% & 0.0 \\ \hline roduction wells, & 2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline e. & none reported & > 10 & 3 & 1 & 5\% & 0.0 \\ \hline H. & Well Density & > 5 km^2 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline H. & Well Density & > 5 km^2 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline I. & Water Quantity & 3 (regional) & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline I. & Water Quantity & 3 (regional) & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline J. & Estimated Population & > 1000 & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline J. & Estimated Population & > 10000 & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline K. & Water management planned & > 1000 & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline K. & Water management planned & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline K. & Water management planned management planning and future regulation & > 1000 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline K. & Water management planning and future regulation & > 1000 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline K. & Water management planned management planning and future regulation & > 1000 & 1 & 0.05 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ \hline K. & Water management planned management planning and future regulation & 1 & 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0$	0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	570	0.0
D. Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         9         1.0 - 0.24         5%         2.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         1         0.25         2.5           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management regulation         Stool         1         0.25 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>C</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.25</td> <td></td> <td>1.7</td>			C	1	1	0.25		1.7
Ranking         (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         9         1.0 - 0.24         5%         2.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Sues/Concerns Reported	D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s         3 2 1         1 0.5         10% 0.0         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10% 0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         15% 0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0		Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
Water Use         Medium 32 - 64 L/s         2         0.5         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         0.25         2.5           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Verted by Croundwater         500 <td>E.</td> <td>Estimated Current Ground</td> <td>High &gt; 64 L/s</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>10%</td> <td>0.0</td>	E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
Low < 32 L/s         1         1         0.25         2.5           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           2 - 5         2         0.66         0.00         0.0         0.0         0.0           0.00         1         1         0.33         0.0         0.0         0.0           Mumber of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0         0.0           Hrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         2 - 10         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0		Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
Supply Systems $2-5$ $2$ $0.66$ $0.0$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $0.33$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.33$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.25$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $e.g. > 32L/s$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $e.g. > 32L/s$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $e.g. > 32L/s$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $1 - 5  km^2$ $2$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $H.$ Well Density $> 5  km^2$ $3$ $3$ $1$ $10\%$ $1 - 5  km^2$ $2$ $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $1 (solated)$ $1$ $0.25$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $1 (solated)$ $1$ $0.25$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $1 (solated)$ $1$ $0.25$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $2 500 - 1000$ $2$	F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
Image: Constraint of the second sec		Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10 2 2         30 2         1 2         5% 0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Value         Densible         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0			1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
O.         Infinition on of large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         2 - 10         2         0.5         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality         > 3 (regional)         2         0.5         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality         > 3 (regional)         2         0.5         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality         > 3 (regional)         2         0.5         0.0           I (isolated)         1         0.25         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         500 - 1000         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Possible         2         0.5	G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
production wells, e.g. > 32L/s          < 2         1         0.25         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         10.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Value         Possible         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         Possible         2         0.5         0.0           J.         J.         J.         J.         J	0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
e.g. > 32L/s       none reported       0       0       0       0       0.0         H.       Well Density       > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3       3       1       10%       10.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Possible       2       0.5       0.0       0.0         Vater management       Being planned       3       1       10%       0.0       0.0       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Possible       2       0.5       0.0       0.0         Unlikely       1       1       0.25       3.3       3.3       3.3       3.3       3.3       3.3		production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		010
Image: mode reported         0         0         0         0         0         0.0           H.         Well Density $> 5  \mathrm{km}^2$ 3         3         1         10%         10.0 $1 - 5  \mathrm{km}^2$ 2         0.5         0.5         0.0 $1 - 5  \mathrm{km}^2$ 1         0.25         0.0           1.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           1.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         2         0.5         0.0           1.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         2         0.5         0.0           1 (isolated)         1         0.25         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         0.3         3.3         3.3         3.3         3.3		e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
H.       Well Density $> 5  \text{km}^2$ 3       3       1       10%       10.0         1 - 5 km <sup>2</sup> 2       0.5       0.5       0.0         .       Mater Quantity & Quality       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality       > 3 (regional)       2       0.5       0.0       0.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality       > 3 (regional)       2       0.5       0.0       0.0         I.       Issues/Concerns       2 to 3 (local)       2       0.5       0.0			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c } & 1-5\text{km}^2 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$	H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0 $Reported$ 2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.5         0.0         0.0 $Reported$ 1 (isolated)         1         0.25         0.0         0.0         0.0 $J.$ Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0 $Served$ by Groundwater         > 000         2         0.5         0.0         0.0 $K.$ Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0 $Vertice         Possible         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           Vertice<$			< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Concerns Reported         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0           1 (isolated)         1         0.25         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Vulnikely         1         1         0.25         2.5         3.3	Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
Reported         1 (isolated) none reported         1         0.25         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Voltable         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3         0.0		Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
Image: constraint of the second se		Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           Served by Groundwater         500 - 1000         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Vertication         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         0.0         0.0			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Served by Groundwater         500 - 1000         2         0.5         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Vertication         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         0.0         0.0	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         0.25         2.5           Voltage         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         0.0           Total         29.6         1         1         29.6		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.     Water management planning and future regulation     Being planned     3     1     10%     0.0       Possible     2     0.5     0.0     0.0       Unlikely     1     1     0.25     3.3			< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
Prossible         2         0.5         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3	K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
Fossible         2         0.3         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3           Total         29.6         29.6         3.3		regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
Total 29.6			Linlikelv	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
						0.20	Total	29.6

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0774	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Upland Area and S	W of Houston - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
	r tanting	ll	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > $64 \text{ L/s}$	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium $32 - 64$ L/s	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 321 /s	2 - 10	2		0.5		0.0
	0220	none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3	3	1	10%	10.0
			2	5	0.5	1070	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	-		0.05		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water management planning and	> 500 Boing planpod	1	1	0.20		2.5
r.	future regulation		3		1	10%	
	5	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely		1	0.5		2.5
		0	<u></u>		0.20	Total	28.20

Aquifer	Number: 777	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Deadman Va	lley NW of Ka	mloops	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Ŭ	II.	2		0.5		0.0
		III	-		0.25		0.0
С	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		I none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s		<u> </u>		0		0.0
	Wall Density	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
п.	weir Density	> 5 km²	3			10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Deputation	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		I	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
<u>к</u> .	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0 0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	-	1	0.25		3.3
		· · · · ·	n	•		Total	46.0

Aquifer	Number: 786	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Sidney Island	d, North End		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	I	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, a = 321/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 52L/5	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
н	Well Density		3	0	1	10%	0.0
		> 5 Km <sup>-</sup>	2	2	0.5	1070	0.0
		1 – 5 Km <sup>-</sup>	- 1	2	0.25		5.0
	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns		3		0.5	10 /6	0.0
	Reported	2 (0 3 (10cal) 1 (isolated)	2	1	0.5		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	- 1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	109/	
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3
						rotai	31.0

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0789	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	SW of Terrace alor	ig Skeena River - SO	P	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25	<b>5</b> %	2.5
U.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	2	5	0.5	576	5.0
	r territing	B C	-		0.25		0.0
		C	I				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	4	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
1.	Systems	2.5	3		0.66	1370	0.0
		2-5	2		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	vveil Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		- <b>4</b> here <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
	Mater Overthe and Overlite	< 1 km <sup>-</sup>				100/	0.0
Ι.	Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3	0	1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
к	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1		2.0
	future regulation		Ĭ			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
			•	••		Total	29.64

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0790	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Terrace -	SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	100/	
	Ralikilig	I	3		0.5	10 %	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > $64 \text{ L/s}$	3		1	10%	0.0
	036	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	_	0.33		0.0
	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported	0	0	0	E0/	0.0
О.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	576	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	- 1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	Water management planning and		1	1	0.25		2.5
ĸ.	future regulation	Being planned	3		I	10%	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2 1	1	0.25		2.5
					0.20	Total	24.64

