Aquifer Number: 732		Type: Bedrock		Location: Thetis Island			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	43.7

Aquifer Number: 733		Type: Bedrock		Location: Norway Island			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	35.5

Aquifer Number: 734		Type: Bedrock		Location: Dayman Island			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.2

Aquifer Number: 735		Type: Bedrock		Location: Saturna Island - east			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	47.2

Aquifer Number: 736		Type: Bedrock		Location: Saturna Island - north			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	41.5

Aquifer Number: 737		Type: Bedrock		Location: Saturna Island - west			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	49.7

Aquifer Number: 738		Type: Bedrock	Location: Saturna Island - west				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.2

Aquifer Number: 740		Type: Bedrock		Location: Denman Island			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**	**2**	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	66.4

Aquifer Number: 741		Type: Bedrock		Location: Hudson Island			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	35.5

Aquifer Number: 742		Type: Bedrock		Location: Scot Island			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	35.5

Aquifer Number: 0745		Type: Bedrock	Location: Bowen Island North - Lower Mainland				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2	**2**	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**29.88**

Aquifer Number: 0746		Type: Bedrock		Location: Central Bowen Island - Lower Mainland				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2	**2**	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**29.88**

Aquifer Number: 0747		Type: Bedrock	Location: Bowen Island / South and southeast - Lower Mainland				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2	**2**	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**34.88**

Aquifer Number: 0748		Type: Bedrock		Location: Bowen Island/West - Lower Mainland			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**32.62**

Aquifer Number: 0749		Type: Bedrock		Location: Bowen Island SW - Lower Mainland			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	**50.36**

Aquifer Number: 754		Type: Bedrock		Location: Quadra Island			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	40.2

Aquifer Number: 756		Type: Bedrock	Location:				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	39.0

Aquifer Number: 757		Type: Bedrock		Location: Quadra Island			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	40.2

Aquifer Number: 758		Type: Bedrock		Location: Quadra Island			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	40.5

Aquifer Number: 759		Type: Bedrock	Location:				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	36.7

Aquifer Number: 760		Type: Bedrock		Location: Quadra Island			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	35.5

Aquifer Number: 763		Type: Bedrock		Location: Port McNeil			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.0

Aquifer Number: 767		Type: Bedrock		Location: Anahim east of Tweedsmuir Park			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	40.5

Aquifer Number: 771		Type: Bedrock	Location: Clearwater, North of Kamloops				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**	**2**	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	27.7

Aquifer Number: 772		Type: Bedrock		Location: Clearwater, North of Kamloops			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	25.2

Aquifer Number: 0775		Type: Bedrock		Location: NE of Houston - SOP			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**27.73**

Aquifer Number: 0776		Type: Bedrock		Location: Egmont - Lower Mainland			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	**39.88**

Aquifer Number: 778		Type: Bedrock		Location: Pym Island, N of Swartz Bay			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.0

Aquifer Number: 779		Type: Bedrock		Location: Knapp Island, N of Swartz Bay			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.0

Aquifer Number: 780		Type: Bedrock		Location: Goudge Island, east of Swartz Bay			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.9

Aquifer Number: 781		Type: Bedrock		Location: Kolb Island, East of Swartz Bay			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	40.7

Aquifer Number: 782		Type: Bedrock		Location: Fernie Island, East of Swartz Bay			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	32.7

Aquifer Number: 783		Type: Bedrock	Location: Coal Island, N of Swartz Bay				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	27.7

Aquifer Number: 784		Type: Bedrock		Location: Forrest Island, East of Sidney			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	27.7

Aquifer Number: 785		Type: Bedrock		Location: Comet Island, East of Sidney			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	32.7

Aquifer Number: 787		Type: Bedrock		Location: Sidney Island, South End			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	24.6

Aquifer Number: 0788		Type: Bedrock	Location: SW of Terrace and northshore of Skeena River - SOP				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**21.67**

Aquifer Number: 804		Type: Bedrock	Location: Shuswap River				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		1	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	22.5

Aquifer Number: 805		Type: Bedrock		Location: West of Mable Lake, east of Enderby			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	25.5

Aquifer Number: 808		Type: Bedrock	Location: Anarchist Mountain				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**	**2**	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	34.3

Aquifer Number: 810		Type: Bedrock		Location: East of Osoyoos			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		1	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	22.3

