Aquifer	Number: 732	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Thetis Island			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1 1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	'	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value		1			
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	J. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	ocived by Giodilawatei	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
1/	Matanasasas	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	43.7

Aquifer	Number: 733	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Norway Islar	nd		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	'	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
В.	Ranking	ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
L.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	- 77	0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	c.g 01 > 0L/3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
1/	\\/	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
-						Total	35.5

Aquifer	Number: 734	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Dayman Isla	nd		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		ı	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Italikilig	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. 0. 02.0	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.2

Aquifer	Number: 735	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Saturna Islai	nd - east		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	'	10%	10.0
				0.5		0.0	
				0.25		0.0	
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
-	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0 1	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s		_		_		1.3
Н.	Wall Danaits	none reported	3	1	0 1		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	₁	0.5 0.25		0.0
	-	1 (isolated) none reported	0	'	0.25		2.5 0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		< 500	1	₁	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	<u> </u>	1	100/	
	planning and future	_			_	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 47.2

Aquifer	Number: 736	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Saturna Islai	nd - north		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1-01	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0
	оприу сустопіс	1	1		0.88		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0 1		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater				•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
	planning and future	203 plainiou			· ·	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	41.5

Aquifer	Number: 737	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Saturna Isla	nd - west		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	'	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	2	H	4	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	H	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s						1.3
	Mall Danath	none reported	0	_	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	1	0.25 0		2.5 0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	 	1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.5		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	1	1	400/	2.5
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3

Aquifer	Number: 738	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Saturna Islai	nd - west		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value		 			
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	1.g. 0. 0.1	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Devolation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
IX.	planning and future	Deling planned			'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.2

Aquifer	Number: 740	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Denman Isla	nd		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	realiking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	3	1 0.66	15%	15.0
	Опрріу Оузістіз	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	H	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Gerved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	H	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	66.4

Aquifer	Number: 741	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Hudson Islan	ıd		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	'	10%	10.0
	3	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	-				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ivanking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
□ □.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0 1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	none renerted	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	0 1	10%	0.0
	Well Beliaty	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	+	1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	planning and future regulation	Possible	2		0.5	13,0	0.0
	J	Unlikely	1	1	0.5		3.3
		1	<u> </u>	11 - 1		Total	35.5

Aquifer	Number: 742	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Scot Island			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.3
	Ranking	Development I	3	3		10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. 0. 02.0	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	ocrycu by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
1/	Matanasasas	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	35.5

Aquifer No	umber: 0745	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Bowen Island No	th - Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5	1070	
			1		0.25		5.0
		< 10 km ²					0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	i terming		2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25	=0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
	Kanking	B C			0.5		
		_	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Ose	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	<u> </u>	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N 1 (B 111)	none reported	0	0	0	5 0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	<u> </u>	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		
			1		0.25		5.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fatingated Daniel in Commedition	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	5.53.1d¥4601	500 - 1000	2	П	0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	П	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	29.88

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0746	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Central Bowen Is	land - Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	. ta.ming	II 	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	11 .	0.5		0.0
	11 1 10 11111 0 1	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	150/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0 1	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
							0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported > 5 km²	0 3	0	0 1	400/	
• • • •	Tress Besseley	-			·	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		-		•		Total	29.88

quifer Nu	umber: 0747	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Bowen Island / So	outh and southeast - Lo	wer Mainland	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	 	1	5%	0.0
O.	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4=0/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	l locator component repented	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0		0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	3		1	10%	
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	.0,0	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.5		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	П	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.5		2.5
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Total	34.88

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0748	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Bowen Island/We	st - Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kanking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	
١.	Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66	1370	0.0 10.0
	,,,,,	2-5	1	2	0.88		0.0
		none reported	Ö		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
I.	Western Overstite and Oversite	< 1 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)				10%	0.0
	locaco, concerno reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	- 1000	3		'	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	32.62

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0749	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Bowen Island SW	- Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	11	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Systems					13%	0.0
	Cyclome	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	+	1 1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	+	1	10%	
••	Issues/Concerns Reported	, ,	2			1070	0.0
		2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	1	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
		none reported	Ö		0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Sicalidwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
				1 1		Total	50.36

