

**Table 13**  
**Evaluation of Grand Forks**  
**Groundwater Quality Ambient Network**

<b>Network Name :</b>	<b>GRAND FORKS</b>					
<b>Aquifer Numbers:</b>	158 (Grand Forks)					
<b>Monitored Since:</b>	March 5, 1985					
<b>Footprint Area:</b>	38.8 km <sup>2</sup>					
<b>Number of Obs wells:</b>	25 wells at 21 sites					
<b>Number wells in WRA:</b>	500					
<b>Aquifer Classification:</b>	158 IA (rank 17)					
<b>Parameters ≥ GCDWQ:</b>	Nitrate, Chloride					
<b>Contaminants of Concern:</b>	NO3, Cl, K					
<b>Network Objective</b>	<b>Measurement Criteria</b>	<b>Current Status</b>	<b>Evidence of Change</b>	<b>Response Options</b>	<b>Comments</b>	
<b>1</b>	<b>Spatial and Depth Coverage</b>	a. background well(s) b. coverage in areas of suspected impacts c. coverage of all indicated spatial mode areas d. coverage of hydraulically isolated formations	- very good spatial coverage	- no evidence of geothermal - no significant land use change - several municipal wells available for sampling	- no response/change - add wells from Town of Grand Forks and various irrigation districts	
<b>2</b>	<b>Suite of Chemistry Parameters and Lab Methods</b>	a. indicator parameters capable of identifying existing/potential threats b. ability for anion/cation balance c. continuity of historical parameters d. consistent suite of parameters e. new parameters reflect emerging lab methods and recs. by Kohut (2009) f. surrogate monitoring methods	- insufficient parameters to verify charge balance - HCO3 not regularly included - background for some parameters is modal	- Cl increasing at hospital - NO3 decreasing	- no response/change - sample for complete suite in all parameters annually - monitor WTN 7962, WTN 35526, WTN 59167 more closely - include turbidity	
<b>3</b>	<b>Sampling Frequency for Network + Wells of Importance</b>	a. consistency in suite of parameters b. duration frequency for primary and secondary priority wells c. sampling for seasonal variation	- parameters not consistent - no seasonal sampling	- sample frequency is not consistent	- no response/change - sample more consistently	
<b>4</b>	<b>Field Methods QA/QC Data Validation</b>	a. field sampling + handling protocols b. QA/QC lab results c. cation/anion balance d. QA/QC data entered in EMS e. identify statistical outliers	- some outliers identified, probably related to sampling methods		- no response/change - adherence to field protocols - EMS results reviewed quickly so that sample re-testing still possible	
<b>5</b>	<b>Spatial and Temporal Analysis and Reporting</b>	a. visual outliers and spatial/temporal trends b.	- one upwards trend exists - one downward trend exists	- upward trend in Cl - downward trend in NO3	- no response/change - regular analysis/validation to identify outliers/trends - communicate with planners - communicate with water users - communicate with planners	- nitrogen continues to be an issue but is declining - more attention needed in area of hospital - more attention need in industrial area near WTN 59167 - K elevated down-gradient of agricultural areas.