quifer N	umber: 0018	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Mission - Lower M	lainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25	=0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0	=0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
							0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	39.88

quifer N	umber: 0019	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Grant Hill - Lower	Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5	1078	
		10 – 50 km²					5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	Ŭ	0.5	10,0	
			1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5 0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	none reported > 1000	0 3		0	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Possible	2	2	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	••	•	Total	40.36

quifer Nu	umber: 0068	Type: Bedrock Location: Belcarra - Lower Mainland scription Measure Point Scale Points Weighting Factor Maximum					
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale		Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area		3	Assigned	1		
А.	Aquilei Alea	> 50 km²	5		'	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
			4	1	0.05		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Ranking		2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
							0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking						
_		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	036	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		-	1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Giounuwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1		2.0
	future regulation		-	3		10%	10.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		2.5
		2	· · · ·	••		Total	52.38

quifer Nu	umber: 0081	Type: Bedrock	Location:	NE of Prince Geo	rge - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking		2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Operation (Western Operation	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4 5 0/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Oystems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	Ŭ	0.5		
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	inter of ogenetics in	Dessible	2		0.5		0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0 0.0
		Officely		11	0.20	Total	28.2

quifer Nu	umber: 0084	Type: Bedrock	Location:	N and W of Tabor	Lake - SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25	F 0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	i kunning	С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					1.0
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	none reported > 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3	1	0.25	2.5	
	future regulation	Donig planned	č			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 28.20

Quifer Nu	umber: 0088	Type: Bedrock	Location:	College Heights -	SOP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking		2		0.5	10/0	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	0	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	
1.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	-	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
			•		0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	0	0.5	1070	
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.20		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		· · ·		++	•	Total	26.43

quifer Nu	umber: 0089	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Vanway S - SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
0	A muifer Oleanification and		1 3		0.25	5%	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	576	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	3 2 1	1	1 0.5 0.25	10%	0.0 0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation		3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		Unlikely		<u> </u>	0.20	Total	2.5 28.20

quifer Nı	umber: 0091	Type: Bedrock		NW of Beaverly			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	J	1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1078	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Levelopment	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	2	2	0.5	10%	0.0
			1	2	0.25		5.0 0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	- 1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
			0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported > 5 km ²	3	0	1	10%	
		-	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
						1070	0.0
		Possible	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		Unlikely		1	0.20	Total	2.5 28.20

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0093	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Cranbrook Hill - S	OP		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
В.		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		0.25	15%	0.0
••	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
			0		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported > 5 km ²	3	0	1	10%	
	tren Deneny	-	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	intere regulation						0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		Officely		11 1	0.20	Total	2.5

Aquife	r Number: 96	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Spa Creek			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	<u> </u>	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Faller to the base to find	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	.	0.5		0.0
K	Water management	< 500 Being planned	<u>1</u> 1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	planning and future	Being planned	3			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	21.7

Aquife	r Number: 99	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Switsemalph			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	i tanting	B		2	0.5		2.5
			1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Raiking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /8	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	170/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > $3L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	U U	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Scree by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.2

Aquife	r Number: 101	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Mount Tappe	n		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	i tanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	oupply oystems	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Screed by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	26.4

Aquife	r Number: 104	Type: Bedrock	Location: 1 k	dilometre nort	hwest of Hull	car	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	Ŭ	1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5	10,0	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²		-			2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	. to		2	2	0.5		5.0
				2	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	Vullierability A B	2	2	0.5	570	2.5
		C	1	_	0.25		0.0
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	J	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	15%	0.0
	cupply cyclonic	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > $3L/s$	1	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. 01 - 02/0	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2 1	1	0.25		1.7
		Crimery		1	0.20	Total	28.8

Aquifer	r Number: 105	Type: Bedrock	Location: Hill	crest			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	J	1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²		-			2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		
					0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3	1	1	5%	<u>2.5</u> 0.0
0.	Ranking	Vullierability A B	2	2	0.5	570	2.5
		c	1	_	0.25		
		_	1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	5	3		1	10%	0.0
	Waler Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 1	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	I	'		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		-		-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
ι.	planning and future		5		'	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	20.6