Aquifer	Number: 754	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Quadra Islan	d		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	11 1 10 1111	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Cupply Cystems	1	1		0.88		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Day 1989	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25 1		2.5
r.	planning and future	Deilig planned	3		ı	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	40.2

Aquifer	Number: 756	Type: Bedrock	Location:				
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	1	0.66 0.33		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0	'	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.2
	o.g. 01 02/0	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	39.0

Aquifer	Number: 757	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Quadra Islan	d		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		ı	10%	0.0
	-	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3	2	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	o.g. 01 02/0	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	40.2

Aquifer	Number: 758	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Quadra Island	d		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	H	<u> </u>	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. = or > 3L/s				•		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	0 3	<u> </u>	10%	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
	Materia Occapita de Occapita	< 1 km ²		\parallel		400/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	. 3 / 0	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	B			0.7	10 /0	0.0
	regulation	Possible Unlikely	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 3.3
		Unlikely	'	1	0.20	Total	40.5

Aquifer	Number: 759	Type: Bedrock	Location:				
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	'	10%	10.0
	-	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
٥.	Ranking						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	.070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2 1		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	U	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		
	e.g 01 > 3L/5	none reported	0		0		1.3 0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	1	10%	10.0
• • • •	Won Bonony	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10 /0	
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		,	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Total	36.7

Aquifer	Number: 760	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Quadra Islan	d		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
υ.	Ranking	Development I	3		•	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Kanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	очры оужень	1	1	1	0.88		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E.C. J. ID. J. C.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	3	1	0.25 1		2.5
r.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		I	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	35.5

Aquife	r Number: 763	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Port McNeil			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ²			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		ı	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	'	H			0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
	5 " 1 10 10 1	111 1 2414		<u> </u>		100/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Water ooc	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	'	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	'		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E.C. d. D. d. L.C.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	John Sy Clourid Water	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
I/	Mater management	< 500	3	1	0.25 1		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	٥		ı	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		-				Total	30.0

Aquifer	Number: 767	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Anahim east	of Tweedsmu	ıir Park	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3		·	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Italikilig	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опрріу Оузістіз	2-5	1	2	0.88		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	1.g. 0. 0.1	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Joer ved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
16	NAC 4	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	40.5

Aquifer	Number: 771	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Clearwater, I	North of Kaml	oops	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
O.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
	Aguifer Classification and	Dankina Value	'				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking Value					
	T Carricing	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	 	0.25	15%	0.0
• •	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	'		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	F	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
- V	Matan managaras	< 500	3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		·				Total	27.7

Aquifer	Number: 772	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Clearwater, I	North of Kaml	oops	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5	- 77	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N	none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 - 10 < 2	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	``_	'		0.23		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	_	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		1	1	11 *		Total	25.2

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0775	Type: Bedrock	Location:	NE of Houston - S	OP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
٥.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0		0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 27.73

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0776	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Egmont - Lower N	lainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	<u> </u>	0.25	5 0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
	randing	C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	<u>'</u>	1	15%	0.0
• • •	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/\$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	Mall Danata	none reported	0 3	0	0 1		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gloundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	39.88

Aquifer	Number: 778	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Pym Island,	N of Swartz B	ay	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
υ.	Ranking	_					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0 1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	, ,					0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	0	0 1	100/	0.0
п.	vveii Density	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	 	1	10%	
-	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		< 500	1	₁	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	<u> </u>	1	10%	
	planning and future	_			_	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 30.0

Aquifer	Number: 779	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Knapp Island	l, N of Swartz	Bay	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3		·	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	H	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Kanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0 /	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опрріу Оузістіз	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Dec. 1989	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	St. 15d by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
٨.	planning and future	Delity platfiled	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.0

Aquifer	Number: 780	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Goudge Islan	nd, east of Sw	artz Bay	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
	-	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3 2		1	15%	0.0
	Зарріу Зувієнів	2 – 5 1	1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	o .	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	g	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14	100	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.9

