Aquifer Nu	mber: 160	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cassidy (Lower) - \	vi		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
	Number of Reported Irrigation		0		0	E0/	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	<2	1		0.25		0.0
		_					0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.00
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
L		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	26.18

Aquifer Nu	mber: 161	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cassidy - VI			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
А.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Kanking	СВ	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	036	Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		5.0
C	Number of Deported Irrigation	none reported	0		0	E9/	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	5%	2.5
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	- 1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	-	0.25		0.0
						Total	65.83

Aquifer Nu	mber: 163	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cedar / north of Ho	olden Creek - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	2	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	036	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Number of Device to device the	none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	3 2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density		3	0	1	100/	0.0
		> 5 km	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population Served by	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
υ.	Groundwater	2 1000	5		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	29.64

Aquifer Nu	mber: 167	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Westwood Lake - \	/I		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	2	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	С	1	2	0.5		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	036	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported	0		0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
					0.5		0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5		0.0
		Onincery	· · ·	ļ '	0.20	Total	32.14

Aquifer Nu	mber: 169	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Saltair / south of L	adysmith - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	1	0			1070	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25	5 %	2.5
C.	Aquiter Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	2		0.5	576	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
E.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	2		0.5		0.0
		_		0	0.20		0.0
н	Well Density		0	0	0	1001	0.0
11.	Weil Density	> 5 km²	5		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population Served by	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
5.	Groundwater	2 1000	5		'	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	intere regulation		<u> </u>		0.5		0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5		0.0
				1 1	0.20	Total	28.2

Aquifer Nu	mber: 172	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Chemainus near C	roften - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		Ш	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3	2	1	10%	0.0
	000	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	2	0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1 none reported	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	0	0	0		0.0
0.	Groundwater	2 1000	Ŭ		'	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	Water management planning	< 500 Roing planned	1	1	0.25		2.5
r.	future regulation	Being planned	3		I I	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25	_	0.0
1						Total	55.83

Aquifer Nu	mber: 174	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North of Duncan -	VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	П	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		C	1	1	0.20		2.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	USE	$L_{OW} < 32 L/s$	2 1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 - 10	2		0.5		0.0
		- 2	1		0.20		0.0
н	Well Density	none reported	0	0	0	100/	0.0
	Weil Density	> 5 km²	5	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
L		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
						1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		UTIIKely		1	0.25	Total	∠.⊃ 34.40

Aquifer Nu	mber: 178	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Skutz Falls / Lake	Cowichan - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
А.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	1000 Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	•	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0	50/	0.0
G.	and large production wells e d	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	<2	1		0.25		0.0
		papa reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density		3	0	1	109/	0.0
		> 5 KIII	2		0.5	1076	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.0		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population Served by	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	~ 1000	5			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	intere regulation						0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5		0.0
	1	Oninery			0.20	Total	28.20

Aquifer Nu	mber: 179	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Sahtam - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
А.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 0	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	1	15%	2.5
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	2		0.5		0.0
				2	0.20		0.0
н	Well Density		0	0	0	100/	0.0
	Weil Density	> 5 km²	0	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population Served by	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	~ 1000	5			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5		0.0
		Onikely		1	0.20	Total	∠.⊃ 29.88

Aquifer Nu	mber: 180	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Sahtlam - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Raining	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.3
•••	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	-	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 5203	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	5	Possiblo	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2 1	1	0.25		2.5
<u> </u>						Total	28.44

Aquifer Nu	mber: 183	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West of Duncan - V	/I		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Railking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	036	Low < 32 L/s	2 1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 - 10	2		0.5		0.0
		- 2			0.20		0.0
	Mall Density	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
п.	weir Density	> 5 km²	3	3	I	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		· · · · ·	•			Total	28.44

Aquifer Nu	mber: 184	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West of Duncan - V	/1		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	036	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	39.64

Aquifer Nu	mber: 185	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Deerhorn / South	of Duncan - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Raining	B B	1	1	0.5		1.3
	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	'	1			1.0
D.	Ranking						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Oysterns	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	0	0.33		0.0
	Number of Reported Irrigation		0	U	0	E0/	0.0
С.	and large production wells e g	2 – 10	2		0.5	576	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
				0	0.20		0.0
	Wall Dopsity	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
11.	Weil Defisity	> 5 km²	5	3	I I	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	tuture regulation					1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	31.18

Aquifer Nu	mber: 186	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Duncan - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	С	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Use	$L_{OW} < 32 L/s$	2 1		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 - 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
			1		0.25		0.0
Н	Well Density		0		0	400/	0.0
	Weil Denoty	> 5 km²	ő		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	109/	
	tuture regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25	T-4-1	0.0
1	1					Iotal	65.83

Aquifer Nu	mber: 187	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Duncan - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		Ш	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 0	2	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3	2	1	10%	0.0
	036	1000 < 32 /s	2	2	0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	- 1	-	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	inture regulation					1070	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		2.5
1						lotal	55.36

Aquifer Nu	mber: 188	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Duncan - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I			4	100/	2.5
	Ranking		3		I	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		111	1	1	0.25	E0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Banking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	ranking	В	-	4	0.25		0.0
		C	I	I			1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	4	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0 66	1070	0.0
	-	1	1	2	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	100/	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	38.68

Aquifer Nu	mber: 189	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Honeymoon Bay -	VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		weighting	
А.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Raining	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Cround Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1 = 0/	2.5
г.	Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	eyeteine	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	Ŭ	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	tuture regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	37.86

Aquifer Nu	mber: 190	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Youbou - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	. contrary	II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
E	Number of Cround Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1 = 0/	2.5
г.	Systems	- 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	eyeteine	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	Ű	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	-		2	5	0.5	1070	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	-		0.0		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	109/	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	29.88

