092G.001

Sensitive Ecosystems Inventory of East Vancouver Island and Gulf Islands Disturbance Mapping and Re-evaluation of Major Riparian Corridors BRITISH COLUMBIA Ministry of Sustainable Resource Management Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection March 2004

092G.022 092G.0

092F.019 092F.020 092F.010 092C.100

092C.089 092C.090 092B.081

Background

By the late 1980s it had become clear that ecologically significant lands and important wildlife rate of loss at 8.6% (915 ha) followed by riparian (4.6%), woodland (2.6%) and wetland (2.0%) habitats were fast disappearing throughout the lowlands surrounding the Strait of Georgia. This loss ecosystems. The largest area of loss was 7,360 ha (15.5%) in the older second growth forest was due to intense development pressure fueled by population and economic growth. To address category. this concern, the joint federal/provincial Sensitive Ecosystems Inventory (SEI) of East Vancouver Island and Gulf Islands was undertaken in 1993 as a pilot project.

Seven rare and ecologically fragile sensitive ecosystems were mapped and selectively ground

The original SEI mapping of riparian ecosystems avoided areas showing recent human disturbance. truthed from 1993-1997: wetland, riparian, older forest, woodland, terrestrial herbaceous, sparsely

However, the linear corridors formed by riparian ecosystems comprise a continuous ecological unit communities. They are also a vital part of the overall landscape, providing ecosystem services that larger watersheds of which they are a part. support a healthy economy and our social well-being. For example, they regulate climate, clean our fresh water, generate and clean our soils, recycle nutrients and pollinate our crops. Two other

These new maps include 256 new riparian polygons in major corridors such as the Cowichan, important ecosystems - although clearly altered by human use - were also mapped because of their Chemainus, Koksilah, Nanaimo, Englishman, Little Qualicum, Puntledge, Quinsam, Oyster, Tsolum general biodiversity values: seasonally flooded agricultural field and older second growth forest and Trent River valleys. Where riparian ecosystems were identified within an existing non-riparian

A technical report (Ward et al. 1998) and Conservation Manual (McPhee et al. 2000) provide For consistency, the new air photo interpretation was conducted at a scale of 1:10,000. Since this information on the values and importance of each ecosystem, management guidelines and scale was larger than some of the original 1990s photos (many of which were between 1:15,000 and recommendations for each ecosystem, and information about the conservation tools available to 1:20,000), more accurate interpretation was possible. However, budget and time constraints did not local and senior governments, landowners and other citizens.

completed in other parts of BC where there are similar development pressures, namely the Central forests, wetlands and seasonally flooded agricultural fields. A few older second growth forest Okanagan and the Sunshine Coast.

Disturbance Mapping

or rural use, roads, trail(s), recreation, agriculture or industrial use - over the past decade. The disturbed areas identified have been retained on the maps (see red hatched areas) to increase awareness of the escalating loss of natural ecosystems and to encourage conservation of those that

Creating vegetated buffers around sensitive ecosystems to isolate the ecosystem from outside

The areas of disturbance were identified by digitally overlaying the original polygons (identified on air photos taken primarily between 1990 and 1992) on more recent photographs taken in late July and early August 2002 (AXYS 2004). In addition, the intact remnants of each altered polygon were reviewed to determine if they still qualified for inclusion in the SEI (Buechert 2004).

Results of this disturbance mapping showed that over 8,800 ha (11%) of the area occupied by the nine SEI ecosystem types in the early 1990s had been disturbed by 2002. Over 1.480 ha of disturbed area had originally been occupied by the seven sensitive ecosystems. Older forests had the highest

Re-evaluation of Major Riparian Corridors and Other Areas

vegetated and coastal bluff ecosystems (see map legend for descriptions). These ecosystems with very high conservation values overall. Major riparian corridors were re-evaluated to reflect these typically have high biodiversity and are home to many rare and endangered animals, plants and plant values and to encourage land use decisions that consider entire riparian ecosystems as well as the

polygon, the riparian ecosystem code was added.

allow for a comprehensive re-interpretation of the entire study area at this scale. The Vancouver Island SEI was the first of its kind in British Columbia and was designed to provide a Where previously unidentified SEI ecosystems were noticed during the riparian re-evaluation, new scientific ecosystem-based tool for land use planning. Additional SEI projects have now been polygons were added. Approximately 25 non-riparian polygons were identified, representing older

What can be done to protect these ecosystems?

polygons were also identified where they occurred adjacent to a sensitive ecosystem.