A Aquifer Area >50 km² 3 1 10% 0.0	Aquifer	Number: 781	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Kolb Island,	East of Swart	z Bay	
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development I Journal of Ranking II Journal of Ranking Degree of Development I Journal of Ranking Journal o	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale				Score
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Palue Ranking Powelopment 1 3 3 3 1 10% 10.4 10.5 10.5 10.6 10.	A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
Section Sect				2		0.5		
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 3 0.5 0.0				1	1	0.25		
Ranking	R	Aguifer Classification and	-		H	1		2.5
C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking A 3 3 1 5% 5.0	ъ.		Development I	3	3	·	10%	10.0
C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 3 1 5% 5.0 0.0			II	2		0.5		0.0
C. Rayuffer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A B 2 C C 1 3 3 C D.5 C D.5 C D.5 C D.5 C D.0.0 5.00 D.0.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 10 1.0 − 0.24 5% 2.4 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Water Use High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s D.0 C D.0			III	1		0.25		
Ranking	C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A		3	1	5%	5.0
D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 10 1.0 - 0.24 5% 2.4		Ranking	,	2				0.0
Ranking			С	1		0.25		0.0
E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use	D.		Ranking Value					
Water Use		Italikilig	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
Low < 32 L/s	E.		High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		Water Use						0.0
Supply Systems		11 1 10 11111			1		450/	
1	F.		-	_		=	15%	
Number of Reported Properties Properti		очры сусты						
Section Number of Reported Section Sec			•	-	0			0.0
Production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	G.		> 10			1	5%	0.0
e.g. = or > 3L/s								0.0
H. Well Density			< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 10.0 1 - 5 km² 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 2 t km² 1 0.25 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.0 Reported 1 (isolated) 1 1 0.25 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 Soved by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned planned planning and future regulation 3 1 10% 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 0.5 0.0			none reported	0	₀	0		0.0
1 - 5 km² 2 0.5 0.00	H.	Well Density		3	++	1	10%	10.0
Companied Comp			-	2		0.5		0.0
I. Water Quantity & Quality Sa (regional) 3				1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Concerns 2 to 3 (local) 2	I.	Water Quantity &Quality		3	11	1	10%	0.0
Reported		Issues/Concerns				0.5		0.0
J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.5 2.5 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Possible regulation Possible Possibl		керопеа			1			2.5
Served by Groundwater					Ц			0.0
Sub - 1000 2 0.5 0.0	J.		> 1000			1	10%	0.0
K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.5 0.5 0.0 3 1 1 0.5 0.0 3 3 3 0.5 0.0 4 0 0.25 0.25 0.25		ocrycu by Groundwaler						0.0
planning and future regulation Possible Unlikely 2 0.5 0.0 1 1 0.25 3.3	1/	Matanasasas			1			2.5
Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3	K.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
1 1 1 515		regulation						0.0
Total 40.1			Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3 40.7

Aquifer	Number: 782	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Fernie Island	, East of Swa	rtz Bay	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	realiking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	\2	'		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0 1	400/	0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		2		·	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	100/	2.3
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 32.7

Aquifer	Number: 783	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Coal Island,	N of Swartz B	ay	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0.	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Cupply Cystems	1	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
,	Estimated Day 1989	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Jos. Today Groundinator	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25 1		2.5
r.	planning and future	Delity plantied	3		ı	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	27.7

Aquifer	Number: 784	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Forrest Islan	d, East of Sid	ney	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Italikilig	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3 2		1	15%	0.0
	Зирріу Зувієнів	2 – 5 1	1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	1.g. 0. 0.1	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1	_	0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14	100	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	27.7

Aquifer	Number: 785	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Comet Island	d, East of Sidr	ney	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
-	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value	· ·				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Normalism of Demontral	none reported > 10	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	2 – 10	3 2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or $> 3L/s$	_					0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		-		•	10%	0.0
	,	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
IX.	planning and future	Doing planned			·	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	32.7