Aquifer Nu	mber: 191	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North Lake Cowich	nan - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Raining	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium $32 - 64 L/s$	2	1	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2 - 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	0210	~ 2	1	_	0.25		0.0
	Wall Density	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	I	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		UTIIKEly	1	<u> </u>	0.20	Total	34.88

Aquifer Nu	mber: 192	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Cowicha	n Lake North			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		111	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		2.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.50/	2.5
F.	Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Cystellie	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		nono roportod	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported Irrigation		3	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 - 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		papa reported	0	0	0		0.0
н	Well Density		3	0	1	400/	0.0
	Weir Density	> 5 km²	Ŭ -	3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	- 1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3	1	1	1001	
	future regulation			1		10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		· · · ·				Total	29.64

Aquifer	Number: 193	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Osc	oyoos West			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	16	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.8
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	2	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		none reported	0		0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. $> 321 / s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.9. 012.0	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25	Titit	0.0
1						l otal	76.3

Aquifer	Number 194	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Osc	oyoos East			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		11	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	i kanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	15	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	4.50/	0.0
►.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	2	1	15%	0.0
	oupply oystellis	2 - 5	1	2	0.00		10.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Devulation	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
ĸ	Water management	< 500	1		0.25		0.0
IX.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
ļ		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						l otal	68.6

Aquifer	Number 195	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Os	oyoos East			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		11	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of One and Weter	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Supply Systems	> 5	3		0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1	1	0.25		25
	Ũ	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	0.0
1						rotar	J/.4

Aquifer Nu	mber: 197	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cowichan Bay / Co	obble Hill area - VI		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		11	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Ш	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	4	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2 5	2	2	0.66	1070	0.0
	-	1	1	2	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	vveil Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
К.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	400/	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	51.42

Aquifer Nu	mber: 199	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Dugan Lk / Cowich	an Stn VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Ш	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	C	1	1	0.5		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	030	Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1?	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	0		0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		•				Total	34.69

Aquifer Nu	mber: 201	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cobble Hill (Kingb	urne) - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Raiikiiig	11	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
E	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	15%	2.5
1.	Systems	2.5	3		0.00	1570	0.0
		2-5	2		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		2	1	· · · ·		Total	28.20

Aquifer Nu	mber: 205	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cobble Hill / Shaw	nigan Lake - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	11	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
			1	2	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	$1000 \le 32 \le 100$	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1?	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		4 5 km ²	2	5	0.5	1070	10.0
		1 – 5 km-	_		0.05		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	0	0	0	400/	0.0
	Groundwater	500 1000	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	4	0.5		0.0
ĸ	Water management planning and	Being planned	3	1	1		2.5
· · · ·	future regulation		, s		'	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		÷	•			Total	33.44

Aquifer Nu	mber: 206	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Mill Bay - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of I	3		1	10%	0.0
	. torining	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Number of One of Mater Oursely	Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	4 50/	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Cystems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1 none reported	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	Ŭ	0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
К.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	100/	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	50.12

Aquifer Nu	mber: 209	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Errington - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
• •	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	-	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 526/8	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
L		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEIY	1 1	1 1	0.20	Total	2.∂ 25.7

Aquifer Nu	mber: 215	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Lantzville - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Banking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranning	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4 50/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Gysterns	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported Irrigation		3		0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 - 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		0.0
	Water Quantity and Quality	< 1 km ²	2		0.20	109/	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regionar)	3		I	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	0	0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population Served by		0	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	- 1000	3			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	400/	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	36.18

Aquifer Nu	mber: 216	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Parksville - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		Ш	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S > 5	3		0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66	1070	0.0
	-	1	1	2	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. $> 221/2$	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 320/5	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
	Mall Deset	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	vveii Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Deputation Conved by	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	Motor monogony and alarada		1		0.25		0.0
К.	future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
							0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
<u> </u>		Onikely			0.20	Total	69.35

Aquifer Nu	mber: 217	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Qualicum - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
				Assigned		weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	. contrary		2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported Irrigation		0		0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 - 10	2	2	0.5	570	2.5
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	-	0.25		2.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		2 3 Kill	2	5	0.5	1070	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
К.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	100/	
	tuture regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	68.33

Aquifer Nu	mber: 219	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Nanoose Creek - V	l		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		П	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	$L_{OW} < 32 L/s$	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Number of Deported Irrigation	none reported	0		0	E0/	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	3 2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	-	0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
K	Motor monogoment planting and		1		0.25		0.0
۴.	future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Dessible	2		0.5		0.0
		Possible	2 1	1	0.5		2.5
		Officery	, ' ļ	<u>, '</u>	0.20	Total	43.68

Aquifer Nu	mber: 221	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Parksville - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium $32 - 64$ L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	2 1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density		3	2	1	10%	0.0
		~ 5 KII	2	5	0.5	1078	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.0		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	~ 1000	5			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
L		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Dec. "I		_	0.5		0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		Oninety	· · ·	4	0.20	Total	40.36

Aquifer	Number: 222	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Sorrento / N	otch Hill		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2 5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Number of Deported	none reported	0	0	0	E 9/	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	<2	1	1	0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	-			0.20		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Dec 211	_		0.5	1070	0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEly	I	1	0.20	Total	3.3 25.0
						i Jiai	23.3

Aquifer	Number: 223	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Celista			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	2	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.00		10.0
		none reported	0		0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Poseible	2		0.5		0.0
	Ĩ	Unlikelv	1	1	0.25		33
		,	· ·	1 1		Total	42.4
Aquifer	Number: 225	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Sicamous (M	ara Lake)		
---------	------------------------------------	----------------------------	-------------	--------------------	---------------------	----------------------	-------
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		I none reported	0	I	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		010
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		/	11			Total	41.2