This second version of the SEI maps is an update of the ones that were published in 1997. The new

It is critical that all possible land use options be evaluated before initiating any further changes to

A planner: ensure that conservation is given as high a priority as other community programs such as maps identify those portions of the original SEI polygons that have been disturbed - by logging, urban these rare and fragile ecosystems. Direct and indirect impacts to these ecosystems can be avoided

> Controlling land and water access to fragile ecosystems by using appropriate management tools such as fencing, trails, elevated boardwalks, railings, seasonal restrictions, signs and livestock restrictions. Controlling invasive species including plants, feral animals and pets by using active control methods such as hand clearing, pruning, mowing, excavation, animal fencing and planting of appropriate native species, and discouraging plantings of Scotch Broom, English Ivy, Himalayan Blackberry, Yellow Flag Iris, Purple Loosestrife and Spurge Laurel.

Allowing natural disturbances to occur because natural ecological functions are critical to the

regimes, coastal erosion, sediment accretion, flooding, seasonal drawdown, groundwater Preventing disturbance of nesting or breeding areas - the nesting and breeding season for most coastal wildlife occurs in spring but can extend year round. Avoid disturbance of habitat

features such as dens, nest or perch trees, ground nests, roosting sites, and cavities.

aquatic insects and molluscs to the birds and mammals that feed on them. If development is the only option - develop carefully!

Before any development takes place: Conduct an ecological inventory, ideally through the seasons over a period of a year. Identify the existing flora and fauna, and in particular identify any threatened or endangered plant and

animal species, plant communities, and habitat features needing protection. Plan and implement all development activities in a manner that will not adversely affect or disturb the sensitive ecosystem. A qualified professional can interpret the ecological inventory data and work to incorporate designs that maintain the functions and values of the natural ecosystem.

A property owner: learn more about the natural values of your land, including the location of any sensitive ecosystems. Find out how to protect, maintain, and enhance those values. Consider using conservation covenants or other measures to ensure that the natural features you value are protected in perpetuity. A developer: consider a design for your project that is creative and flexible enough to protect and enhance sensitive ecosystems. Treed lots and neighbourhood greenspaces can increase

housing, transportation, recreation, employment, public works, and community services.

Encourage use of the many legal and planning tools available, such as development permit areas, tree protection by-laws, and conservation covenants to protect sensitive ecosystems as described in the Conservation Manual (McPhee et al. 2000). disturbance such as windthrow, invasive species colonization, and increased light and A decision-maker (such as a politician or government manager): ensure that protection of remaining sensitive ecosystems is a priority at all levels, and support plans and programs that will help protect sensitive ecosystems. Encourage and facilitate the development and implementation of biodiversity conservation strategies.

> A member of an advocacy group: contribute your time and expertise to help locate and protect sensitive ecosystems. For example, ratepayers' groups, service organizations, naturalist clubs, land trusts, and conservancies often provide a link between local landowners and voluntary stewardship programs. As a member of one of these groups, you can work cooperatively with local governments to promote land use decisions that protect sensitive ecosystems.

creation and maintenance of a sensitive ecosystem; these include hydrologic and nutrient A volunteer: participate in educational programs, conservation fundraising, or in programs to remove invasive species (such as "broom-bashing" events). recharge and discharge, stream channel movement, windthrow, tree death, fire and A scientist: use your expertise to help identify sensitive ecosystems, define issues that need to be addressed, formulate conservation plans, contribute to the development of conservation and management strategies and explain to other professionals the importance of sensitive ecosystems.

Maintaining water quality - clean water is essential to the survival of a wide variety of organisms, from **Resources** (available on the SEI website)

AXYS Environmental Consulting Ltd. 2004. Redigitizing of Sensitive Ecosystems Inventory Polygons to Exclude Disturbed Areas. Unpublished report submitted to the Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Pacific and Yukon Region. Buechert, Ron. 2004. Analysis of remnant SEI polygons, 2002. Unpublished report submitted to Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Pacific and Yukon Region. McPhee. M., P. Ward, J. Kirkby, L. Wolfe, N. Page, K. Dunster, N. K. Dawe and I. Nykwist. 2000. Sensitive Ecosystems Inventory: East Vancouver Island and Gulf Islands, 1993 - 1997. Volume 2: Conservation Manual. Technical Report Series No. 345, Canadian Wildlife Service, Pacific and Yukon Region, British Columbia, 328pp. Ward, P., G. Radcliffe, J. Kirkby, J. Illingworth and C. Cadrin. 1998. Sensitive Ecosystems Inventory: East Vancouver Island and Gulf Islands, 1993 - 1997. Volume 1: Methodology, Ecological

Descriptions and Results. Technical Report Series No. 320, Canadian Wildlife Service, Pacific and Yukon Region, British Columbia, 160pp. Saving Sensitive Ecosystems a series of eight colour brochures describing sensitive ecosystems, their status (based on 1997 inventory results) and their importance. They also present management recommendations that can be used to protect each ecosystem. PowerPoint presentations designed for four audiences: Local Government; Developers; General Public; Planners and Consultants.