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0791	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Terrace a	nd near Terrace Airp	ort - SOP	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25	E0/	2.5
C.	Aquiter Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	2		1	5%	0.0
	· carning	C	1	1	0.25		1.2
			'	'			1.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > $64 \text{ L/s}$	3		1	10%	0.0
	USE	$1000 \le 32 \ \text{J}/\text{s}$	2 1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0	-0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10 2 - 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1070	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	-	2	0.05		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	I		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Deputation Served by	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	~ 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
						1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		UTIlikely		1	0.20	Total	2.5

quifer Nu	umber: 0792	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West shore of Lal	West shore of Lakelse Lake - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Sco
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of					2
	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0
		II	2		0.5		0
		III	1	1	0.25		2
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0
		С	1	1	0.25		1
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0
-	Number of Cround Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	150/	2
г.	Systems	20	3		1	15%	0
	- ,	2-5	2		0.66		0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	ů ř	1	5%	0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0
		none reported	0	0	0		0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5
		- 4 km <sup>2</sup>	1	_	0.25		
	Water Quantity and Quality	< 1 KIII > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0
	Issues/Concerns Reported		5			1070	0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0
		1 (Isolated)	1	0	0.25		0
J	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3	0	1		0
0.	Groundwater		, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			10%	0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0
		Possible	2		0.5		0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.
						Total	20

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0793	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East shore of Lake	lse Lake - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kanking	I	2		0.5		0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 - 10	2		0.5		0.0
		nono roportod	0	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density		3	0	1	109/	0.0
		> 5 KIII	2		0.5	1076	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Deputation Served by	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	> 1000	3		I	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
				1	0.20		2.5
۴.	future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Dessible	2		0.5		0.0
			2 1	1	0.5		2.5
		Officery	<u> </u>	ļļ '	0.20	Total	24.64

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0794	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Lakelse L	ake - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	1001	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			1	1	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > $64 \text{ L/s}$	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported	0	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	576	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		Offinitely		1 1	0.20	Total	25.12

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0795	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Two Mile NW of Ne	w Hazleton - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
	5		2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
⊢.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	0	0.33		0.0
	Number of Reported Irrightion		0	0	0	E0/	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 - 10	2		0.5	576	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	0	0.25		0.0
	Estimated Deputation Served by		0	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	> 1000	5		I I	10%	0.0
	Circuitation .	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	tuture regulation					1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	29.88

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0796	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	New Hazleton - SO	P		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
	A quifer Area		2	Assigned	1		
А.	Aquiler Area	> 50 km²	3		I	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km²	'	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquiter Classification and Banking	Development	3		1	10%	0.0
	r canking		2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
	Aquifar Classification and	Danking Value					
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
	3	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 - 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		
		1 – 5 KII	1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	I		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Giodinawater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	1001	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
1						Total	28.92

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0797	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Kispioux Village - S	SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2	2	0.5	1078	5.0
		III	- 1	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium $32 - 64 L/s$	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 - 10	2		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.20		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	-	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Dessible	2		0.5		0.0
		Possible	2 1	2	0.5		5.0
		Offinitely		1	0.20	Total	29.88

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0798	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North of Kispioux	Village - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
	. contrary		2		0.5	10,0	0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		2.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		0.25	15%	2.3
••	Systems	2 5	3		0.66	1070	0.0
	-	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. $> 221/2$	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 520/5	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	vveil Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	unknown	0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	inter of oggination	Dessible			0.5		0.0
		POSSIDIE	2	1	0.5		2.5
		Onincery			0.20	Total	16.67

quifer Nı	umber: 0799	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Valemont - SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Sco
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		Ш	1	1	0.25		0.0
C	Aquifor Classification and	Vulporability A	3	1	1	5%	2.3
С.	Ranking	Vulnerability A	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		6	1	1	0.25		0.0
		C	1	1			1.
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 321 /s	2 = 10	2		0.5		0.0
	012.0	~ 2			0.25		0.0
	Well Density	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5 (
		2	1		0.25		0.
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>					0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.
К.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	100/	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
		<b>·</b>				Total	23.4

quifer Nı	umber: 0800	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Valemont - SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Sco
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		
		$< 10 \ \mathrm{km}^2$	1		0.25		5.0
В	Aquifer Classification and	> 10 km					0.0
5.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	2	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.
		С	1		0.25		0.
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	2.
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.
		1	1		0.33		0.
		none reported	0	0	0		0.
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.
	> 32L/s	2 = 10	2		0.5		0.
		none reported	0	0	0		0.
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	Ŭ	1	10%	0
		$1 - 5 km^2$	2		0.5		
		1 – 5 KIII	1	2	0.25		5.
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	I		0.25		0.
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.
		none reported	0	0	0		0.
J.	Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.
		Possible	2		0.5		0.
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.
						Total	27.

Item Description Measure Point Scale Points Weighting Maxim	num Score hting						
<b>A.</b> Aquiter Area $> 50 \text{ km}^2$ <b>3 1</b> 1	% 00						
$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$ <b>2</b> 0.5	0.0						
10-50 km $1$ $1$ $0.25$	0.0						
S Aquifer Classification and Degree of 1	2.5						
Ranking Development I 3 10°	% 0.0						
	5.0						
III 1 0.25	0.0						
C. Aquifer Classification and Vulnerability A 3 1 5%	6 0.0						
Ranking B 2 0.5	0.0						
C 1 1 0.25	17						
D Assistant Classification and Completing Value	1.7						
Ranking							
(based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 8 1.0 - 0.24 5%	6 1.9						
E. Estimated Current Ground High > 64 L/s 3 1 109	% 0.0						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.0						
Elim     Size / Si	× 0.0						
Supply Systems $2-5$ $2$ $0.66$	10.0						
1 1 0.33	0.0						
none reported 0 0	0.0						
G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%	6 0.0						
Irrigation and large 2 – 10 2 0.5	0.0						
e.g. > 32L/s	0.0						
none reported 0 0 0	0.0						
H. Well Density $> 5 \text{ km}^2$ 3 3 1 10°	% 10.0						
$1 - 5 \mathrm{km^2}$ 2 0.5	0.0						
1 0.25	0.0						
I. Water Quantity & Quality > 3 (regional) 3 1 10°	× 0.0						
Issues/Concerns 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5	0.0						
Reported 1 (isolated) 1 0.25	0.0						
none reported 0 0 0	0.0						
J. Estimated Population > 1000 3 1 10°	% 0.0						
Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5	0.0						
< 500 1 1 0.25	2.5						
K. Water management Being planned 3 1	%						
regulation Describe	0.0						
	0.0						
Tot	al 39.4						
Aquifer	Number: 802	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Revelstoke S	outh		
---------	------------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------	--------------------	---------------------	----------------------	-------
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	i tanking		0		0.5	1070	5.0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
			1		0.25	50/	0.0
C.	Aquiter Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		Б	2		0.5		0.0
		L L	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
F	Estimated Current Ground	High > $64 \text{ L/s}$	3		1	10%	0.0
L.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Number of Deported	none reported	0		0	E 9/	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_					0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Devulation	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	Matar management	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0 0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	53.7

Aquifer	Number: 803	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Revelstoke T	ownsite		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	- 1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Deerikis	_		0.5		0.0
		POSSIDIE	2	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEIy	1	1	0.20	Total	3.3 35.2
	1					iotai	JJ.Z

Aquifer	Number: 806	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Shuswap Riv	er - east of E	nderby	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
⊢.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		,	·			Total	30.2

Aquifer	Number: 807	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North Thomp	son River		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ŭ	II.	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1	2	0.25		0.0
C	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		nono reported	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		010
	e.g. > 32L/s			_	_		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Corved by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	- 1	1	0.25		3.3
		)				Total	43.7

Aquifer	Number 809	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East of Osoy	oos		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		nono reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	10%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		010
	e.g. > 32L/s						2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	<b>-</b>	1.7
						l otal	22.5