Aquifer Number: 812		Type: Bedrock		Location: North of Grand Forks			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	25.5

Aquifer Number: 813		Type: Bedrock		Location: North of Grand Forks			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	24.0

Aquifer Number: 814		Type: Bedrock		Location: North of Grand Forks			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	25.0

Aquifer Number: 0835		Type: Bedrock		Location: Mermaid Cove - Lower Mainland			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**24.88**

Aquifer Number: 0840		Type: Bedrock	Location: Powell River - Lower Mainland				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2	**2**	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	**43.92**

Aquifer Number: 842		Type: Bedrock		Location: Cortes Island			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	33.2

Aquifer Number: 843		Type: Bedrock		Location: Cortes Island			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	38.0

Aquifer Number: 844		Type: Bedrock		Location: Cortes Island			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	26.7

Aquifer Number: 845		Type: Bedrock	Location:				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	43.0

Aquifer Number: 846		Type: Bedrock		Location: Cortes Island			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	22.7

Aquifer Number: 848		Type: Bedrock		Location: Black Creek S of Campbell River			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	34.2

Aquifer Number: 861		Type: Bedrock	Location: Lower Peachland Creek				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.2

Aquifer Number: 863		Type: Bedrock		Location: N. Trepanier Ck Valley N of Peachland			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.5

Aquifer Number: 866		Type: Bedrock		Location: Ashby Point, Shuswap Lake			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.2

Aquifer Number: 869		Type: Bedrock		Location: W of Paradise Point, Shuswap Lake			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.5

Aquifer Number: 871		Type: Bedrock		Location: Lower Canoe Cr, E side of valley			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	22.7

Aquifer Number: 879		Type: Bedrock		Location: Lillian Lake, NW of Wilmer Ck			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**	**2**	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	25.5

Aquifer Number: 0882		Type: Bedrock		Location: NE of Mission City - Lower Mainland			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2	**2**	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**37.86**

Aquifer Number: 0883		Type: Bedrock	Location: Iron Mt. end of Whonock Lake - Lower Mainland				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**26.90**

Aquifer Number: 0885		Type: Bedrock	Location: North shore of Hayward Lake - Lower Mainland				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**32.97**

Aquifer Number: 0886		Type: Bedrock		Location: East of Hayward Lake - Lower Mainland			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**22.97**

Aquifer Number: 0887		Type: Bedrock		Location: East of Stave Falls - Lower Mainland				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**29.40**

Aquifer Number: 0890		Type: Bedrock		Location: Mt. Tom Area - Lower Mainland			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2	**2**	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**30.94**

Aquifer Number: 0891		Type: Bedrock	Location: Upper Young Creek - Lower Mainland				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**18.20**

Aquifer Number: 0896		Type: Bedrock		Location: East of Steelhead - Lower Mainland			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**24.17**

Aquifer Number: 0897		Type: Bedrock	Location: North Shore Allouette River - Lower Mainland				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**27.73**

Aquifer Number: 0898		Type: Bedrock	Location: Westside / N Allouette River - Lower Mainland				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**27.73**

Aquifer Number: 0899		Type: Bedrock	Location: SE of Chilliwack - Lower Mainland				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**25.12**

Aquifer Number: 904		Type: Bedrock		Location: Pt. Hardy			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	33.0

Aquifer Number: 905		Type: Bedrock		Location: Ft. Rupert			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	38.0

Aquifer Number: 907		Type: Bedrock		Location: Coal Harbour S of Pt. Hardy			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.0

Aquifer Number: 911		Type: Bedrock		Location: N of Deka Lake and E of 100 Mile House			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.2

Aquifer Number: 915		Type: Bedrock		Location: Sulphurous Lake / E of 100 Mile House			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	34.2

Aquifer Number: 919		Type: Bedrock		Location: NE of Bridge Lake/ E of 100 Mile House			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	24.4

Aquifer Number: 922		Type: Bedrock		Location: 3 Mile Lake / SE of Clinton			
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	**Aquifer Area**	> 50 km^2	**3**		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	**2**		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	**1**	**1**	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	33.0

Aquifer Number: 0925		Type: Bedrock	Location: Partington Creek - Lower Mainland				
Item	**Description**	**Measure**	**Point Scale**	**Points Assigned**	**Weighting Factor**	**Maximum Weighting**	**Score**
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km^2	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
		B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		2.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
		2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km^2	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km^2	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km^2	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	**24.64**