Aquifer	Number: 787	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Sidney Island	d, South End		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					11,
В.	Ranking	ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
L.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g 01 > 3L/5	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 5 km ⁻ 1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
			1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	H	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		·				Total	24.6

quifer Nu	ımber: 0788	Type: Bedrock	Location:	SW of Terrace and	d northshore of Skeen	a River - SOP	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		l ' 11	2		0.5		0.0
		l III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	•	1	5%	0.0
C.	Ranking	B Vullierability A	2	2	0.5	070	2.5
	. taming	C		_	0.25		
		C	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	0225						0.0
	Wall Daraste.	none reported	0 3	0	0 1		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		3.0
		< 1 km ²			0.23		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.5		2.5
				<u> </u>		Total	21.67

Aquifer	Number: 804	Type: Bedrock	Location: Shu	ıswap River			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
Σ.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	i talling	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	J	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	15%	0.0
	Cuppiy Cyclemic	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	1	1		0.25		0.0
	o.g. o. o	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	22.5

Aquifer	Number: 805	Type: Bedrock	Location:	West of Mab	le Lake, east (of Enderby	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Degree of I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	-	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
O.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	070	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s		_		_		0.0
	Wall Danaity	none reported	3	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	2		· -	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	 	1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.5		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	1	1	100/	2.5
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 25.5

Aquifer	Number: 808	Type: Bedrock	Location: An	archist Mount	ain		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
		,	0.02.		1.0 0.21	0,0	2.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5 LOW < 32 L/S	3	ļ ļ	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
١.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	1	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	Ĭ	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
	1		<u> </u>	1 1		Total	34.3

Aquife	r Number: 810	Type: Bedrock	Location:	East of Osoy	oos		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Acuitar Classification and	< 10 km ²		H	1		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III			0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	H	1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B B	2		0.5	370	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
	A . 'f . Ol 'f I' I		'	'			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	T Carming	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.4
			_				
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	vvalci OSC	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	<u> </u>	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 1	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	'	'		0.23		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E.C. d. I.D. d. I.C.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	22.124 0, 0.04.14.14.101	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	3	1	0.25 1		2.5
r.	planning and future	Being planned	3		'	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	22.3

Aquifer	Number: 812	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North of Grai	nd Forks		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	-				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ivanking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
□ □.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
O.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. = 01 > 3L/8	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	3	0	1	10%	0.0
	Tron Bonony	> 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	10 /6	
		1 – 5 km ²	1		0.25		5.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		· · · · ·	1			Total	25.5

Aquifer	Number: 813	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North of Gra	nd Forks		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s				_		1.3
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	H	0	400/	0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	-	1 (isolated) none reported	0	₀	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	 	1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	<u> </u>	1	10%	
	planning and future	_			_	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 24.0

Aquifer	Number: 814	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North of Gra	nd Forks		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	c.g. or object	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	 	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
<u> </u>	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3	1 10%	10%	0.0	
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely			3.3		
						Total	25.0

lquifer Νι	ımber: 0835	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Mermaid Cove - L	ower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	a.mg		2	2	0.5		5.0
			1	4	0.25	F0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	N 1 10 1W 1 0 1	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
			0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported > 5 km²	3	0	1	10%	
	,		2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Total	24.88

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0840	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Powell River - Lov	wer Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25	F0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
	No contract of October 110/242 and October 110/242	Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	450/	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	+	1 1	5%	0.0
О.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,70	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1 10%	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	<u> </u>	0.25		0.0
						Total	43.92

Aquifer	Number: 842	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Cortes Island			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Italikilig	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	+	1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	 	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	33.2

Aquifer	Number: 843	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Cortes Island			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of		 	1		2.5
J.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		<u> </u>	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		2.5
	e.g. = or > 3L/s		_		_		0.0
- 11	Mall Danait.	none reported	3	_	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	-	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3		1	400/	0.0
J .	Served by Groundwater				•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 ' 	1		2.5
	planning and future	203 planiou			•	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	38.0

Aquifer	Number: 844	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Cortes Island			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	 	1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	<u> </u>	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	3 /6	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s		_		_		1.3
Н.	Wall Danaits	none reported	3		<u> </u>		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²				100/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	-	1 (isolated) none reported	0		0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	 	1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	1	100/	
	planning and future	_				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 26.7