Aquife	r Number: 106	Type: Bedrock	Location: So	utheast of Leo	luc Creek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ⁻ Degree of			1		0.0
D.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
			51021	,	1.0 - 0.24	570	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	J	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	e.g. = or > $3L/s$	1	1		0.25		0.0
	Ŭ	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerveu by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
	1	>	1 1			Total	27.5

Aquife	r Number: 107	Type: Bedrock	Location: Gai	dom Lake to	Enderby		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	oupply bystems	2 - 5	2		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > $3L/s$	1	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. 01 0 0 10	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	31.3

Aquife	r Number: 110	Type: Bedrock	Location: Gra	ndview Bench	า		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
			51021	0	1.0 - 0.24	570	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	5	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1570	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	e.g. = or > $3L/s$	1	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
	•	, <u>,</u>				Total	36.1

	· Number: 112	Type: Bedrock	Location: 2 k	ilometres nor	theast of Ende	erby	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > $3L/s$	1	1		0.25		0.0
	•	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	03	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater					10%	0.0
	,	500 - 1000 < 500	2 1		0.5		0.0
К.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
	planning and future	Doing plaining	Ŭ			5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	1	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7

Aquife	r Number: 118	Type: Bedrock	Location:	South of Que	snel View		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2	2	0.5	1070	
		111	1	2	0.25		5.0 0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of One and Meter	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1	2	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	40.5

quife	r Number: 123	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Northwest o	f Dale Lake		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I			0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
0	A suifes Olessifisation and		1	1		C 0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ŭ	C	1	1	0.25		
			1	1			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	i taining	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
-	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > $3L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	°	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	26.7

luite	r Number: 124	Type: Bedrock	Location:	70 MIle nort	h to 108 Mile	House	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.		Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5	10,0	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	- / -	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Gerved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
K.	Water management planning and future regulation			1			5

quife	r Number: 126	Type: Bedrock	Location:	108 Mile Lak	e to Bridge C	reek	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.		Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I II			0.5	1070	
			2	1	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	2.5 0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
	Ranking	-					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E d'auto de De contratione	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	66.4

Aquife	r Number: 127	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Buffalo Creel	C		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	-		0.5	10 %	
			2	1	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	2.5 0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	cupply cyclonic	2 - 5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	23.0

quife	r Number: 138	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Upper Missio	ner/Minton C	reek Valleys	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
			1				0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	i tuniting	B		2	0.25		2.5
			1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Devulation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	.	0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
ĸ.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	48.0

Aquife	r Number: 140	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North side of	f lower Missio	ner Creek Va	lley
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	-			0.20		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.2

luife	r Number: 141	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Between Wil	liams Lake an	d Missioner C	creek
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	-		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
			1	1		50/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Raiking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	e.g. = or > $3L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	34.4

quife	r Number: 142	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Southwest s	ide of William	s Lake	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Канкіну	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
-	Estimated Deputation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
Ν.	planning and future		3			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.2

Aquife	r Number: 148	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Between Chi	mney Creek a	nd Williams L	.ake
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Tranking	II II	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	i tanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of One and Mistor	Low < 32 L/s	1	1 3	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	3	1 0.66	15%	15.0 0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > $3L/s$	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	63.4

Aquife	r Number: 153	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Lower Pablo	Creek		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
			1	1			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	i tunking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	21.7

Aquifer N	umber: 0154	Type: Bedrock	Location:	NW of Whonnock	Lake - Lower Mainland	I	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ²					2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25	50/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	i cantaing	C	1	1	0.25		1.3
		-		- '			1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	·	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2?	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1	2:	0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.5		2.5
			- I	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Total	32.73

Quifer No	umber: 162	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Cedar / Yellowpoi	nt - VI		
7	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
А.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	16	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.8
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3		0.25	15%	
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	-	2-5	1	1?	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 - 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1	-	0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3		1	10%	0.0
	future regulation					1070	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	11	0.25	Total	0.0 68.81