Aquifer	Number: 228	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Celista			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ŭ	II.	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		
	e.y. > 32L/S	nono roportod	0		0		1.3
н	Well Density		3	2	1	10%	10.0
	Woll Donoty	> 5 km ²	2	5	0.5	10 /6	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
	Matar Quantity 8 Quality	< 1 km ²	1		0.25	100/	0.0
I.	Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	Ť	1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	1 '	1	100/	2.5
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						l otal	39.9

Aquifer	Number: 229	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Scotch Creek			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	0	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		none reported	1		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	- / -	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s				_		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
п.	well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E d'and a Dan Jaffa a	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Screed by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		05		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		,	ı			Total	51.4

Aquifer	Number: 230	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Squilax / Tap	open		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$\sim 10 \ \mathrm{km^2}$	1		0.25		0.0
B	Aquifer Classification and				1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		•	10%	0.0
		11	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
D.	Ranking						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		I none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/S		<u>^</u>		0		1.3
	Wall Dansity	none reported	0		0	100/	0.0
11.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25	4.007	0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		none reported	1	0	0.25		0.0
J	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	0	1	100/	0.0
Ŭ.	Served by Groundwater	E00 4000	0			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2 1	1	0.5		0.0
К.	Water management	Being planned	3		1		2.3
	planning and future	20	÷			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.7

Aquifer	Number: 231	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Tappen			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	3	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.504	2.5
►.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	Ŭ	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikelv	1	1	0.25		33
		C		1 1	0.20	Total	29.6

Aquifer	Number: 232	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Tappen			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ŭ	11	2		0.5		0.0
		111	-	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	none reported	0		0	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	production wells,	<2	1		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_			0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future				~ -	1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Uniikeiy	I	1	0.20	Total	3.3
						TULAI	34.9

Aquifer	Number: 234	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Squilax			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		nono reported	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 - 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1	_	0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	D			o -	1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Uniikely		1	0.20	Total	3.J 20.7
L						i Jiai	J0./

Aquifer	Number: 235	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Whitecroft			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \mathrm{km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Rahking		Ū		0.5	10 / 0	0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Peported		0		0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Linlikely	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
		Chintery		1 1	0.20	Total	26.9
							20.7

Aquifer	Number: 236	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Chase			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ŭ		2		0.5		0.0
			-	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.50/	2.5
⊢.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	2	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.y. > 52L/5	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
н	Well Density		0	0	1	109/	0.0
	Wein Density	> 5 km²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (Isolated)	1	0	0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	0	1	100/	0.0
J. J.	Served by Groundwater	500 4000	ő	3		10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
к	Water management	Reing planned	3		0.20		0.0
IX.	planning and future		5			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	43.2

Aquifer	Number: 237	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Chase			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
-	Number of Deported	none reported	0		0	E0/	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 - 10	3		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	· -			0.20		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	_
	planning and future	Describe			0.5	1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Uniikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3
						TOLAI	20.4

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0240	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Vanderhoof - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	109/	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2		0.5	1078	0.0
		Ш	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium $32 - 64$ L/s	2	1	0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 5203	< 2	1	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density		3	0	1	109/	0.0
		> 5 KIII	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water management planning and	> 500 Boing planned	1	1	0.20		2.5
r.	future regulation		3		I	10%	
	5	Possiblo	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	∠ 1	1	0.5		2.5
		0	1 · ·		0.20	Total	25.12

uiter Ni	umber: 0242	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	vanderhoot			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	So
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	1
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		C
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	
	i cantang		2		0.5		
			1	1	0.25		
C	Aquifer Classification and	Vulperability A	3		1	5%	2
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0
	Ū.	C	1	1	0.25		1
		6	I	I			1
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	C
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5
_		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	C
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0
		1	1		0.33		
G	Number of Perperted Irrigation		0	0	0	5%	0
О.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	576	0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0
		none reported	0	0	0		0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		_
		1 – 3 Kill	1	2	0.25		5
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0
		none reported	0	0	0		C
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	C
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Possible	2		0.5		
		Unlikely	1	1	0.5		2
		Chintery			0.20	Total	21

quifer Nı	umber: 0244	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Vanderhoof - SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Sco
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.
			2		0.5		0.
0			1	1	0.25	5%	2.
C.	Aquiter Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	2	3	1	576	5.
	Ranking	в	_		0.5		0.
		C	.1		0.20		0.
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.
F	Number of Cround Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	150/	2.
г.	Systems	25	3		1	15%	0.
	cyclonic	2-5	2	12	0.66		0.
		none reported	0	11	0.33		0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.
		none reported	0	0	0		0.
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.
		none reported	0	0	0		0.
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.
		Possible	2		0.5		0.
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.
						Total	32.

quifer Nu	umber: 0245	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Vanderhoof - SOP			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Sco
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development	2		0.5	10 /0	0.
			2		0.5		0.
			1	1	0.25	E0/	2.
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.
		С	1	1	0.25		1.
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.
		1	1		0.33		0.
		none reported	0	0	0		0.
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.
		none reported	0	0	0		0.
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0
			1		0.25		0.
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.
		none reported	0	0	0		0.
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.
		l Inlikely	1	1	0.5		2
	-	Unincery		<u> </u>	0.20	Total	2.