Aquifer	Number: 845	Type: Bedrock	Location:				
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
-	Ranking	В	2		0.5	- 77	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
٥.	Ranking						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	_	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1 0		0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. = or > 3L/s						0.0
Н.	Wall Daneity	none reported	3	0	0		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	2	3	· -	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²			0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0	400/	0.0
υ.	Served by Groundwater				-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 - 500	2 1		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
IX.	planning and future	Deling planned			'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	43.0

Aquifer	Number: 846	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Cortes Island			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
υ.	Ranking						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
L.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g 01 > 3L/5	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	0	1	10%	0.0
		2 5 km ⁻ 1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
			1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	+	1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	100	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	22.7

Aquifer	Number: 848	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Black Creek	S of Campbell	River	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		. II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	070	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value	'	<u>'</u>			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
	Estimated Current Ground	Library CA L /a			4	400/	0.0
E.	Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	₁	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s						0.0
	Mall Danath	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
٠.	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	1	4001	2.5
	planning and future	• .				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3

Aquifer	Number: 861	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Lower Peach	land Creek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of		1	1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Kanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	H	1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Зирріу Зувієнів	2 – 5 1	1		0.66		0.0
		none reported	o .	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	1.g. 0. 0.1	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
16	NAC 4	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.2

Aquifer	Number: 863	Type: Bedrock	Location:	N. Trepanier	Ck Valley N o	f Peachland	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value	·				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
	3	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
	Estimated Current Ground	Himbs CAI/s			4	10%	0.0
E.	Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Number of Demonted	none reported > 10	0	0	0	F0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	2 – 10	3 2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or $> 3L/s$	_					0.0
	W # 5 "	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	1	0.25 0		2.5
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	 	1	100/	0.0
٥.	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		-	10%	0.0
		< 500 - 1000 < 500	1	₁	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	1	1601	۷.٦
	planning and future	5 5 France 2			-	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.5

Aquifer	Number: 866	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Ashby Point,	Shuswap Lak	re	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Italikilig	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0 /	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опрріу Оузістіз	2-5	1	₁	0.88		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	ocryed by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
1/	Matanasasas	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.2

Aquifer	Number: 869	Type: Bedrock	Location:	W of Paradis	e Point, Shus	wap Lake	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of		1	1		2.5
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0 /	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опрріу Оузістіз	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	1.g. 0. 0.1	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14	NAC 4	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.5

Aquifer	Number: 871	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Lower Canoe	Cr, E side of	valley	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	<u>'</u>	1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s						0.0
	Mall Danait.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	-	1	100/	0.0
٠.	Served by Groundwater		2		-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	4601	۷.۶
	planning and future	3,5				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	1	Unlikely	1 1	1	0.25	1	3.3

Aquifer	Number: 879	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Lillian Lake,	NW of Wilme	r Ck	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of		H	1		0.0
υ.	Ranking	Development I	3		·	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Italikilig	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0 /	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опрріу Оузістіз	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
- V	Watermanagement	< 500	3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	25.5

Aquifer N	umber: 0882	Type: Bedrock	Location:	NE of Mission Cit	y - Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
١.	Systems				· ·	1570	0.0
		2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	1	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	П	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	37.86

Aquifer Νι	ımber: 0883	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Iron Mt. end of W	honock Lake - Lower M	lainland	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
Г.	Systems					15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	+	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	26.90

lquifer Νι	ımber: 0885	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North shore of Ha	ıyward Lake - Lower Ma	inland	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0 0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	+	0.25	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	3 2 1	1	0.5 0.25	10%	0.0 0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5 1 none reported	2 1 0	1	0.66 0.33 0		0.0 5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1 0	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported > 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		I Offlikely	1 1	11 1	0.20	Total	32.97

			Location:	East of Hayward I	Lake - Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
١.	Systems	-				1576	0.0
		2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	 	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
			•	•		Total	22.97

377 177		Location:	East of Stave Fall	s - Lower Mainland			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	Ŭ .	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		5.0
	Mater Quantity and Quality		2		1	10%	0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.5		2.5
K.	Water management planning and		3	 	1		2.0
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	29.40