Aquifer Nu	umber: 164	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Extension - VI			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		=	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	2	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В		2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S > 5	3		0.25	15%	
1.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	,	2-5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	Ŭ	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
		Officery		11 1	0.20	Total	26.90

quifer Nu	ımber: 165	Type: Bedrock	Location:	South Wellington	- VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
		≡	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C	1	2	0.5 0.25		2.5 0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					0.0
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	Ŭ,	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 521/5	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0	100/	0.0
11.	Weir Density	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	1	0.25 0		2.5 0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
К.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
ix.	future regulation	Deing planted	Ŭ			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 34.88

quifer Nı	umber: 166	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Steveson Pt VI			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	7.00.9.000	1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5	1078	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Systems				1	15%	0.0
	eyeteme	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	1	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	ů ř	1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1070	
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3		1		2.5
rx.	future regulation	Deing plaimed	5			10%	
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
		Unincery			0.20	Total	2.5

quifer Nu	umber: 168	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Ladysmith - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		Ш	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		0.25	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
			1	-	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	022.0	_					0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km²	_	3	-	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
.,		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
			_		0.5		0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		Unincely		<u> </u>	0.20	Total	36.43

Aquifer Nu	umber: 170	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Panarama Ridge	Chemainus - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Ŭ	Ш	2		0.5		0.0
		=	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
			0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported > 5 km ²	3		1	10%	
	tron 2 ononly		2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		Unlikely		11 1	0.25	Total	2.5 34.88

quifer Nu	umber: 171	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Mt. Sicker / Crofte	en - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		Ш	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	- 02213						0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0	1001	0.0
	Weir Density	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0	10%	0.0
	C. CL. Iditutor	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 33.20

quifer Nu	Imber: 173	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Maple Mtn. near C	Croften - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3		0.25	15%	0.0
1.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		2-5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	11 .	0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 29.88

Aquifer Ni	umber: 175	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North of Duncan -	VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
А.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	_	Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Ξ	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		0.25	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
							0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
11.	Weil Density	> 5 km ²	_	3	-	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		_	_				0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		Uninkely	1 1	<u> </u>	0.20	Total	37.38

quifer Nu	umber: 176	Type: Bedrock	Location:	East of Duncan /	Maple Bay - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	
	Issues/Concerns Reported					1070	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3	0	1		0.0
J.	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		•	Total	34.64

Aquifer Ni	umber: 177	Type: Bedrock	Location:	East of Duncan / I	Maple Bay - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	10.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
1.	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	,	2 - 5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3	1	1		2.5
Γ.	future regulation	Being planned	3			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	29.64

quifer Nu	umber: 181	Type: Bedrock	Location:	West of Duncan -	VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	C C	II	2		0.5		0.0
		=	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	5	0.5	1070	
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0	10%	0.0
	Giounuwalei	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 33.20

quifer Nı	umber: 182	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Paldi - VI			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	036	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
							0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0	400/	
11.	Wein Density	> 5 km²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	1	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation		3		1	10%	2.0
		_				1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 28.44

Quifer No	umber: 196	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Deerholm / Dunc	an - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ŭ	11	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	C	1	1	0.25		2.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
••	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		· · · · · ·				Total	29.40

Aquifer Nu	umber: 198	Type: Bedrock	Cowichan Station -	VI			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	3	I	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Kanking	С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
_		none reported	0	0?	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	Ŭ	0.5	10,0	
		-	1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²			0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fatimated Danulation Correct here	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	- 1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	25.47

Aquifer Nu	umber: 200	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Cobble Hill - VI			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5	10,0	
			1		0.25		5.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of Development					0.0
Β.	Ranking	5	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25	F0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					0.0
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5		0.0
	~ <u>32L</u> /5	< 2 none reported	0	0	0.25 0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	0	1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5	1070	
			1	2	0.25		5.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns Reported	(J				10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3	1	0.25		2.5
IX.	future regulation	Deing planned	5			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 29.64