Aquifer	Number: 811	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North of Gra	nd Forks		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		10 00 km²	1	1	0.25		0.0
B	Aquifer Classification and				1		2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
	-	Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
			-	-	0.25		0.0
С	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		C C	1		0.25		0.0
			1				0.0
D.	Aquiter Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	water use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66	1570	10.0
		1	1	-	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.a. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	-	$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	-	0.5		0.0
		1 - 5 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
-	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 Km > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Dessible	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	- Salation	POSSIDIE	2	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEIy	I I	1	0.25	Total	2.5 41 0
						10101	-11.U

Aquifer	Number: 815	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North of Gra	nd Forks		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	i tanking		0		0.5		0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
			1	1	0.20	50/	2.5
C.	Aquiter Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		в	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
<u> </u>	Number of Deported	none reported	0	0	0	E0/	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 - 10	3		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s			·	0.20		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future					1070	0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5		0.0
		Uniikely		1	0.20	Total	3.3
						TULAI	27.4

Item         Description         Measure         Point Scale         Points Assigned         Weighting Factor         Maximum Weighting         Score           A         Aquifer Area         > 50 km²         3         1         10%         0.0         0	Aquifer	Number: 816	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	<b>Canal Flats</b>			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
<         10 km²         1         1         0.25         2.5           B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         1         3         1         10%         0.0           III         2         2         0.5         5.0         5.0         5.0           III         1         0.25         0.0         5.0         5.0         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         3         1         5%         5.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         13         1.0 - 0.24         5%         3.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0           Low < 32 L/s			$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         3         1         5%         5.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         3         1         5%         5.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         13         1.0 - 0.24         5%         3.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water supply Systems         2 - 5         2         2         0.66         10.0         0.0           G.         none reported         0         0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         >10         1         0.25         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0			< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
III         IIII         IIII         IIII         IIII         IIII         IIII         IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII			11	2	2	0.5		5.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			111	1	_	0.25		0.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Ranking	B	2	-	0.5		0.0
D. Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         13         1.0 - 0.24         5%         3.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported trigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>С</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>0.25</td> <td></td> <td>0.0</td>			С	1		0.25		0.0
Ranking         (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         13         1.0 - 0.24         5%         3.1           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water         > 5         3         1         0.25         2.5           F.         Number of Ground Water         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         1         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         >	D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s         3 2 1         1 0.5         10% 0.0         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         1         0.25         10.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         2         1         1         0.25         10.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         2         0.5         0.0         1.3           I.         Name reported         0         0         0         0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         10.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0		Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
Water Use         Medium 32 - 64 L/s         2         0.5         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water         > 5         3         1         0.25         2.5           F.         Number of Ground Water         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         1         0.33         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           growther         < 2	E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c } \hline & 1 & 1 & 0.33 & 0.0 \\ \hline & none reported & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & none reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 1.3 \\ \hline & e.g. > 32L/s & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 1.3 \\ \hline & none reported & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & none reported & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & 1.5 & 1.3 & 10\% & 10.0 \\ \hline & & & & 1.5 & 1.3 & 10\% & 10.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1.5 & 1.3 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1.5 & 1.3 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1.5 & 1.3 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1.5 & 1.3 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1.5 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1.5 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1.5 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1.5 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1.5 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1.5 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1.5 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1.5 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1.5 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1.5 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 1.5 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 1.5 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 1.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 1.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 1.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 1.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 1.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 1.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 1.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 1.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 1.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 1.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 1.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 1.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & 0.05 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & 0.05 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & 0.05 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & 0.05 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & 0.05 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & 0.05 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         0.25         1.3           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         10.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         10.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         > 1000         2         2         0.5         5.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future         Being planned         3         1         10%			1	1		0.33		0.0
0.1       Initiation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s       2 - 10       2       0.5       0.0         H.       Well Density       > 5 km²       3       3       1       0.25       1.3         H.       Well Density       > 5 km²       3       3       1       10%       10.0         H.       Well Density       > 5 km²       3       3       1       10%       10.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         J.       Estimated Population       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0         J.       Estimated Population       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Being planned       3       1       10%       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Possible       2       0.5       0.0       0.0         Voltikely       1 <td>G</td> <td>Number of Penorted</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>5%</td> <td>0.0</td>	G	Number of Penorted		0		0	5%	0.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
e.g. > 32L/s         none reported         0         0         1.3           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         10.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         10.0           I.         Mater Quantity & Quality         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 2         0.5         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality         > 3 (regional)         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population         > 1000         2         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0		production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
Image: mode reported         0         0         0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         10.0 $1 - 5 km²$ 2         0.5         0.5         0.0 $1 - 5 km²$ 2         0.5         0.0 $1 - 5 km²$ 1         0.25         0.0 $1 - 5 km²$ 1         0.25         0.0 $1 km²$ 1         0.25         0.0 $1 km²$ 1         0.05         0.0 $1 km²$ 1         0.05         0.0 $1 km²$ 2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0 $1 km²$ 1         0.25         0.0         0.0 $1 km²$ 1         0.0         0.0         0.0 $3 km²$ 1         10%         0.0         0.0 $4 km²$ 1         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0 $4 km²$ 1         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0 $4 km²$ 1         0.5         0.0 <td< td=""><td></td><td>e.g. &gt; 32L/s</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.3</td></td<>		e.g. > 32L/s						1.3
H.       Well Density $> 5 \text{ km}^2$ 3       3       1       10%       10.0         1 - 5 km²       2       0.5       0.5       0.0         1 - 5 km²       1       0.25       0.0         1.       Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         1.       Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       2       0.5       0.0         1       (isolated)       1       0.25       0.0       0.0       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Being planned       3       1       10%       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Possible       2       0.5       0.0         Volumikely       1       1       0.25       0.0       0.0			none reported	0		0		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c } & 1-5\text{km}^2 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 11\% & 0\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 11\% & 0\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$	Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           Reported         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           V         Possible         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           V         Heing planned         3         1         10%         0.0           V         Possible         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           V         Unlikely         1         1         10%         0.0			< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Concerns Reported         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0           1 (isolated)         1         0.25         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Volume         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0	Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
Reported         1 (isolated) none reported         1         0.25         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Volume         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3         0.0		Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
Image: second		керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0         Served by Groundwater $500 - 1000$ 2       2       0.5       5.0       5.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Being planned       3       1       10%       0.0         Volume       Possible       2       0.5       0.5       0.0         Unlikely       1       1       0.25       3.3			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Served by Groundwater         500 - 1000         2         2         0.5         5.0         5.0         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Vertication         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         0.0         0.0	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Volume         Possible         2         0.5         0.0		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
K.     Water management planning and future regulation     Being planned     3     1     10%     0.0       Possible     2     0.5     0.0     0.0       Unlikely     1     1     0.25     3.3			< 500	1		0.25		0.0
Possible         2         0.5         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3	K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
Possible         2         0.5         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3		regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
Total 47.7			Linlikely	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
			Chintery		1	0.20	Total	47.7

Aquifer	Number: 817	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	NE of Edgew	ater, Columbi	ia River Valley	,
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	-		2	2	0.5		5.0
		Ш	-	-	0.25		0.0
C	Aquifer Classification and		3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	0	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	керопеа	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Dessible	2		0.5		0.0
		Linlikely	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
		Unincery	I	1	0.20	Total	31.9
	L						51.7

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0818	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Lakelse L	ake - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
	i tanting		2		0.5	1070	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	-	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	4	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2 5	3		0.66	1070	0.0
	-	2-5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. $> 221/2$	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 320/5	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	Mall Descito	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
н.	vveii Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
					0.5		0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5		0.0
		Onincery	L I		0.20	Total	25.94

Aquifer	Number: 819	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Highland Val	ley		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	-	II	2		0.5		0.0
		111	-		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5	- / -	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported		3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	-	-	0.25		2.5
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerved by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		- ····· ,	· ·			Total	32.9

Aquifer	Number: 820	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Highland Val	ley , W of Log	gan Lake	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
⊢.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	I	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
_	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	regulation	Doosible			0.5		0.0
		Linlikely	2 1	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEIy		1	0.25	Total	35.4
	I					1000	55.7