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0246	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Vanderhoof - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
				Assigned		weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	i tanking	II	2		0.5		0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
			1	1	0.25	E0/	2.5
C.	Aquiter Classification and Banking	Vulnerability A	2		1	5%	0.0
	Kaliking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 526/5	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	100/	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		•				Total	28.44

Aquifer Nu	mber: 0247	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Vanderhoof - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2		0.5	1078	0.0
			- 1	1	0.25		2.5
С	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	15%	2.5
1.	Systems	2 5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
		2-5	2		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	5	Possiblo	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	2 1	1	0.25		2.5
					0.20	Total	18.20

Aquifer	Number: 251	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Pritchard			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
		ll	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported		0		0	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	576	0.0
	production wells,	<2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	-			0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Describe	_		0.5	10,0	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Utilikely		1	0.20	Total	3.5 34.6
						i otai	0.+C

Aquifer	Number: 252	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Lower South	Thompson R	iver	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		25
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
						100/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground	Hign > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
		$L_{OW} < 32 L/s$	2	1	0.5		0.0
F	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	1	1	15%	2.5
• •	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		r = 0 km ²	1	_	0.25		0.0
	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future					1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3
						rotai	27.1

Aquifer	Number: 253	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Monte Lake			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	5	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		0.0
C	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	2.5
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		I none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		010
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	vveli Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerved by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikelv	1	1	0.25		33
				1 1	0.20	Total	23.0

Aquifer	Number: 254	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Os	oyoos Lake to	southwest o	f Tug Lake	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		11	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	i kunning	В	2		0.25		0.0
		C	1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	16	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.8
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Pepertod	Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	15%	0.0
г.	Irrigation and large	2-5	2	2	0.66	1570	10.0
	production wells,	1	1		0.33		2010
	e.g. > 32L/s	none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation Wells	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	Well Density	none reported	0	-	0	4004	0.0
H.	vveli Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25	100/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (Isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J	Estimated Population		2	2	1	109/	0.0
0.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	5	0.5	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2 1		0.5		0.0
К.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	76.3

Aquifer	Number: 255	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: No	orth of Tug Lak	e to Vaseux l	Lake	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	15	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		nono roportod	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported		3	3	1	10%	10.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	Ū	0.5	10,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		010
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
١.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25	T .(.)	0.0
1						i otai	/3.6

Item Description Measure Point Scale Points Assigned Weighting Factor Maximum Weighting Score A. Aquifer Area > 50 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 5.0 B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree 0 1 3 1 10% 0.0 UII 2 0.5 0.25 0.0 0.0 B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree 0 1 10% 0.0 UIII 1 1 0.25 0.0 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 1 5% 0.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 9 1.0 - 0.24 5% 2.1 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Supply Systems 2 - 5 3 1 10% 0.0 G. Low < 32 L/s 1 1 0.33 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 <th>Aquifer</th> <th>Number 256</th> <th>Type: Unconsolidated</th> <th colspan="5">ed Location: Testalinden Creek to Reed Creek</th>	Aquifer	Number 256	Type: Unconsolidated	ed Location: Testalinden Creek to Reed Creek				
A. Aquifer Area $> 50 {\rm km}^2$ 3 1 1 10% 0.0 $10-50 {\rm km}^2$ 2 2 0.5 5.0 $-10 {\rm km}^2$ 1 0.255 0.25 0.0 B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development I 3 1 10% 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Walue 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value $Ranking$ 0.5 0.5 0.5 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value Ranking 1 10% 0.0 Kauter Use Medium 32 - 64 L/s 2 2 0.5 0.0 F. Stimated Current Ground High > 64 L/s 3 1 10% 0.0 Water Use Low < 25 L/s 1 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water > $5 (0 - 2$ 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 <th>Item</th> <th>Description</th> <th>Measure</th> <th>Point Scale</th> <th>Points Assigned</th> <th>Weighting Factor</th> <th>Maximum Weighting</th> <th>Score</th>	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 3 1 10% 0.0 III 1 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 5% 0.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 5% 0.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 9 1.0 - 0.24 5% 2.1 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 Us Medium 32 - 64 L/s 3 1 10% 0.0 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems 2 - 5 2 0.66 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > S2L/s > 10 1 0.25 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0			< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			II	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				1	1	0.25		2 5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
D. Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 9 1.0 - 0.24 5% 2.1 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s 3 1 10% 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 10% 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 1 1 0.33 5.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 2 0.5 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 1 1 0.25 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 1 km ² 1 0.25 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1 k000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0			С	1	1	0.25		1.7
Relining (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 9 1.0 - 0.24 5% 2.1 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s 2 0.5 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 10% 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 2 - 5 2 0.66 0.0 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 2 1 1 0.25 2.5 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 1 (siolated) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 <	D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s 3 2 1 10% 0.25 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 15% 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater regulation > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planing and future regulation Since 1 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planing and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Struct Struct 1 0.25 0.0 0.0		Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
F. Number of Ground Water > 5 3 1 1 5% 0.0 Supply Systems $2-5$ 2 0.666 0.0 0.0 Image: Constraint of the systems $2-5$ 2 0.666 0.0 Image: Constraint of the systems >10 3 1 10% 0.0 Image: Constraint of the systems >10 3 1 10% 0.0 Image: Constraint of the systems >10 3 1 10% 0.0 Image: Constraint of the systems 2 - 10 2 0.5 0.0 0 Image: Constraint of the systems 2 - 10 2 0.5 0.0 0 0 Image: Constraint of the systems 2 - 10 2 0.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 0.0 0			Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	450/	0.0
Coppy Systems 2 - 3 2 0.00 0.00 1 1 1 0.33 0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1 0.33 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1 0.33 1 10% 0.0 0.0 2-10 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 production wells, e.g. > 32L/s <2	►.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
Indefinition Indefinition<			1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 0.5 0.0 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 Voltikely 1 1 1 0.5 0.0 1.7 1.7			none reported	0		0		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s & -2 & 1 & 1 & 0.5 & -2.5 &$	G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
production wens, e.g. > 32L/s < 2 1 1 0.25 2.5 none reported 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 Value Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		2.5
H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 1 10% 0.0 $1 - 5 km^2$ 2 0.5 0.5 5.0 5.0 $1 - 5 km^2$ 1 0.25 0.0 5.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 Vulnikely 1 1 0.25 0.0 0.0 0.0		-	none reported	0		0		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } & 1-5\text{km}^2 & 2 & 2 & 0.5 & 5.0 \\ \hline & <1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & <1\text{km}^2 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & <1\text{km}^2 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & <1\text{km}^2 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\$	H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & & < 1 {\rm km}^2 & 1 & & 0.25 & & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$			1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0<			< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Concerns Reported 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.0 1 (isolated) 1 0.25 0.0 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 Vull Image: New Served by Groundwater Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 Vull Image: New Served by Groundwater Being planned 3 1 25.5 0.0	Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
Reported 1 (isolated) none reported 1 0.25 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 Vullekely 1 1 0.25 0.0 0.0 0.0		Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
Image: constraint of the second se		Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 2 0.5 5.0 5.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 V. Vater management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 V. Unlikely 1 1 0.25 1.7			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Served by Gloundwater 500 - 1000 2 2 0.5 5.0 5.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 V. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 5% 0.0 V. Unlikely 1 1 0.25 1.7	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
K.Water management planning and future regulationBeing planned315%0.0MulticlePossible20.50.00.0Unlikely110.251.7		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
K.Water management planning and future regulationBeing planned315%0.0Possible20.50.00.0Unlikely110.251.7			< 500	1		0.25		0.0
Possible 2 0.5 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 1.7	K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
Unlikely 1 1 0.25 1.7		regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
			Uniikely	1	1	0.25	Total	1.7