Aquifer Nu	umber: 202	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Shawnigan Lake	/ Cobble Hill - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25	E0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1	5%	0.0
	Kanking	B C	1	2	0.5 0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	
Γ.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1576	0.0
	- ,	2-5	1	1?	0.88		5.0
		none reported	0		0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K	Water monogoment alanais			1			2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	37.3

quifer Nu	Imber: 203	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Shawnigan Lake /	Cobble Hill - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	1.00.9.00	1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25	=0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3		0.25	15%	0.0
г.	Systems		-		-	15%	0.0
	eyeteine	2 – 5 1	2 1	1	0.66 0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0
14						0.0	
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	11	0.25	Total	0.0 47.86

quiter Ni	umber: 204	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Cobble Hill - VI			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	11	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
			1	2	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and		3		0.25	5%	0.0
U.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	2	0.5	576	2.5
	Ranking			2	0.25		
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		
		1 – 5 km-					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	<u> </u>	0.25		0.0
		Offinitory	'	11	0.20	Total	45.12

Aquiter NL	umber: 207	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Mill Bay / Shawnig	gan Lake - Vi		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	
••	Systems	2-5	2	2?	0.66	1070	0.0
	-	1	1	2:	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2	2 ?	0.5		2.5
	~ 32E/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3		0		0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water management planning and		3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	future regulation				1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 45.36

Quifer No	umber: 208	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Spectacle Lake / I	Malahat - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		=	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0 0.0
	Ranking	B C	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2 1	1	1 0.5	10%	0.0 0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	I	0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	Ŭ Ö	1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3	1	1		2.5
	future regulation	- •				10%	0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	11 1	0.25	Total	2.5 32.38

Quifer Nu	umber: 210	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Nanoose Bay - VI			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²		1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	030	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Wall Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km²	-	3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0	10%	0.0
	Giodildwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 27.97

Aquifer Nu	umber: 211	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Nanaimo - VI			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5	1078	0.0
		10 – 50 km²		-			5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	I	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	
••	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	- 02213						0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
11.	Weil Density	> 5 km²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Cioditanator	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	27.9

Aquifer Nu	umber: 212	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Parksville - VI			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	
			2		0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	I	2		0.5		0.0
		Ш	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	0.25	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	- / -	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
							1.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	Ŭ	0.5	10,0	
							0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	+	2.0
	future regulation	0.				10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		· · · · ·	•	-++	•	Total	25.18

Aquifer Nu	umber: 213	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Lantzville - VI			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25	E 0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Number of Oregond Weter Oursely	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3		0.25	450/	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems				-	15%	0.0
	Gysterns	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density		3		1	100/	
	Wen Denoty	> 5 km ²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		· · · · · ·				Total	36.42

quifer Nu	umber: 214	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Parksville / Madro	ona Park - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		5.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I					0.0
5.	Ranking	5	3		1	10%	0.0
		II 	2		0.5		0.0
0	A muifer Oleanification and		1 3	1	0.25	5%	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	2	1 0.5	570	0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	·	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	-	$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	5	0.5	10,0	
		-	1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²				100/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 29.88

quifer Nu	umber: 218	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Nanoose Hill - VI			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5	1076	0.0
		10 – 50 km²		-			5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
1.	Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66	1070	0.0
	- ,	2-5	1	2	0.88		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3	1	1		2.5
rx.	future regulation	Dening planned	5			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		2				Total	43.39

Aquifer Ni	umber: 220	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Errington - VI			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5	1076	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	- Ose	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0
K.	Water management planning and		3		1		0.0
IX.	future regulation		5			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	- 1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	34.64

Aquife	r Number: 224	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Eagle Bay			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
L.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water menogement	< 500	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	38.0

Aquife	r Number: 226	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Scotch Creek	to Anglemon	it	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	i tanking	II II	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		_	1	1	0.20		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	J	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
-	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > $3L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.6

Aquife	r Number: 227	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Sorrento / N	otch Hill		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Rainking	I	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	i tuning	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of One and Meter	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	e.g. = or > $3L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	26.9