Aquifer	Number: 821	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Highland Valley, W of Logan Lake			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		10 00 km²	1		0.25		5.0
В	Aquifer Classification and				1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3	3	·	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	450/	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	>5	3		1	15%	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1	1	0.25		13
	Ū	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		· · · ·		•		Total	36.6

Aquifer	Number: 822	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Logan Lake, SW of Kamloops			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		none reported	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 - 10	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1	_	0.25		215
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Screed by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
К.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		- ···-·,	<u> </u>	-		Total	52.6

Item         Description         Measure         Point Scale         Points Assigned         Weighting Factor Restrict         Maximum Weighting Factor Restrict         Score Restrict           A         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         > 50 km²         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         1         10%         0.0         0.0           III         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           Ranking         Ulterability         A         3         1         10%         0.0           Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0           Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         1         0.0         0.0           Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         1         0.0         0.0           E         Estimated Current Ground         Ranking Value         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0           F         Number of Ground Water         2-5         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0         0.0 <t< th=""><th>Aquifer</th><th>Number: 823</th><th>Type: Unconsolidated</th><th>Location:</th><th>Logan Lake /</th><th>SW of Kaml</th><th>oops</th><th></th></t<>	Aquifer	Number: 823	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Logan Lake /	SW of Kaml	oops	
A.         Aquifer Area $> 50  km^2$ 3         1         1         10%         0.0           10 - 50 km^2         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           Aquifer Classification an         Degree of Development         1         1         0.25         0.0           B.         Aquifer Classification an         Degree of Development         1         1         0.0         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         1         0.5         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value         B         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Ranking         B         2         0.5         0.0	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \end{tabular} \\ \hline \e$			$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         I         3         1         10%         0.0           III         1         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5%         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         0.5         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         7         1.0 – 0.24         5%         1.7           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s 2         3         1         10%         0.0           Multer Use         2 - 5         3         1         1         0.25         2.5           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         >10         1         0.7         0.5         0.0           Hubbro f Reported         0         0         0         0.0         0.0			$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
Image         Image <th< td=""><td>В.</td><td>Aquifer Classification and Ranking</td><td>Degree of Development</td><td>3</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>10%</td><td>0.0</td></th<>	В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Ш	1	1	0.25		0.0
Banking         Function of the function of th	C	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	2.5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	070	0.0
D. Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         7         1.0 - 0.24         5%         1.7           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 2         0.5         0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1			c	1	1	0.25		1.7
Ranking         (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         7         1.0 - 0.24         5%         1.7           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²²         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²²         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²²         2         0.5         5.0         0.0           I.         Isolates/Concerns Reported         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Reported         > 1000	D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
Water Use         Medium 32 - 64 L/s         2         0.5         0.0           Low < 32 L/s	E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c } \hline & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & none reported & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & none reported \\ lrigation and large \\ production wells, \\ e.g. > 32L/s & 2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & none reported & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & none reported & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline $		Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         0         0         0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>1 none reported</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0.33</td><td></td><td>0.0</td></t<>			1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
0.1       Infinition and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s       2 - 10       2       1       0.5       0.0         H.       Well Density       > 5 km²       3       1       10%       0.0         H.       Well Density       > 5 km²       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       S00 - 1000       2       0.5       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Being planned       3       1       10%       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Possible       2       0.5       0.5       0.0         Vulnikely       1       1       0.25       0.5       0.0       0.0       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       500 - 1000       2       0.5       0.0       0.0       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Possible       2       0.5	G	Number of Peported		0	0	0	5%	0.0
production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         2         1         0.3         0.25         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality         > 5 km²         2         2         0.5         5.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Issues/Concerns         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0           Served by Groundwater         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0	0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
e.g. > 32L/s       none reported       0       0       0       0.0         H.       Well Density       > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3       1       10%       0.0         H.       Well Density       > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 2       2       0.5       5.0 $1 - 5 km^2$ 2       2       0.5       5.0       5.0 $1 - 5 km^2$ 1       0.25       0.0       0.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Being planned       3       1       10%       0.0         Value       Possible       2       0.5       0.5       0.0       0.0         Value       Unlikely       1       1       0.25       0.5       0.0         Value       Name       1       1       0.25       0.0       0.0         Value       Nama		production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
Image: mode reported         0         0         0         0         0.0           H.         Well Density $> 5  \mathrm{km}^2$ 3         1         10%         0.0 $1 - 5  \mathrm{km}^2$ 2         0.5         5.0         5.0         5.0 $1 - 5  \mathrm{km}^2$ 1         0.25         0.0         5.0         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         2         0.5         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         2         0.5         0.0           Stot - 1000         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         0.5         0.0         0.0		e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
H.       Well Density $> 5  \text{km}^2$ 3       1       10%       0.0 $1-5  \text{km}^2$ 2       0.5       5.0       5.0       5.0 $< 1  \text{km}^2$ 1       0.25       0.0       0.0         I.       Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       2       0.5       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       2       0.5       0.0       0.0         K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Being planned       3       1       10%       0.0         Versible       2       0.5       0.5       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Value       Mater management planning and future regulation       Possible       2       0.5       0.0       0.0         Versible       2       0.5       0.5       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } & 1-5\text{km}^2 & 2 & 2 & 0.5 & 5.0 \\ \hline & < 1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.00 \\ \hline & < 1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1\text{km}^2 & 2\text{to 3}(\text{local}) & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & 2\text{to 3}(\text{local}) & 2 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & 1(\text{isolated}) & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & 1(\text{isolated}) & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & 0.0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 00 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 1000 & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 500 - 1000 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 500 - 1000 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 500 - 1000 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 1 & 0.25 & 0.5 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & &$	Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Superior         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.5         0.0         0.0           Reported         1 (isolated)         1         0.0         0.25         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         1         0.25         0.5           Vulnikely         1         1         0.25         0.5         0.0         0.0			< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Concerns Reported         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0           1 (isolated)         1         0.25         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Vulnikely         1         1         0.25         2.5         3.3	Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
Reported         1 (isolated) none reported         1         0.25 0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Volume         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3         0.0<		Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0         Served by Groundwater $500 - 1000$ 2 $0.5$ $0.5$ $0.0$ K.       Water management planning and future regulation       Being planned       3       1 $10\%$ $0.0$ V       Possible       2 $0.5$ $0.0$ $0.0$ Unlikely       1       1 $0.25$ $0.0$			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Served by Groundwater         500 - 1000         2         0.5         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           V.         Verter management regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           V.         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           V.         Unlikely         1         1         0.25         0.0	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
< 500         1         1         0.25         2.5           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Volume         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.     Water management planning and future regulation     Being planned     3     1     10%     0.0       Possible     2     0.5     0.0     0.0       Unlikely     1     1     0.25     3.3			< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
praining and future regulationPossible Unlikely20.50.0Unlikely110.253.3Total21.7	K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
Fossible         2         0.5         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3           Total         21.7         7         1         1		regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
Total 21.7			Unlikely	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
			Chintory		1	0.20	Total	21.7

Aquifer	Number: 824	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Highland Val	ley near Awa	rd Creek	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value	5 to 01	10	10.001	50/	2.4
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2 1		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	Ť	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		33
		,	· ·	1		Total	24.9

Aquifer	Number: 825	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Blue River -	S of Valemon	:	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ŭ	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	2	0.33		10.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		010
	e.g. > 32L/s						1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikelv	1	1	0.25		3.3
		,	II			Total	37.2

Aquifer	Number: 826	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Guichon Cree	ek , S of Kaml	oops	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	T Carriering	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 - 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		010
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	regulation	Dessible			0.5		0.0
	- Salation	Linlikely	2	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEIY		1	0.25	Total	33.5
						Total	JJ.2

Aquifer	Number: 827	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Mar	nit Lake		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2 5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Kanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Number of Deported	none reported	0	0	0	E0/	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 - 10	3		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s				0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Deerikir	_		0.5		0.0
		POSSIDIE	2	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEIy	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 10.4
						10101	17.4