Aquifer	Number: 257	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Me	yers Flat			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
►.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	2	1	15%	0.0
		1	1	2	0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	Ū.	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	53.3

Aquifer	Number: 258	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Ric	hter Pass			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	1.50/	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2 1		0.00		0.0
1		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
1		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water management	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
ĸ.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	1.7
						i otai	30.7

Aquifer	Number: 259	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: US	Border to Prir	ceton		
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	4.50/	0.0
►.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2 - 5	2		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	Ũ	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Screed by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
К.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	0.0
						Total	80.8

Aquifer	Number 261	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Ma	rron Valley no	rthwest of Ol	kanagan Falls	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.50/	2.5
⊢.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2 1	1	0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	·	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. $> 321 / s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. • 022.0	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	vvater management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25	Total	0.0
						i Utai	40.1

Aquifer	262	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Wi	nite Lake Basi	n 35 km sout	h of Penticton	1
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	3	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	15%	2.5
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0 66	1570	0.0
		1	- 1	1	0.33		0.0
		nono reported	0		0		5.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 52L/S	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water management	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
<u>к</u> .	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	33.8

Aquifer	Number: 264	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Oka	anagan Falls a	nd east of Ok	anagan Falls	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Trainking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	450/	0.0
F.	Supply Systems	> 5	3	2	1	15%	0.0
	cupply cyclonic	1	1	2	0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Devulation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water management	< 500	1		0.25		0.0
n .	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
ļ		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	55.1

Aquifer	Number: 265	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Bet	ween OK Falls	and Vaseux	Lake	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	2	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. $> 321 / s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. * 022.0	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Screed by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water management	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
<u>к</u> .	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
	ļ	Unlikely	1	ļ	0.25		0.0
1						l otal	40.1

Aquifer	Number 266	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Sta	afford Creek s	outhwest of I	Penticton	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Trainking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Penerted	None reported	0	0	0	10%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	1070	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	-			0.20		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	22.7

Aquifer	Number: 267	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Shi	ngle Creek			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
►.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	oupply oystellis	2 - 5	2	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Screed by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	T 1 1	1.7
						l otal	31.8

Aquifer	Number: 270	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Elli	s Creek			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		11	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	i kanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	I	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	1.7
1						rotar	57.0