Aquife	r Number: 233	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Blind Bay / \	White Lake		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	i tainting		2		0.5		0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Development	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	.	0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
IX.	planning and future	Denig planned	5		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3

Aquife	r Number: 238	Type: Bedrock	Location: Spo	otted Lake. Os	oyoos		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5	10,10	0.0
			1	1	0.25		
B.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	B	2		0.5	- / -	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value		•			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
	U U	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊑.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /6	0.0
		Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N	none reported	0	0	0	100/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	production wells,	2 - 10	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or $> 3L/s$						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Develotion	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
rx.	planning and future	being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	25.0

Aquifer N	umber: 0239	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Fraser Lake - SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	
			2	2	0.5	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25	F 0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.23		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	1	0.25	15%	
1.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	~ 52L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Weil Density	> 5 km²				10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	20.94

quifer N	umber: 0241	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Vanderhoof - SOF			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking		2		0.5	1070	0.0
					0.25		
C.			1 3	1		5%	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking				0.5		
		С	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	
	Issues/Concerns Reported						0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3	0	1	1001	0.0
••	Groundwater		-			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
	1		•	••		Total	23.20

quifer Nu	Imber: 0243	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Vanderhoof - SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	-2 + 2	3	3	1		
		> 50 km ²				10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of					0.0
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	C C		2		0.5		0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3		0.25	15%	0.0
г.	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	13%	0.0
	- 5	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
11.	Weir Density	> 5 km ²			-	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 28.44

Aquife	r Number: 248	Type: Bedrock	Location: Spo	otted Lake. Os	oyoos		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5 0.0
1.	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0	100/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	production wells,	1	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s		-				0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	03	0	0	10%	0.0
	Weil Density	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water menogement	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	25.0

Aquife	r Number: 249	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Duck Range	/ Pritchard /	Monte Lake	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	Ű	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	- / -	2.5
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.7

Aquife	r Number: 250	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Pinantan Lak	ke / Pritchard		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	I	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	150/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
		2 - 5	1	1	0.00		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	e.g. = or > $3L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	41.0

Aquife	r Number: 260	Type: Bedrock	Location: Ma	rron Valley no	rthwest of Ok	anagan Falls	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2 2		5.0		
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	1	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	46.8

Aquife	r Number: 263	Type: Bedrock	Location: No	rth of Okanag	an Falls/shor	e of Skaha La	ke
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5	0.5	5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	1	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Scrved by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	33.8

Aquife	r Number: 268	Type: Bedrock	Location: Per	nticton East ar	nd shore of Sk	aha Lake	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	2	2	0.5	10 /6	0.0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	570	2.5
		C	- 1	_	0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0	100/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > $3L/s$	2 – 10	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. = 01 > 3L/3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3	0	1	10%	0.0
		> 5 km ⁻ 1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	1070	
		1 – 5 km ⁻ < 1 km ²	1	2	0.25		<u>5.0</u> 0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	•	Total	26.5

Aquife	r Number: 269	Type: Bedrock	Location: Ell	is Creek			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 10 \mathrm{km}^2$	1		0.25		
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
D.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.504	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	oupply oystems	2 - 5	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > $3L/s$	1	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E d'auto i Dan dada a	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water management	< 500 Roing planned	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	planning and future	Being planned	-			5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	<u>1.7</u> 33.8

Aquife	r Number: 272	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North-east o	f Kamloops		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	i tanking	I	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1	2	0.88		10.0
		none reported	0		0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	39.2

-	r Number: 273	Type: Bedrock	Location:		arnhartvale /		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	_		0.5	10 %	
			2		0.25		0.0
			1	1		C 0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	i kunning	В	2	2	0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > $3L/s$	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Η.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		· · ·	•		•	Total	32.0

Aquife	r Number: 274	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Brigade Lake			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I			0.5	10 /0	
		2 1		1	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	2.5 0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	<u> </u>	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerveu by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
				.		Total	28.0

Aquife	r Number: 275	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Knutsford			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	<u> </u>	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water menogement	< 500	1 3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.2