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0828	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Dease Lake - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	1001	2.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	036	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1?	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported	0		0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Dopulation Served by	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	~ 1000	5		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Possiblo	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2 1	1	0.25		2.5
				•		Total	32.38

Aquifer	Number: 829	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Wells			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
		l	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
_	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	I	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	- / -	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s				-		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
н.	well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Development	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerved by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	26.9

Aquifer	Number: 830	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	S of Bella Co	ola R./E of Be	ella Coola	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	J. J	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			-	-	0.25		0.0
С	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	C C	0.5	• • • •	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	I	0.55		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/S	nono reported	0		0		1.3
н	Well Density		0	2	1	100/	0.0
	Weil Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (Isolated)	1	0	0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population		3	0	1	100/	0.0
0.	Served by Groundwater	500 4000	5			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	1	0.5		0.0
ĸ	Water management	N 200 Reing planned	। २	1	0.20		2.5
IX.	planning and future		5		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	39.5

Aquifer	Number: 831	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	S of Bella Co	ola R./E of Be	ella Coola	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ũ		2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	-	-	0.25		0.0
C	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	C C	0.5	• • • •	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	i tanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	I	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s	and an added	0	0	0		0.0
	Wall Dansity	none reported	0	0	0	100/	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Deputation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	Motor monogoment	< 500 Deing planned	1	1	0.25		2.5
ĸ.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	- 1	1	0.25		3.3
		· · ·				Total	46.2

Aquifer	Number: 832	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	S of Bella Coola R./E of Bella Coola			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450(	2.5
⊢.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	2	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2 1	2	0.00		10.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. $> 321 / s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. * 022/0	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	-	0.5		0.0
		$r = 5 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2 1	1	0.25		33
		,		1 1		Total	43.5

Aquifer	Number: 833	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	S of Bella Co	ola R. /E of N	lusatsum R.	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		I none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		010
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
	Wall Density	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
п.	weir Density	> 5 km²	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Development	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Convectory Croundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikelv	1	1	0.25		3.3
		- ···-·,				Total	28.0

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0834	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Savary Island - Lov	ver Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Ranking	П	2		0.5		0.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	15	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	- 1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 321 /s	2 - 10	2		0.5		0.0
	022.0	none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	None reported	3	0	1	10%	0.0
		- 5 KII	2	5	0.5	1070	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	-		0.0		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Dopulation Served by	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	- 1000	3		I	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
L		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Officery		4	0.20	Total	46.07

quifer Nu	umber: 0836	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Powell River - Lov	ver Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kanking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	1	0.5		0.0
		6	1	1			1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
_		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	Hign > 64 L/s	3	2	1	10%	0.0
	Ose	$1000 \le 32 \frac{1}{5}$	2	2	0.5		5.0 0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	.	0.5		0.0
K			1	1	0.20		2.5
K.	future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0837	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Powell River East	Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	Ŭ	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	_	0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		· *	•	•••		Total	20.23

ulter N	umber: 0838	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Powell River - Lo	wer Mainland		-
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	11	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	USe	Medium $32 - 64$ L/s	2	1	0.5		2.5
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	2.0
	Systems	2 - 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
н.	well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	11	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	30.94

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0839	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Powell River - Low	er Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Ш	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	Ű	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 320/5	< 2	1	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density		3	0	1	10%	0.0
		- 5 Kill	2	5	0.5	1078	10.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>-</sup>	-		0.05		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (Isolated)	1	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	Ŭ	1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water management planning and	< 500 Reing planned	1	1	0.25		2.5
к.	future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	T-4-1	2.5
1						Iotal	30.70

Aquifer	Number: 841	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	S Cortes Isla	nd		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	i tainting		2	2	0.5		Г 0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
	A swifes Oleasification and		1		0.20	<b>5</b> 0/	0.0
C.	Aquiter Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	i tainting	В	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.20		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Number of Deported	none reported	0		0	E 0/	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	3 2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	<2	1		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	-	·		0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Dessible	2		0.5		0.0
		POSSIDIE	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
		Utilikely	I	1	0.25	Total	30.6
						10101	55.0

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Item & Description & Measure & Point Scale & Points Assigned & Weighting & Maximum Maximum Assigned & Assigned & I & I & Weighting & Maximum Maximum Maximum Maximum Assigned & I & I & I & I & I & I & I & I & I & $	Aquifer	Number: 847	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Campbell Riv	er (south)		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         1         3         1         10%         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5%         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5%         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         8         1.0 - 0.24         5%         1.9           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           Low < 32 L/s			< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Ranking	II	0		0.5	1070	0.0
C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability B         A         3         1         5%         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability C         A         3         1         5%         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         8         1.0 - 0.24         5%         1.9           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         1         10%         0.0           <				2	2	0.25		5.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				1		0.25		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	C.	Aquiter Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	2	1	5%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
D. Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         8         1.0 - 0.24         5%         1.9           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         1         0.25         2.5           F.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 5 km²         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater			С	1		0.25		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & none reported & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & none reported & > 10 & 3 & 1 & 5\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s & 2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & none reported & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & none reported & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & none reported & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & none reported & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & none reported & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & none reported & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & none reported & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & 1-5  \mathrm{km}^2 & 2 & 2 & 0.5 & 5.0 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & 1-5  \mathrm{km}^2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & 1-5  \mathrm{km}^2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & 1 & 0\% & 0.0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10 2 - 10 2         3 2         1         5% 0.5 0.25         0.0 0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         2         0.5         0.5         0.0			1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G.       Number of reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s       1       1       5% $0.0$ H.       Well Density       > 5 km²       3       1       10% $0.0$ H.       Well Density       > 5 km²       3       1       10% $0.0$ I.       Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10% $0.0$ J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       2 $0.5$ $0.5$ $0.0$	G	Number of Penorted		0	0	0	5%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c } \hline \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c } \hline \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
H.       Well Density       > 5 km²       3       1       10%       0.0 $1 - 5 km²$ 2       2       0.5       5.0       5.0 $1 - 5 km²$ 1       0.25       0.0       0.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0         J.       Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       500 - 1000       2       0.5       0.0			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
1 - 5 km²         2         2         0.5         5.0           . 1 km²         1         0.25         0.0         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         2         0.5         0.0	H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Issues/Concerns Reported         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0           I.         Issues/Concerns Reported         1 (isolated)         1         0.25         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         2         0.5         0.0			1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         2         0.5         0.0			< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Concerns Reported         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0           1 (isolated)         1         0.25         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         2         0.5         0.0	l.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
Reported         1 (isolated)         1         0.25         0.0           Image: Income reported in the served by Groundwater         0         0         0         0         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         500 - 1000         2         0.5         0.0		Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
none reported         0         0         0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         2         0.5         0.0		Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.         Estimated Population         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           Served by Groundwater         500 - 1000         2         0.5         0.0			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
< 500 1 1 0.25 2.5			< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K. Water management Being planned 3 1 10%	K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
Unlikely 1 1 0.5 3.3			Unlikely	<u>د</u> 1	1	0.5		0.0
Total 27.7			Chinkoly	'	1	0.20	Total	27.7

Aquifer	Number: 849	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Fortune Cree	k		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	-		2	2	0.5		5.0
		Ш	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	_	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		none reported	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Deesible	<u>^</u>		0 5		0.0
		POSSIDIE	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEIy	I I	1	0.25	Total	2.2 43.0
						10(0)	75.0