Item Description Measure Point Scale Points Assigned Weighting Factor Factor Maximum Weighting Factor Score A Aquifer Area > 50 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 0.0 B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 1 1 0.5 0.0 0.0 B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 3 1 10% 0.0	Aquifer	Number: 271	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North Thomp	son River flo	odplain	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 1 10% 0.0 III 1 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 3 1 5% 5.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 3 1 5% 5.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 11 1.0-0.24 5% 2.6 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 Us Medium 32 - 64 Us 2 - 5 3 1 10% 0.0 G. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems 2 - 5 2 0.66 0.0 0.0 G. Number of Reported production wells, e.g. > 32L/s >10 1 0.03 0.0 0.0 H. Well Density >5 Km² 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Supply Systems 2 - 10 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>< 10 km²</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.25</td> <td></td> <td>2.5</td>			< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
III III 1 0.25 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 3 1 5% 5.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 11 1.0 - 0.24 5% 2.6 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s 3 1 10% 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems 2 - 5 2 0.66 0.0 G. Number of Reported production and large production wells, e.g. 32L/s 1 1 1 1 0.25 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Water Quantity & Quality issues/Concerns > 5 km ² 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² <		-	II	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			III	1	1	0.25		2 5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 11 1.0 - 0.24 5% 2.6 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s 3 1 10% 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 10% 0.0 G. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 15% 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 000 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 0000 1 0.25 0.0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>С</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>0.25</td> <td></td> <td>0.0</td>			С	1		0.25		0.0
Ranking (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 11 1.0 - 0.24 5% 2.6 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s 3 1 10% 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 10% 0.0 G. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 15% 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Reported > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groun	D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s 3 1 10% 0.0 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 1 0.25 2.5 F. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 10% 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Planning and future regulation > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Ponsible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 <td></td> <td>Ranking</td> <td>(based on 7 sub-factors)</td> <td>5 to 21</td> <td>11</td> <td>1.0 – 0.24</td> <td>5%</td> <td>2.6</td>		Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
Water Use Medium 32 - 64 L/s 2 0.5 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 0.25 2.5 R. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 15% 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Value Nanagement planning and future Being planne	E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
Image: constraint of Ground Water State Supply Systems Low < 32 L/s 1 1 0.25 2.5 F. Number of Ground Water State Supply Systems 2 - 5 2 0.66 0.00 2 - 5 2 0.66 0.00 0.00 Mumber of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Possible 2 0.5 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Water Use</td><td>Medium 32 - 64 L/s</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td>0.0</td></td<>		Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
Image: Constraint of the second sec		Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Value			1 none reported	1	1	0.33		5.0
O. Infigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s 2 - 10 2 0.5 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality > 5 km ² 2 0.5 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality > 3 (regional) 2 0.5 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality > 3 (regional) 2 0.5 0.0 I. Issues/Concerns 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.0 J. Estimated Population > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population > 1000 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Unlikely <t< td=""><td>G</td><td>Number of Reported</td><td>> 10</td><td>3</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>5%</td><td>0.0</td></t<>	G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
production wells, e.g. > 32L/s < 2 1 0.25 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality > 5 km² 2 0.5 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population > 1000 2 0.5 0.0 J. Estimated Population > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 2.5 2.5 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Unlikely 1 0.25 <t< td=""><td>0.</td><td>Irrigation and large</td><td>2 - 10</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>0.5</td><td>0,0</td><td>0.0</td></t<>	0.	Irrigation and large	2 - 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
e.g. > 32L/s none reported 0 0 0 0 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 2 0.5 0.0 $1 - 5 km^2$ 2 0.5 0.0 $1 - 5 km^2$ 2 0.5 2.5 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Value Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Value Unlikely 1 1 0.25 0.5 0.0 0.0 J. Estimated Population Value Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		010
Image: mode reported 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 H. Well Density $> 5 \mathrm{km}^2$ 3 1 10% 0.0 $1 - 5 \mathrm{km}^2$ 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 $1 - 5 \mathrm{km}^2$ 1 1 0.25 2.5 1. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Value Possible 2 0.5 2.5 2.5 3.3		e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
H. Weil Density $> 5 \text{ km}^2$ 3 1 10% 0.0 $1-5 \text{ km}^2$ 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 $< 1 \text{ km}^2$ 1 1 0.25 2.5 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 1 0.25 2.5 K. Water management planning and future regulation Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 Volume Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Volume Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Volume Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Volume Volume Volume <td></td> <td></td> <td>none reported</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0.0</td>			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c } & 1 & -5 {\rm km}^2 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & < 1 {\rm km}^2 & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 2.5 \\ \hline \\ 1. & Water Quantity & Quality & > 3 (regional) & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Issues/Concerns} & 2 {\rm to} 3 ({\rm local}) & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Reported} & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & 1 ({\rm isolated}) & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm none \ reported} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & {\rm none \ reported} & 500 - 1000 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Served \ by \ Groundwater} & 500 - 1000 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Servel \ by \ Groundwater} & -500 & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 2.5 \\ \hline \\ K. & Water \ management \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -500 & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.5 \\ \hline & {\rm Reported} & -500 & 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Height \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -500 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Height \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -7000 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ \hline & {\rm Reported} & -7000 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Huight \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -7000 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ \hline & {\rm Reported} & -7000 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Huight \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -7000 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Reported} & -7000 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Huight \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -7000 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Huight \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -7000 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Huight \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -7000 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Huight \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -7000 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Huight \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -7000 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Huight \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -7000 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Huight \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -7000 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Huight \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -7000 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Huight \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -7000 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Huight \ planning \ and \ future \ regulation & -7000 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & {\rm Huight \ planning \ and \ bar \ b$	Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
I.Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported> 3 (regional)3110%0.0 2 to 3 (local)20.50.50.00.0 1 (isolated)10.250.00.0J.Estimated Population Served by Groundwater> 10003110%0.0J.Estimated Population Served by Groundwater> 10003110%0.0K.Water management planning and future regulationBeing planned3110%0.0Possible20.50.50.00.00.0Unlikely110.253.33.3			< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
Issues/Concerns Reported 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.0 1 (isolated) 1 0.25 0.0 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Vulnikely 1 1 0.25 2.5 3.3	Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
Reported 1 (isolated) none reported 1 0.25 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Volume Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3 0.0		Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Vertication Possible 2 0.5 10% 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3 3			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 V. Vater management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 V. Possible 2 0.5 0.0 3.3 0.0 0.0	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
< 500 1 1 0.25 2.5 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Possible 2 0.5 0.0 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3			< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
praining and dutie 0.0 regulation Possible 2 0.5 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3	К.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
Fossible 2 0.5 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3 Total 28.5 28.5 3.3		regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
Total 28.5			Linlikely	2 1	1	0.5		0.0
			Crimery			0.20	Total	28.5

Aquifer	Number: 277	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Davidson Cre	ek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking		0	2	0.5	10,0	<u>с.</u> о
			2	2	0.25		5.0
<u> </u>	Aquifor Classification and		1		1	E9/	0.0
U.	Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	2	0.5	5%	0.0
	3	B C	1	-	0.25		2.5
		č	1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
			<u> </u>		4	400/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	Hign > 64 L/S Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		10w < 321/s	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	.1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Dessible	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
	- Salation	POSSIDIE	2	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEIY		1	0.25	Total	35 5
	L					10101	55.5