Aquife	r Number: 276	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Sugarloaf Hi	ll southwest o	of Kamloops	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking		2		0.5	1070	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	-	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	33.2

Aquife	r Number: 291	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Dixon, Sarge	nt and Jet Cro	eek Valleys	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kanking	I	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	cupply cyclonic	2 - 5	1		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Repuiled	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25	+	2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible 2		0.5		0.0	
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	<u> </u>	3.3
						Total	28.0

Aquife	r Number: 298	Type: Bedrock	Location: Na	ramata			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1		0.25		
	A multan Olana Kinatian and	< 10 km ²	-				0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1	2	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	570	2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
	Aquifer Classification and		'				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
_						4004	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	10%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	10 /0	5.0
	production wells,	1	1		0.25		
	e.g. = or $> 3L/s$	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	1 3		0.25		0.0
Ν.	planning and future		5			5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	41.5

Aquife	r Number: 300	Type: Bedrock	Location: Fau	ılder (Eneas C	reek)		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
			1		0.25		
B.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ū	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	-	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
	Ū	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	2		1	10%	0.0
E.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	03	0	0	10%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
	production wells,	1	1		0.25		
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3	0	1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km ⁻ > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
		,				Total	33.5

Aquife	r Number: 304	Type: Bedrock	Location: We	st side of Oka	nangan Lake,	west of Kelo	wna
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 10 \mathrm{km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	Ū	1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > $3L/s$	1	1	1	0.25		2.5
	c.g. = 01 × 3E/3	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	-	0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	33.8

Aquife	r Number: 305	Type: Bedrock	Location: We	st side of Oka	nagan Lake, v	west of Kelow	'na
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
В.		Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I	-		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
<u> </u>	Aquifer Classification and		1 3			5%	0.0
C.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
	. to	С		2	0.25		
			1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	1	10%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	1	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Screed by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	26.3

Aquife	r Number: 308	Type: Bedrock	Location:	West of Sica	mous		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2		0.5	10 /0	
			1	1	0.25		0.0 2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	570	2.5
	-	C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > $3L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1 10'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		· · ·	•	••		Total	22.5

Aquife	r Number: 312	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Cherryville			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
В.		Degree of	3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development I			0.5	10 %	0.0
			2	1	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	2.5 0.0
0.	Ranking	Vullierability A B	2		0.5	570	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	<u> </u>	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	19.4

Aquife	r Number: 313	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Cherryville			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II II	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Ш	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	oupply oystems	2 - 5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	Ů	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Repuiled	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	24.0

Quifer No	umber: 320	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Galiano Island - V	I		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
			2		0.5	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3		0.25	15%	
•••	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	- 522/3	< 2	1 0		0.25		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	0	1	100/	
	Weir Density	> 5 km²		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	~ 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	55.83

quifer N	umber: 0339	Type: Bedrock	Location:	East of Vanderho	of / North of Prince Geo	orge	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Scor
				Assigned		Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2 1	1	0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
1.	Systems	2-5			0.66	1570	0.0
	- ,	2-5	2		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported					1070	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by		3		1	1001	0.0
5.	Groundwater		-			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		· · · · · ·	•	••	-	Total	27.9