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Aquifer	Number: 852	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Simms Creek	S of Campbe	ell River	
A.         Aquifer Area         > $50 \text{ km}^2$ 3         1         10%         0.0 $10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$ 2         0.5         0.5         0.0         0.0           B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of Development         3         1         10%         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         10%         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5%         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5%         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value         B         2         2         0.5         0.0           C         1         10         0.25         0.0         2.5         0.0         2.5         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value         1         10         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		-	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
C.Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerabilityA315%0.0D.Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)C115%0.0D.Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 219 $1.0 - 0.24$ 5%2.1E.Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s			111	1		0.25		0.0
Ranking	C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Ranking	B	2	2	0.5		2.5
D.Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 219 $1.0 - 0.24$ 5% $2.1$ E.Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s31 $10\%$ $0.0$ E.Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s2 $0.5$ $0.0$ F.Number of Ground Water Supply Systems> 531 $10\%$ $0.0$ G.Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s> 1031 $5\%$ $0.0$ O. $0.0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0.0$ $0.0$			С	1		0.25		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         1         0.25         2.5           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           1         1         0.33         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         2.2         1         0.25         0.0         0.0		Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           Supply Systems         2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0         0.0           1         1         0.33         0.0         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           0.0         0         0         0         0         0.0         0.0         0.0			Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
Supply Systems         2-5         2         0.66         0.0           1         1         0.33         0.0           none reported         0         0         0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         2-10         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           none reported         0         0         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0	F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large e.g. > 32L/s         > 10 > 10         1 0         0 0         0 0         0 0         0.0           Mumber of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           Mumber of Reported         > 10         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Irrigation and large         2 - 10         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Image: optimized of the state		Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
G.Number of Reported> 10315%0.0Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s2 - 1020.50.0none reported00000			none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > $32L/s$ $2 - 10$  $2$ 1 $0.5$ 0.25 $0.0$ 0none reported0000	G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
production wells, e.g. > 32L/s     < 2     1     0.25       none reported     0     0     0		Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		Ū.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H. Well Density $> 5 \text{ km}^2$ 3 3 1 10% 10.0	H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
$1-5 \text{ km}^2$ 2 0.5 0.0			$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
1 = 0.25 0.0			$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
I. Water Quantity & Quality > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0	Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
Issues/Concerns 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.0		Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
Reported 1 (isolated) 1 0.25 0.0		Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
none reported 0 0 0 0.0			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.     Estimated Population     > 1000     3     1     10%     0.0	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
< 500 1 1 0.25 2.5			< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K. Water management Being planned 3 1 10%	K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
regulation Rescible 2		regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3			Linlikely	2 1	1	0.5		0.0
Total 30.5			Crimitory	'	1 I	0.20	Total	30.5

Aquifer	Number: 853	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Willow Pt/Sł	nelter Pt S of	Campbell Rive	er
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Penorted		0	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.2

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5%         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         C         1         1         0.25         1.7           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         8         1.0 - 0.24         5%         1.9           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         1.025         2.5           F.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²
Ranking       Number of Ground Water       Ranking       Ranking <t< td=""></t<>
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         15% $0.0$ $2-5$ 2         0.66         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 1         0.25         0.0         0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         0.5         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         0.5         0.0         0.0
C.       Inductor reported $2 - 10$ $2$ $0.0$ $0.0$ Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s $2 - 10$ $2$ $1$ $0.5$ $0.0$ M.       Well Density       > 5 km <sup>2</sup> $3$ $3$ $1$ $10\%$ $0.0$ H.       Well Density       > 5 km <sup>2</sup> $3$ $3$ $1$ $10\%$ $0.0$ Well Density       > 5 km <sup>2</sup> $1$ $0.25$ $0.0$ $0.0$ M.       Well Density       > 5 km <sup>2</sup> $3$ $0.5$ $0.0$ M.       Well Density       > 5 km <sup>2</sup> $1$ $0.25$ $0.0$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
none reported         0         0         0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         10.0 $1 - 5 km^2$ 2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0 $4 km^2$ 1         0.25         0.0         0.0         0.0
H.     Well Density     > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3     3     1     10%     10.0 $1-5 km^2$ 2     0.5     0.0     0.0       < 1 km <sup>2</sup> 1     0.25     0.0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
< 1 km <sup>2</sup> < 1 km <sup>2</sup> 1     0.25     0.0
I.   water Quantity & Quality   > 3 (regional)   3    1   10%   0.0
Issues/Concerns 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.0
Reported         1 (isolated)         1         0.25         0.0
none reported 0 0 0 0.0
J.  Estimated Population > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0
500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0
< 500 1 1 0.25 2.5
K. Water management Being planned 3 1 10%
Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3
Total 29.4
Aquifer
---------
ltem
Α.
В.
C
0.
D.
E.
F.
G
0.
11.
Ι.
J.
K
n.

Aquifer	Number: 857	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Campbell Riv	er Airport		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ŭ	II.	2		0.5		0.0
		111	-	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5	- / -	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		010
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerved by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikelv	2 1	1	0.25		33
			·	1		Total	22.1

Aquifer	Number: 858	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Alert Bay Co	rmorant Isla	nd	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
<u> </u>	Aquifor Classification and		1		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	vulnerability A	2	2	0.5	5%	0.0
	5	D C	2	-	0.25		2.5
		C	1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Penorted		0		0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikelv	2 1	1	0.25		33
			•	1	0.20	Total	43.7

Aquifer	Number: 859	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Mitchell Bay	/ Malcolm Isl	and	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e $\alpha > 321 / s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	o.g. 0110	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>		Total	21.7

Aquifer	Number: 860	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Mouth of Pea	chland Ck, S	N of Peachlan	nd
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.50/	2.5
⊢.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	0	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	D	<u> </u>		o -	1070	0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEIy	I	1	0.20	Total	3.3 19.7
						i utai	40.7

Aquifer	Number: 862	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Mouth of Tre	panier Creek	, NE of Peachl	and
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported		0		0	50/	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	576	0.0
	production wells,	<2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	-			0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future				o -	1070	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Uniikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3
						Total	39.6

Aquifer	Number: 864	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Trepanier Ck	and Jack Ck,	N of Peachla	nd
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	5	II	2	2	0.5		F O
			2	2	0.25		5.0
<u> </u>	Aquifor Classification and		1		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	vuinerability A	2		0,5	576	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, $p_{1} \rightarrow 321/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. + 022/3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density		3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$\sim 5 \text{ Km}^2$	2	C C	0.5	1070	10:0
		I = 5  KII	1		0.25		0.0
1	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 Km <sup>2</sup> > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
·.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	3		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0	-	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	ľ	1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	ľ	1	10%	-
	planning and future					1070	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Tetel	3.3
						Iotai	32.4

Aquifer	Number: 865	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Heber R. and	Gold R.		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		111	-		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	B	2	-	0.5	- / -	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	1.50/	0.0
⊦.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2 1	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	1	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1 2
	- <b>J</b>	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	-	1	0.25		3.3
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I	· · · · ·		Total	55.2

Aquifer	Number: 867	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Ashby Point,	Shuswap Lak	æ	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	капкілд	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.50/	2.5
⊢.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	0	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
_	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Dessible			0.5		0.0
		Linikely	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEIy	1	1	0.25	Total	2.2 43.5
						10(0)	75.5

Aquifer	Number: 868	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	W of Paradis	W of Paradise Point, Shuswap Lake			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0	
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0	
		$\sim 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		0.0	
В	Aquifer Classification and				1		2.5	
D.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0	
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0	
		III	1		0.25		0.0	
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0	
	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0	
		С	1	1	0.25		17	
D	Aquifor Classification and	Banking Value					1.7	
D.	Ranking							
	<b>J</b>	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1	
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0	
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5	
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0	
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0	
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0	
G	Number of Reported	> 10	0		0	5%	0.0	
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0	
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0	
	e.g. > 32L/s			_	_		0.0	
	Mall Density	none reported	0	0	0		0.0	
н.	well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0	
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0	
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0	
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0	
		1 (isolated)	1	0	0.25		0.0	
	Estimated Population	> 1000	U 3	U	1	4001	0.0	
5.	Served by Groundwater	F00 1000	5			10%	0.0	
		500 - 1000	2	1	0.5		0.0	
ĸ	Water management	> 200 Reing planned	। २	1	0.20		2.5	
IX.	planning and future		5			10%	0.0	
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0	
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3	
						Total	34.6	