Contact: For copies of these materials or more information on either the program or a specific polygon, please contact Jan Kirkby, Landscape Ecologist, Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada at Jan.Kirkby@ec.gc.ca or *tel:* 604.940.4657, *cell:* 250.616.3234 Website: http://srmwww.gov.bc.ca/sei/index.html

Acknowledgments Sensitive Ecosystems Inventories are a joint federal/provincial initiative of Environment Canada

(Conservation Data Centre) and Water, Land and Air Protection, and the Habitat Conservation Trust Fund. SEI projects have also received support and funding from regional districts, local governments and industry. Disturbance Mapping and Plot Generation: AXYS Environmental Consulting Ltd, Sidney, B.C. Remnant and Riparian Assessments: Ron Buechert, Qualicum Beach, B.C. Photo Credits: Neil K. Dawe, Trudy Chatwin, Mark Kaarremaa, Marlene Caskey, Nick Page, Colleen

(Canadian Wildlife Service), the BC Ministries of Sustainable Resource Management

Ecosystem Classes

Sensitive Ecosystems



steep coastal cliffs that are influenced by proximity to the ocean. Shallow soils are often present as soil pockets in rock cracks or crevices, or are absent altogether. Vegetation consists of saltolerant communities of mosses and lichens, grasses and herbs and sometimes low shrubs. Specialized habitats within these sites, including vernal pools, crevices, and seepage areas,

SV Sparsely Vegetated Ecosystems



6V: Sparsely vegetated ecosystems include coastal sand dunes, coastal sand and gravel spits and inland cliffs and bluffs with patches of vegetation interspersed with bare sand, gravel or exposed bedrock. Spits and dunes are important resting, feeding and nesting areas for migrating shorebirds and waterbirds. Inland cliffs provide nesting and roosting sites for birds and bats, and shelter and hibernation habitat for snakes and lizards.

(inland cliffs and bluffs): very steep slope, often exposed bedrock with lichens.

SV:sp (spits): finger-like extension of beach, comprised of sand or gravel deposited by longshore drifting, low to moderate cover of salt-tolerant grasses and herbs; SV:du (dunes): idge or hill, or beach area created by windblown sand, may be more or less vegetated depending on depositional activity, beach dunes will have low cover of salt-tolerant grasses and herbs; SV:cl

HT Terrestrial Herbaceous Ecosystems



These are non-forested ecosystems with less than 10% tree cover, generally with shallow soils and often with bedrock outcroppings. They typically occur as openings in forested areas and are vegetated with grasses and herbs and sometimes low shrubs; moss and lichen communities occur on rock outcrops. These grassy hilltops and wildflower meadows provide spectacular spring time scenes; they also provide specialized habitats, such as vernal pools and seepage zones for several rare and endangered species.

HT:ro: bedrock outcrops; HT:sh: >20% shrub cover



OF: Older Forest ecosystems are usually conifer-dominated, occasionally deciduous, dry to moist forest types. They are generally more than 100 years, have a multi-species canopy, large woody debris - both standing and fallen - and have trees of every age. The diverse habitats found in older forests support a rich community of plant and animal species. High levels of biodiversity result from the extraordinary abundance and variety of fungi, canopy insects, soil invertebrates and lichens (many still unknown to science), all critically important parts of the forest ecosystem.