r Number: 350	Type: Bedrock	Location: NE	of Vernon an	d to the south	of BX Creek	
Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		2		0.5		0.0
		1	1	0.25		2.5
Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
Ranking		3		0.5	10%	0.0
		2	2			5.0
		1		0.25		0.0
	Vulnerability A			1	5%	0.0
Ranking						0.0
	С	1	1	0.25		1.7
Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
Estimated Current Cround	High > 64 L/p	2		1	109/	0.0
Water Use	5				10%	0.0
	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
Supply Systems						0.0
						0.0
Number of Deported			0		100/	0.0
			2	-	10 /0	0.0 5.0
production wells, e.g. = or > $3L/s$	1	1	2	0.25		0.0
Ŭ	none reported	0		0		0.0
Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
	< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		-		-		0.0
				-	10%	0.0
	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	< 500		1	0.25		2.5
planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	Unlikely	1	1 .	0.25		1.7
	Description Aquifer Area Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Estimated Current Ground Water Use Number of Ground Water Supply Systems Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s Well Density Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported Estimated Population Served by Groundwater Water management	DescriptionMeasureAquifer Area> 50 km²10 - 50 km²10 - 50 km²10 - 50 km²10 km²Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of DevelopmentIAquifer Classification and RankingVulnerabilityAAquifer Classification and RankingVulnerabilityAAquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	DescriptionMeasurePoint ScaleAquifer Area> 50 km²3 $10 - 50 km²$ 2 $10 - 50 km²$ 1Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development3III1Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development3Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability (based on 7 sub-factors)3Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 21Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	DescriptionMeasurePoint ScalePoints AssignedAquifer Area> 50 km^2 310 - 50 km^2 2< 10 km^2	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Point Scale & Points Assigned A$	DescriptionMeasurePoint ScalePoints AssignedWeighting HactorMaximum WeightingAquifer Area> 50 km²3110100Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development13110%Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development3110%Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability B3110%Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability B315%Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 2191.0 - 0.245%Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 2191.0 - 0.245%Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s

Aquife	r Number: 351	Type: Bedrock	Location: NE	of Vernon and	l to the north	of BX Creek	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 10 \mathrm{km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Nalikiliy	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	0	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	2	0.66 0.33		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > $3L/s$	1	1		0.25		0.0
	c.g. = 01 × 3E/3	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	-	0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	45.5

r Number: 355	Type: Bedrock	Location: We	est of deep Cr	eek, NW of Ar	mstrong	
Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		2	2	0.5		5.0
		1		0.25		0.0
Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
Ranking		3		0.5	10%	0.0
		2				0.0
		1	1	0.25		2.5
	-			1	5%	0.0
Ranking		2				0.0
	С	1	1	0.25		1.7
Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
Number of Ground Water	> 5			1	15%	0.0
Supply Systems						0.0
	-		0			0.0
Number of Reported	•		0		10%	0.0
Irrigation and large				-	1070	0.0
production wells, e.g. = or > $3L/s$	1	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ū į	none reported	0		0		0.0
Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
	1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
	< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
Reported						0.0
Estimated Demulation	•		0			0.0
Served by Groundwater					10%	0.0
						0.0
Water management			1			2.5
planning and future		3		'	5%	0.0
regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
	Description Aquifer Area Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Estimated Current Ground Water Use Number of Ground Water Supply Systems Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s Well Density Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported Estimated Population Served by Groundwater Water management planning and future	DescriptionMeasureAquifer Area> 50 km²10 – 50 km²10 – 50 km²10 – 50 km²10 km²Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of DevelopmentAquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability BAquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability BAquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	DescriptionMeasurePoint ScaleAquifer Area> 50 km²310 - 50 km²210 - 50 km²1Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development3III1Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development3Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability B3Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability (based on 7 sub-factors)3Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 21Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	DescriptionMeasurePoint ScalePoints AssignedAquifer Area> $50 \mathrm{km}^2$ 32Aquifer Area> $50 \mathrm{km}^2$ 22Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development13Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development32C111Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability B22C11Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 216Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	$\best{Points} \best{Points} $	DescriptionWeighting MeasurePoint ScalePoints AssignedWeighting HactorMaximum WeightingAquifer Area> 50 km²3110%10%Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development13110%Aquifer Classification and RankingDegree of Development10.510%Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability BA10.5%Aquifer Classification and RankingVulnerability BA15%Aquifer Classification and RankingRanking Value (based on 7 sub-factors)5 to 2161.0 - 0.245%Estimated Current Ground Water UseHigh > 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s