Aquifer	Number: 870	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Knight Cr. at Paradise Point, Shuswap Lake			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	-	II	2		0.5		0.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		nono roportod	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		010
	e.g. > 32L/s				_		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Devulation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Esumated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Control by Croundwald	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	M/-1	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	vvater management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	-	1	0.25		3.3
		· · · · · ·				Total	36.0

Aquifer	Number: 872	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Canoe Creek	, at Shuswap	Lake	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	1	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	Ĩ	Unlikelv	1	1	0.25		33
		,	-	1 1		Total	35.2

Aquifer	Number: 873	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Edgewood			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		E O
			2	2	0.25		5.0
C	Aquifor Classification and		1	2	1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	vulnerability A	2	5	0,5	570	5.0
	Ŭ	C	_		0.25		0.0
		U U	1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	- 1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Development	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Convectory Croundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	10/-1	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	vvater management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		, , ,	1 1			Total	33.5

Aquifer	Number: 874	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Edgewood			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	5	I	2	2	0.5		5.0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
6	Aquifer Classification and		1		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	vulnerability A	2	2	0,5	570	2.5
		C C	_	-	0.25		2.5
		C	1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported	none reported	0		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	<2	1		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_			0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Dec 211	_		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEly	I	1	0.20	Total	36.0
						iotai	20.0

Aquifer	Number: 875	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Radium, E side of Columbia Valley			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Kanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Linlikely	2 1	1	0.5		0.0
		Chinkery		1	0.20	Total	29.4
	1						

Aquifer	Number: 876	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Dry Gulch Ck	, E side of Co	lumbia Valley	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	0		0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Scree by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	Ĩ	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		33
		,		1 1		Total	31.9

Aquifer	Number: 877	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Stoddart Cre	ek, E side of (	Columbia Vall	ey
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Deerikir	<u>_</u>		0.5	10,0	0.0
	- cyulululi	POSSIDIE	2	1	0.5		0.0
		Uniikely	1	1	0.20	Total	3.3 26.7
						iotai	20.7

Aquifer	Number: 878	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Wilmer			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	i tuliking	I	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	- 1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported	none reported	0		0	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	production wells,	<2	1		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	-			0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Deerikin	_		0.5		0.0
		POSSIDIE	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEIy		1	0.25	Total	34.4
						10101	J7.7

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0880	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Silverdale Creek -	Lower Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	П	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Ш	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
E.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 - 10	2		0.5		0.0
		nono roportod	0	0	0.20		0.0
H.	Well Density		3	3	1	10%	40.0
		~ 5 KII	2	5	0.5	1070	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.0		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	Motor monogon ant alegain			1	0.25		2.5
К.	future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Possiblo	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
				·· ·		Total	28.68

quifer Nı	umber: 0881	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	NE of Mission City	- Lower Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	П	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Ш	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S	1		0.25	15%	2.5
1.	Systems	2 5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
		2-5	1		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Dersible	_		0.5		0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	11 1	0.25	Total	2.0

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0884	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Northshore - Lowe	r Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	П	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	,	2-5	1		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
	İ		•	· · · ·		Total	28.68

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0888	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Steelhead Valley -	Lower Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	11	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	I	0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2 - 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	- 1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 02613		1	0	0.25		0.0
Н	Well Density		3	0	1	400/	0.0
		> 5 km <sup>-</sup>	0	3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
				•		Total	34.88

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0889	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North end of Hatzie	c Valley - Lower Main	land	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Ш	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.3
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 321 /s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	022.0		1	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density		3	0	1	10%	0.0
		> 5 KII	2		0.5	1076	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.0		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	_	0.25		0.0
	Estimated Deputation Conved by	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	> 1000	3		I I	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
						1070	0.0
		Possible	2	4	0.5		0.0
		UTIlikely			0.20	Total	2.5

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0892	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North side of Chilli	wack River - Lower	Mainland	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kanking	Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium $32 - 64$ L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	'	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0	=0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	-	0.5		10.0
		1 – 5 Kil	1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	'		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population Served by	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	> 1000	3		I	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
						1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		UTIlikely	1 1	<u>   </u>	0.20	Total	38.68

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0893	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North side of Chilli	wack River - Lower M	lainland	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	11	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Cround Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1 = 0/	2.5
г.	Systems	> 5	3		1	1570	0.0
	- ,	2-5	2	2	0.00		10.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	_	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
ĸ	Water management planning and	Being planned	3	1	1		2.5
· · · ·	future regulation		J			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
			• •		0.20	Total	38.44

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0894	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North side of Chilli	wack Valley - Lower	Mainland	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
А.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Banking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kanking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 526/3	< 2 none reported	1	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	0	1	10%	0.0
		1 E km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		1 – 5 KII	1	2	0.05		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	I		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
	1					Total	28.20

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0895	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North side of Chilli	wack River Valley - L	ower Mainland	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
А.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	П	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium $32 - 64$ L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	1	15%	2.5
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 02613	< 2 none reported	1	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
			2	5	0.5	1070	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.0		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikelv	1	1	0.25		2.5
	T					Total	28.20

Aquifer	Number: 900	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Sointula / Ma	alcolm Island		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2 5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	0		0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	- 1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/S	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
н	Well Density	none reported	0	0	0	400/	0.0
11.	Well Density	> 5 km²	5		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	.1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	- 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
K	Water menogement	< 500 Poing planned	1		0.25		0.0
r.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.2

Aquifer	Number: 901	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Sointula / Ma	alcolm Island		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2 5
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.50/	2.5
⊦.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Strived by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	27.7

Aquifer	Number: 902	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Pt. McNeil			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
А.	Aquifer Area	$> 50 \text{ km}^2$	3	, icelynes	1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1	1	0.25		0.0
P	Aquifor Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup>			1		2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2 5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
	Aquifer Classification and	Panking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Italiking value					
	Ŭ	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
			2			400/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground	Hign > 64 L/s Modium 32 64 L/s	3	2	1	10%	0.0
		1000 < 321/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	Ũ	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1	_	0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Deerible	_		0.5	10,0	0.0
		POSSIDIE	2	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIIKEIY	I	1	0.20	Total	3.3
L						10101	57.7

Aquifer	Number: 906	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Ft. Rupert			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		I none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s				-		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	vveil Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Faller to the Data to the s	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Corved by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	14/-1	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	vvater management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0 0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		· · · ·	n	а		Total	30.2

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0909	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Indian Point / Sava	ry Island - Lower Mai	nland	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Ranking	П	2		0.5		0.0
		11	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	4	0.5		0.0
	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S	1	1	0.25	15%	2.5
1.	Systems	2.5	0		0.00	1570	0.0
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2-5	1		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	unknown	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	unknown	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	2	0.25		0.0
			•			Total	45.60