Item Description Measure Point Scale Points Assigned Weighting Factor Meighting Score Meighting A Aquifer Area > 50 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 0.0 B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 1 3 1 10% 0.0 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 1 2 0.5 0.0 <t< th=""><th>Aquifer</th><th>Number: 278</th><th>Type: Unconsolidated</th><th>Location:</th><th>Peterson Cre</th><th>ek</th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Aquifer	Number: 278	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Peterson Cre	ek		
A. Aquifer Area $> 50 \text{km}^2$ 3 1 10% 0.0 10 - 50 \text{km}^2 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 1 1 0.25 0.0 III 1 0.25 0.5 0.0 0.0 Ranking Degree of Development 1 1 0.25 2.5 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 5% 5.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value b 2 0.5 0.0 E. Estimated Current Ground High > 64 L/s 3 1 10% 0.0 Water Use 2 - 5 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 Low < 32 L/s 1 1 0.25 0.0 0.0 Supply Systems 2 - 5 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 F. Number of Reported 10 3 1 <	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
10 km² 1 1 0.25 2.5.5 B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 1 3 1 10% 0.0 III 1 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 Ranking Vulnerability A 3 3 1 5% 5.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 3 1 5% 5.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 9 1.0-0.24 5% 2.1 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use Addifuer 3 1 10% 0.0 0.0 G. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems 2 - 5 2 0.66 0.0 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large productor wells, e.g. > \$2Us > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 2 0.5 0.0 e			$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 3 1 10% 0.0 III 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 3 1 5% 5.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 3 1 5% 5.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 9 1.0 - 0.24 5% 2.1 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s 3 1 10% 0.0 Multer Use 1 0.25 2.5			< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
Image: Construction of the second s	В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $. II	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				1	1	0.25		2.5
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	С	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	2.5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0.	Ranking	B	2	Ũ	0.5	0,0	0.0
D. Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 9 1.0 - 0.24 5% 2.1 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s 3 1 10% 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 10% 0.0 G. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 15% 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 1 1 0.33 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerms > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. (isolated) 1 0.25 0.5 0.0 0.0 H. Well Density & S (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 0.0 0.0 0			С	1		0.25		0.0
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
Water Use Medium 32 - 64 L/s 2 0.5 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water > 5 3 1 0.25 2.5 Supply Systems 2 - 5 2 0.66 0.0 0.0 Image: Constraint of Constraint of Reported 1 1 0.33 0.0 Image: Constraint of Reported > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 G. Number of Reported > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s 1 5% 0.0 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 Image: Concerns Reported 1 0.25 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Image: Size/Concerns Reported 1 1 0.25 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0<	E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density < 2 1 0.5 0.25 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 3 1 10% 10.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 3 1 10% 10.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Uater management planning and future regulation Possible 2 0.5 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 0.5 0.0			1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G. Notine of Reported and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s 2 - 10 2 0.5 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 Vulticity 0.1000 1 1 0.25 0.0 0.0 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 Mater Management planning and future regulation Possible 2 <td>G</td> <td>Number of Peported</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>5%</td> <td>0.0</td>	G	Number of Peported		0	0	0	5%	0.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 3 1 10% 10.0 $1 - 5 km^2$ 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 $1 - 5 km^2$ 1 0.25 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Volume Possible 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 0.0 0.0			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c } & 1 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } I. & Water Quantity & Quality & > 3 (regional) & 3 & 1 & 10\% & 0.0 \\ Issues/Concerns & 2 to 3 (local) & 2 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ Reported & 1 & 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline 1 & (isolated) & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & (isolated) & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & (isolated) & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & (isolated) & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & (isolated) & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 10\% & 0.0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0.25 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 &$			< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Concerns Reported 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.0 1 (isolated) 1 0.25 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Volume Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3	I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
Reported 1 (isolated) none reported 1 0.25 0 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Volume Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3		Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Volume Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Served by Gloundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0 < 500	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 0.25 2.5 Model Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3 3		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.Water management planning and future regulationBeing planned3110%0.0Possible Unlikely20.50.00.0Unlikely110.253.3			< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
Possible 2 0.5 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3	K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
Cost of a state Cost of a state Cost of a state O.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3		regulation	Docsible	2		0.5		0.0
		- 0	Linlikely	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
Total 30.5			Crimitory		1	0.20	Total	30.5

Aquifer	Number: 279	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Buse Lake			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	I	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		0.0
С	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	2.5
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1 7
	Aquifor Classification and	Donking Volue	•	•			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	<u> </u>	0.33		0.0
	Number of Deported	none reported	0	0	0	E 9/	0.0
С.	Irrigation and large	2 - 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	<2	1	1	0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s				0.20		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	regulation	Dessible	2		0.5		0.0
		Linlikoly	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
		Offinitory		1	0.20	Total	23.5
							23.2
Aquifer	Number: 280	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	2 km west of	Barnhartvale	2	
---------	------------------------------------	----------------------------	-------------	--------------------	---------------------	----------------------	-------
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ũ	11	2	2	0.5		5.0
			-	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	• • • •	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		I none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
	Wall Density	none reported	0	0	0	400/	0.0
п.	weir Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water management	< 500 Roing planned	1	1	0.25		2.5
r\.	planning and future	being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.4