OF:co (conifer-dominated): >85% coniferous species; OF:mx (mixed conifer and deciduous): a minimum of 15% cover of either group is included in the total tree cover



ND: Dry, open woodland ecosystems consist of between 10 and 25% tree cover and include mixed stands of Garry oak/Arbutus, Garry oak/Douglas-fir and Arbutus/Douglas-fir. Because of the open canopy they will include non-forested openings, often with shallow soils and bedrock outcroppings. A rich assemblage of plants, insects, reptiles and birds are attracted to the habitat diversity and food sources of woodland ecosystems. Garry oak ecosystems in particular support the highest diversity of plants in coastal British Columbia and are home to nearly 100 species at

WN Wetland Ecosystems



periods of time to develop vegetation and biological activity adapted to wet environments. This may result from flooding, fluctuating water tables, tidal influences or poor drainage conditions. Most wetlands are nodes of high biological diversity supporting many species such as ducks, songbirds, amphibians, and invertebrates that need both wetland and adjacent terrestrial ecosystems for their life-cycle. Wetlands also help to reduce levels of sediments, nutrients and toxic chemicals in the water. Estuarine wetlands in particular are one of the world's most



WN:bg (bog): acidic nutrient-poor wetland, on organic soils (peatland), water source predominantly from precipitation, may be treed or non-treed. WN:fn (fen): nutrient-medium peatland with non-acidic groundwater flowing through, open water channels common, usually dominated by sedges, grasses and mosses. WN:ms (marsh): wetland with fluctuating water table, often with shallow surface water, usually nutrient rich on mineral soils, dominated by rushes, reeds, grasses and sedges; can be saline, brackish or freshwater. **WN:sp (swamp):** poor to very rich wetland on organic or mineral soils, with gently flowing water table, treed or shrubby vegetation. WN:sw (shallow water): standing or slow flowing water less than 2m deep, transition between deep water bodies and other wetland ecosystems (i.e. bogs, swamps, fens, etc.), often with emergent vegetation. WN:wm (wet meadow): periodically saturated but seldom inundated

with water, rich mineral soils, grasses, sedges, rushes and forbs dominate.

RI Riparian Ecosystems



RI: Riparian ecosystems are adjacent to water bodies (rivers, lakes, ocean, wetlands) which are influenced by factors such as erosion, sedimentation, nutrient loading, flooding, and subsurface irrigation due to proximity to the water body. These conditions support plants that are distinct from surrounding land areas. Riparian ecosystems have an exceptionally high number of species for the area that they occupy, because they include the three critical habitat components for wildlife water, cover and food. They provide important corridors for mammals, birds, amphibians, fish, insects and aquatic invertebrates, help to regulate the flow of water, filter the water entering the stream and provide bank stability. These ecosystems are classified by structural stage, which is based on the structure and age of dominant vegetation; gullies are also noted as a subcategory.

RI:1 (sparse /bryoid): moss and lichen dominated, <10% treed, <20% shrub/herb; RI:1a: <10% vegetation; RI:1b: bryophyte and lichen-dominated communities; RI:2 (herb): herb dominated, <20% shrub, <10% treed; **RI:3 (shrub/herb):** >20% shrub, <10% treed; **RI:3a**: <2m tall; **RI:3b:** 2-Om tall, RI:4 (pole/sapling): trees >10m tall, densely stocked, may be coniferous, deciduous, or mixed stand between 10 and 40 years old; RI:5 (young forest): natural thinning has occurred and structural diversity increases, uniform age and lack of snags or downed logs; trees are generally less than 80 years old; RI:6 (mature forest): distinct layering of the canopy, understorey more developed as canopy opens up; generally 80 to more than 200 years old; RI:7 (old forest): trees >250 years old; structurally complex stands with shade tolerant tree species; snags and coarse woody debris in various stages of decay; RI:g (gully): watercourse is within a

Other Important Ecosystems

FS Seasonally Flooded Agricultural Field Ecosystems



FS: Many of these agricultural lands that flood during the winter months were once wetlands. They continue to provide critically important winter habitat for waterfowl as well as for shorebirds and birds of prey during specific times of the year, particularly as the number of natural wetlands

SG Older Second Growth Forest Ecosystems



These forested ecosystems have a dominant age class of 60 - 100 years. While not as biologically rich as Older Forests, they can serve as important buffers around sensitive ecosystems and vital links between habitat patches. They often provide critical habitat for species that require both open and forested areas during their life-cycle. The biological diversity of forests generally increases with age. Where older forests are rare or absent, older second growth forests become more important as they gradually develop old forest characteristics.

deciduous): a minimum of 15% cover of either group is included in the total tree cover.

Disturbed SEI Ecosystems



These areas were originally identified as SEI ecosystems but they have been disturbed by logging, urban or rural use, roads, trails, recreation, agriculture or industrial use since the original inventory was conducted. In some cases, the remaining intact portion of a partially disturbed polygon was too small or isolated to be considered a viable example of a sensitive ecosystem. These small remnants were also mapped as disturbed areas.

Polygon Label

Scale: 1:20,000 UTM Projection, NAD83 March 2004

092G.001 092G.001