Aquifer Number: 0890 Type: Bedrock		Location:	Mt. Tom Area - Lo	wer Mainland			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5	1070	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kalikilig	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	S	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	'	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
V	Water management planning and			2.5			
K.	Water management planning and future regulation		3			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	30.94

200			Location:	Upper Young Cre	ek - Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	.070	
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3	+		10%	2.5 0.0
δ.	Ranking	II			1	10%	
		" "	2		0.5		0.0
	A socifica Olassification and	***	1 3	1	0.25	5%	2.5 0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	376	0.0
	Ranking				0.5		
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Court d Weter Court	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported	0	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5	.070	
			1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²		1			2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	18.20

Aquifer Number: 0896 Type: Bedrock			Location:	East of Steelhead	- Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²	'		0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	1.69	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1	5%	0.0 2.5
	Ranking	B C			0.5 0.25		
		C	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use		3		1	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	 	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	.070	
			1	2	0.25		5.0
		< 1 km ²			0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fatimated Danulation Conved by	none reported	0 3	0	0 1		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		'	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
			<u></u>			Total	24.17

quifer Nu	ımber: 0897	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North Shore Allou	iette River - Lower Maii	nland	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25	50/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	<u> </u>				1.0
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	Being planned 3 1 1 10%				
		Dagaible			0.5		0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
	1	Utilikely	1 1	11 1	0.20	Total	27.73

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0898	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Westside / N Allo	uette River - Lower Mai	nland	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0 0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		0.25	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	'	1	15%	0.0
٠.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		•				Total	27.73

			Location:	SE of Chilliwack -	Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0 2.5
-	Aguifor Classification and		3	1	0.25	5%	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	2	1 0.5	370	2.5
	- I amin'ny	C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Systems				· ·	15%	0.0
	Cyclemo	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0	'	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	25.12

Aquifer	Number: 904	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Pt. Hardy			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
υ.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	realiking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0 /	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опры Оузістіз	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	ocrycu by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
1/	Matanasasas	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	33.0

Aquifer	Number: 905	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Ft. Rupert			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking Development I 3	3		'	10%	0.0	
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value	'	H			0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
	E. C. and A. C. and A. C. and	11:11 - 041/			4	400/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	<u> </u>	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N (D t. d.	none reported	0		0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s		· ·		0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	roportou	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	H	0 1	400/	0.0
0.	Served by Groundwater		-		•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	1		2.3
	planning and future	209 p.a04			·	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	38.0

Aquifer	r Number: 907	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Coal Harbou	S of Pt. Hard	ly	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	<u>'</u>	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5	- 7.5	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	3 /0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s		_		_		1.3
	Mall Density	none reported	3		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	2		· -	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	 	1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.5		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned			400/	2.5	
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	.	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 29.0

Aquifer	r Number: 911	Type: Bedrock	Location:	N of Deka Lake and E of 100 Mile House			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0.	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опрріу Оузістіз	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14	100	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.2

Aquifer	r Number: 915	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Sulphurous Lake / E of 100 Mile House			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
O.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	370	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value	'				1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	H	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	_					0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		-		-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
IX.	planning and future	Doing planned			'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	34.2

Aquifer	Number: 919	Type: Bedrock	Location:	NE of Bridge Lake/ E of 100 Mile House			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3 2		1	15%	0.0
	Зирріу Зувієнів	2 – 5 1	1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
12	NA/-1	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	24.4

Aquifer	r Number: 922	Type: Bedrock	Location:	3 Mile Lake / SE of Clinton			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of		H	1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	0.0 5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	370	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value		H			0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
	E. C. and A. C. and A. C. and	15.1. 04.17.			4	400/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	 	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N (D t. d.	none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	_	·		0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	roportou	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0	400/	0.0
0.	Served by Groundwater				·	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 '	1		2.3
• ••	planning and future	209 p.a			·	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	33.0

Aquifer Number: 0925		Type: Bedrock	Location:	Partington Creek - Lower Mainland			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		2.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	North and Consult Water Consult	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
		2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	+ -	1	5%	0.0
٥.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,70	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		-				Total	24.64