Aquife	r Number: 368	Type: Bedrock	Location:	2 km north o	of Quesnel		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		I	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
			1	1	0.20		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
_		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Oupply Oystems	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	<u> </u>	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	24.4

uiter NL	umber: 0374	Type: Bedrock	Location:		Ft. St. James bounded by Stuart Lk and Pitka - SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0	
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0	
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0	
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0	
	i kanning	II	2	2	0.5		5.0	
		III	1		0.25		0.0	
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0	
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0	
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3	
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0	
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%		
1.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1570	0.0	
	- 5	2-5	1		0.88		0.0	
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0	
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	Ů Ů	1	5%	0.0	
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0	
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0	
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0	
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0	
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5			
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1	2	0.25		5.0	
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0	
1.	Issues/Concerns Reported					10 /6	0.0	
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0	
		1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0	
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	3		1		0.0	
0.	Groundwater	- 1000				10%	0.0	
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0	
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5	
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0	
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0	
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5	
		· *	•	••		Total	25.23	

quifer Nı	umber: 0375	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Ft. St. James bou	nded by Newcastle Riv	er and Stuart Lk -	SOP
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kanking	I	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
0		none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 - 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Danulation Conved by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 22.73

Aquife	r Number: 386	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Miocene, No	rtheast of 15	0 Mile House	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	I	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of One and Meter	Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	450/	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > $3L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1]]	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	27.1

quifer N	umber: 0391	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North side of Vall	ey - Lower Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5	10,0	
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		5.0 0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	11	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Ш	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
Γ.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1576	0.0
	- ,	2-5	1		0.86		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
				· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Total	20.94

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0392	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North side of Vall	ey - Lower Mainland		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of Development I					0.0
В.	Ranking	· ·	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
			1 3	1	0.25	5%	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	i kunking	С	1	1	0.25		1.3
			•	'			1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	.	0.5 0.25		0.0
K	Water menogement planning and			1			2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	26.18

Aquifer Nu	umber: 413	Type: Bedrock	Location:	West of Royston	north of Puntledge Riv	ver - VI	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
			1	3	0.25	E0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
Γ.	Systems	2 – 5					0.0
	- ,	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns reponed	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 4000				10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3	1	0.25		2.5
ĸ.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3			10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
				++	+	Total	32.86

Quifer Nu	umber: 420	Type: Bedrock	Location:	1 km south of Oys	ster River - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of Development I		1			2.5
В.	Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	5		2	2	0.5		5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3		0.25	5%	0.0
С.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	2	0.5	070	2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	0.25	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 - 10	2		0.5 0.25		
	0210	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		-	2	5	0.5	1070	
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	1				0.0
		< 1 km ²			0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3		0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	~ 1000	3			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		Officery		<u> </u>	0.20	Total	32.14

Aquife	r Number: 425	Type: Bedrock	Location:	south of Brid	lge Lake		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Scree by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	34.5

quifer Number: 435 Type: Bedrock			Type: Bedrock Location: Whaling Station E				
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
А.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1 3	3	0.25	5%	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	15	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Systems		-		-		0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	Ŭ	0.5	1070	
		1 – 5 km					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and		3		1		2.5
	future regulation			3		10%	10.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25	Total	0.0 61.07

ltem	B :	quifer Number: 436 Type: Bedrock			Type: Bedrock Location: Shingle Spit area - Hornby Isla					
· · · · ·	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score			
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0			
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0			
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5			
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0			
			2	2	0.5		5.0			
			1		0.25	5%	0.0			
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0			
	Kanking	C	1		0.25		0.0			
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value								
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1			
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0			
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0			
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	5% 10% 15% 5%	0.0			
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0			
	-	1	1		0.33		0.0			
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0			
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0			
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0			
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0			
		none reported	0	0	0					
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0			
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0			
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0			
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0			
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0			
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0			
		none reported	0		0		0.0			
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0			
ľ		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0			
К.	Water management planning and		3	1	0.25		2.5			
Ν.	future regulation		3			10%	0.0			
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0			
		Unlikely	1		0.25	Total	0.0 40.60			

Aquifer Nu	quifer Number: 437 Type: Bedrock			Ford Cove / Norm	an Pt Hornby Island		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	3	1	5%	5.0 0.0
	Kanking	B C	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
	-	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	.	0.5		0.0
IZ .				1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	37.6