Item         Description         Measure         Point Scale         Points Assigned         Weighting Factor Rector Meighting         Score Meighting	Aquifer	Number: 912	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	W of Bridge	Lk /SEof 100	Mile House	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } A & \mbox{Aquifer Area} & $50  {\rm km}^2 & \mbox{3} & \mbox{1} & \mb$	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
B.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Degree of bevelopment         I II         3 2         1 0.5         10% 0.0         2.3 0.5           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3 8         1 0.0         50.0         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3 0.5         1 0.0         50.0         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         8         1.0-0.24         5%         1.9           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 Us Medium 32 - 64 L/s         3 1         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3 3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality issues/Concerns Reported         > 1000         0         0.5         0.0         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality i			$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline $1$ & $1$ & $1$ & $0.5$ & $5.0$ & $0.0$ &$	В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
III         1         0.25         0.0           C.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Vulnerability         A         3         1         5%         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         8         1.0 - 0.24         5%         1.7           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         8         1.0 - 0.24         5%         1.9           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         0         0         0         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         >10         3         1         5%         0.0           Mirigation and large production wells, e.g. >32L/s         <2		Ŭ	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				1	-	0.25		0.0
C.         Ranking         Vulnerability         R         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         1         0.25         1.7           D.         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         8         1.0 - 0.24         5%         1.9           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         1         0.25         2.5           F.         Number of Reported         > 1         0.25         0.66         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         15%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         10%         0.0           Hrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0 </td <td>C</td> <td>Aquifer Classification and</td> <td>Vulnerability A</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>5%</td> <td>0.0</td>	C	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 1.7 \\ \hline 1.7 \\ \hline Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) & 5 to 21 & 8 & 1.0 - 0.24 & 5\% & 1.9 \\ \hline \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 5\% & 1.9 \\ \hline \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.05 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.05 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.05 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.05 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.05 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.05 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.05 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.05 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0.03 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline C & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$	0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	070	0.0
D. Ranking         Aquifer Classification and Ranking         Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         8         1.0 - 0.24         5%         1.9           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 2         0.5         0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management eigulation         Sto00         1         0.25		-	C	1	1	0.25		1.7
Hanking         (based on 7 sub-factors)         5 to 21         8         1.0 - 0.24         5%         1.9           E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s         3         1         10%         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         10%         0.0           G.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0	D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
E.         Estimated Current Ground Water Use         High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s         3 2 1         1 0.5         10% 0.0         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water Supply Systems         > 5         3         1         1         0.25         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         15%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%		Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
Water Use         Medium 32 - 64 L/s         2         0.5         0.0           F.         Number of Ground Water         > 5         3         1         0.25         2.5           F.         Number of Ground Water         > 5         3         1         15%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           G.         Number of Reported         > 10         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           g. g. > 32L/s         -         0.25         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality         > 5 km²         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality         > 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwate	E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
Supply Systems         2 - 5         2         0.66         0.0           1         1         1         0.33         0.00           0.0         0         0         0         0.0           G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Vater management planning and future         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Vater management planning and future </td <td>F.</td> <td>Number of Ground Water</td> <td>&gt; 5</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>15%</td> <td>0.0</td>	F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
G.         Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         > 10         3         1         5%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0			1	1	0	0.33		0.0
O.         Notice of regulation production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         2 - 10         2         0.5         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Value         Possible         2         0.5         0.5         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         Scool         1         10%         0.0           Value         Value         No	G	Number of Peported	none reported	0	0	0	5%	0.0
production wells, e.g. > 32L/s         2         1         0.0         0.25         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km²         3         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality         > 5 km²         2         0.5         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Issues/Concerns         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0	0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
e.g. > 32L/s         none reported         0         0         0         0.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         10.0           H.         Well Density         > 5 km <sup>2</sup> 3         3         1         10%         10.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           I.         Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           V.         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0		production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
H.       Well Density $> 5 \text{ km}^2$ 3       3       1       10%       10.0         1 - 5 km <sup>2</sup> 2       0.5       0.5       0.0         .       Mater Quantity & Quality       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         I.       Water Quantity & Quality       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0.0         Issues/Concerns       2 to 3 (local)       2       0.5       0.0       0.0       0.0         J.       Estimated Population       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0       0.0         J.       Estimated Population       > 1000       3       1       10%       0.0			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } & 1-5\text{km}^2 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$	Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
I.         Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported         > 3 (regional)         3         1         10%         0.0           Bases/Concerns Reported         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Vulnikely         1         1         0.25         3.3         2.5         3.3			< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Concerns Reported         2 to 3 (local)         2         0.5         0.0           1 (isolated)         1         0.25         0.0         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Vulnikely         1         1         0.25         3.3         2.5         3.3	Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
Reported         1 (isolated) none reported         1         0.25         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Volume         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0           Volume         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.		Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		Керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.         Estimated Population Served by Groundwater         > 1000         3         1         10%         0.0           Served by Groundwater         500 - 1000         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Volume         Possible         2         0.5         2.5         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         0.0         0.0			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Served by Groundwater         500 - 1000         2         0.5         0.0           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           V.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           V.         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3         0.0	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
< 500         1         1         0.25         2.5           K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         10%         0.0           Volume         Possible         2         0.5         0.0         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.     Water management planning and future regulation     Being planned     3     1     10%     0.0       Possible     2     0.5     0.0     0.0       Unlikely     1     1     0.25     3.3			< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
praining and dutie         Possible         2         0.5         0.0           regulation         Possible         2         0.5         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3	K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
Possible         2         0.5         0.0           Unlikely         1         1         0.25         3.3           Total         29.4         29.4         3.3		regulation	Docsible	2		0.5		0.0
Total 20.4			Linlikely	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
			Ormitory		1	0.20	Total	29.4

Aquifer	Number: 913	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	S of Deka La	ke and E of 1	00 Mile House	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	i kanking	I	2	2	0.5		E 0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.50/	2.5
►.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2-5	2 1		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. $> 321 / s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. * 022/0	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density		3	3	1	10%	10.0
	,	$\sim 3 \text{ KIII}$	2	Ŭ	0.5	1070	10.0
			1		0.25		0.0
	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
···	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	_				1070	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Tatal	3.3
						Iotal	29.4

Table 3. Unconsolidated aquifer prioritization for monitoring.

Aquifer	Number: 914	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	SW of Deka I	ake and E of	100 Mile Hou	se.
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2 5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		ΕO
			1	2	0.25		0.0
C	Aquifer Classification and		3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	0	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Linlikely	2 1	1	0.5		0.0
		Chinkory			0.20	Total	29.4

Aquifer	Number: 916	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	N of Sulphur	ous Lake/ E d	of 100 Mile Ho	use
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	- 1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	I	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, $a_1 > 321/s$	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 52L/5	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density		3	3	1	10%	10.0
	,	2 5 KIII	2	Ũ	0.5	10 / 0	10.0
		1 - 5 Kill	1		0.25		0.0
	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km <sup>2</sup> > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Dessible			0.5	10 /0	0.0
	- Salation	POSSIDIE	2	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEIy		1	0.25	Total	34.6
						. 5101	51.0
Aquifer	Number: 918	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	ion: N of Bridge Lake / E of 100 Mile House			
---------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------	----------------------	-------
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	c.g. > 522/3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3		1	10%	0.0
	,	-5 KIII 1 $-5$ km <sup>2</sup>	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		I = 5  KIII	1	2	0.25		0.0
-	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future					10 /0	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	T	1	0.25	Total	3.3
						rola	21./

Aquifer	Number: 920	Type: Unconsolidated	ed Location: Kelly Lake/ SWof Clinton				
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Peported	> 10	0		0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	Ŭ	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3	-	1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		r = 0 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	'	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future					1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3
						rotai	28.0

Aquifer	Number: 921	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Clinton			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	I	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Describe			<u> </u>	1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEIY	I	1	0.20	Total	3.3 26.0
L						TULAI	20.9

quifer Number: 0924		Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Mossum Creek Area near Anmore - Lower Mainland				
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Sco
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.
	Ranking	11	2	2	0.5		5.
		III	1		0.25		0.
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.
		С	1	1	0.25		1.
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0
		Medium $32 - 64 L/s$	2	1	0.5		2
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S	3	1	0.25	15%	2
1.	Systems	2 5	3		0.66	1070	0
		2-5	2 1		0.00		0
		none reported	0	0	0		0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	-	1	5%	0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.
		none reported	0	0	0		0.
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0
١.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.
		none reported	0	0	0		0.
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0
		Possible	2		0.5		0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.
						Total	23

Aquifer Number: 0926		Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North side of Pitt River Valley - Lower Mainland			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking		2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
•••	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		2-5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
[		· · ·	•	• •		Total	28.20

Aquifer Number: 0927		Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West side of Burk Mt./ East Coquitlam River Valley - Lower Mainland			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		0.25	15%	2.5
•••	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	10,0	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 02613		1	0	0.25		0.0
н	Well Density		3	U	0	400/	0.0
		> 5 km-	0			10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
L L	Water management planning and	N DUU Roing planned		1	0.20		2.5
n.	future regulation	Being planned	3		I	10%	
		Dessible	2		0.5		0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5		2.5
		Offinitery	1 1	<u> </u>	0.20	Total	22.73