Item Description Measure Point Scale Points Assigned Weighting Factor Maximum Weighting Veighting Score A Aquifer Area > 50 km² 3 1 10.5 0.5 0.0	Aquifer	Number: 281	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Paul Lake			
A. Aquifer Area > 50 km ² 3 1 10% 0.0 IO - 50 km ² I 0.5 0.5 0.0 B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree f Development 1 1 0.25 0.0 III 2 2 0.5 5.0 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 5% 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 5% 0.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value 1 0.25 1.7 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value 3 1 10% 0.0 Water Use Medium 32 - 64 L/s 3 1 10.25 2.5 2.5 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems 2 - 5 3 1 10% 0.0 Registriation and large production wells, e.g 32L/s >1 1 0.25 0.0	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
10 km² 1 1 0.25 2.5 B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 1 3 1 10% 0.0 III 2 0.5 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 5% 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value 1 0.25 0.0 C. 1 1 0.25 1.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use Ranking Value 3 1 10% 0.0 Medium 32 - 64 Us 3 1 1 0.25 2.5 1.9 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems 2 - 5 2 0.66 0.0 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large producton wells, e.g. > 52U/s 1 1 0.33 1 5% 0.0 Irrigation and large producton wells, e.g. > 52U/s			$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 3 1 10% 0.0 III 1 2 0.5 0.5 5.0 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 5% 0.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 0.5 0.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 8 1.0 – 0.24 5% 1.9 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s 3 1 10% 0.0 Medium 32 - 64 L/s 2 0.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 0.0			< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				2	2	0.5		5.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			III	1	2	0.25		0.0
Ranking Particularity Particularity<	С	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
D. Ranking Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 8 1.0 - 0.24 5% 1.9 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s			С	1	1	0.25		1.7
Ranking (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 8 1.0 - 0.24 5% 1.9 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s 3 1 10% 0.0 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 10% 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 I.	D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s 1 10% 0.0 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 10% 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 1 1 0.25 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 15% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 1 5% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 Velocation 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use Ingl > 64 L/S Medium 32 - 64 L/S Low < 32 L/S 3 1 1 0.25 10% 0.0 0.0 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 2 - 5 1 1 1 0.66 0.0 0.0 G. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 2 - 5 1 1 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/S > 10 3 2 1 0.0 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 3 3 1 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Sues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 1 0.0 10.0 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 500 - 1000 1 1 1 0.25 2.5 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 3 1 1 10% 0.0 K. Water man						4	400/	
Industrie Low (32 L/s) 1 1 0.05 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water >5 3 1 1 15% 0.0 Supply Systems 2 - 5 2 0.66 0.0 0 0.0 Image: Supply Systems 2 - 5 2 0.66 0.0 0.0 Image: Supply Systems 2 - 5 2 0.66 0.0 0.0 Image: Supply Systems 2 - 10 3 1 5% 0.0 Image: Supply Systems 2 - 10 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 Image: Supply Systems 2 - 10 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 Image: Supply Systems 2 - 10 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 Image: Supply	E.	Water Use	Hign > 64 L/S Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		0.5	10%	0.0
F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 15% 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 15% 0.0 H. Well Density > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planni			Low $< 32 \text{ L/s}$	1	1	0.25		2.5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	-	1	15%	0.0
Image: Second		Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
none reported 0 0 0 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 3 1 10% 10.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation > 1000 2 0.5 0.0 K. Water management planning and future Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Valieky 1 1 0.25 2.5 0.5 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being			1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density < 2			none reported	0		0	50/	0.0
Initiation and uses production wells, e.g. > 32L/s 2 - 10 2 1 0.5 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Valuer Possible 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 J. Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Densible 2 0.5 0.5	G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
e.g. > 32L/s none reported 0 0 0 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 10.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 10.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 2 0.5 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Value Possible 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 Mater management planning and future regulation Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0		production wells,	2 - 10	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		e.g. > 32L/s	~2			0.25		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } & 1-5\text{km}^2 & 2 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ \hline & <1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & <1\text{km}^2 & 1 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ \hline & & 0.0 \\ \hline $	H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 Issues/Concerns Reported 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 1 (isolated) 1 0.0 0.25 0.0 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 1 0.25 2.5 K. Unlikely 1 1 0.25 2.5 3.3			< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Concerns Reported 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.0 1 (isolated) 1 0.25 0.0 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 2.5 3.3	Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
Reported 1 (isolated) 1 0.25 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 2.5 3.3		Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
Image: Note of the second se		Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Volume Possible 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Volume Possible 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 0.25 2.5 Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.Water management planning and future regulationBeing planned3110%0.0Possible20.50.00.0Unlikely110.253.3			< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
praining and uture Possible 2 0.5 0.0 regulation Possible 2 0.5 0.0 Unlikely 1 1 0.25 3.3	К.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
Unlikely 1 1 0.25 0.0		regulation	Dessible	2		0.5		0.0
		- 3 - 3	Linlikely	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
Total 34.4			Offinitery		1	0.20	Total	34.4

Aquifer	Number: 282	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Kamloops Ai	rport		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	B	2	-	0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Peported		0		0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	570	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_					0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	Dessible	_		0.5	10,0	0.0
		POSSIDIE	2	1	0.5		0.0
		Uniikely	I	1	0.20	Total	3.3 7.97
						i Jiai	JO./

Aquifer	Number: 283	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North Thomp	oson River no	rth of Kamloo	ps
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	450/	0.0
⊢.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	2	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.00		10.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, $221/s$	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 52L/5	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density		3		1	10%	0.0
		> 5 km ⁻	2	2	0.5	1070	0.0
		1 – 5 KM-	1	2	0.25		5.0
	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1	-	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	_			_	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Tetel	3.3
						rotai	48.5

Aquifer	Number: 284	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	n: Pulpmill southwest of Kamloops			
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
_	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ганкіну	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Penorted		0	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
н.	vveil Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		,	ıI			Total	28.5

Aquifer	Number: 285	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Campbell Cre	eek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	5		0.5	10 %	0.0
			2	2	0.0		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		I none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s				-		1.3
	Wall Density	none reported	0		0		0.0
п.	well Density	> 5 km²	3	3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Ropolitou	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water management	< 500 Being planned	1	1	0.25		2.5
r.	planning and future		5		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	32.0

Aquifer	Number: 286	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Lower South	Thompson R	iver	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Rahking	II	Ū		0.5	10,0	0.0
			2	2	0.05		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		I none reported	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 - 10	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
н.	well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
	Estimated Description	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Cerved by Creandwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
К.	vvater management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
				·		Total	48.9

Aquifer	Number: 287	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Heffley and I	Edward Creek	confluence	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		Ш	1	_	0.25		0.0
С	Aquifer Classification and	Vulperability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	070	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Peported		0	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Doosible	2		0.5		0.0
	- Salation	Linlikely	2	1	0.5		0.0
		UTIIKEIY		1	0.25	Total	29.2 29.4
						10101	27.7

Aquifer	Number: 288	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Louis Creek			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2 5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	-	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	0	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 1 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2 5
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future				~ -	1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely		1	0.20	Total	3.3
						TUlai	22.1

Item Description Measure Point Scale Points Assigned Weighting Factor Meighting Score Weighting A. Aquifer Area > 50 km² 3 1 10% 0.0 5.0 B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 1 3 1 10% 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ullerability A 3 1 10% 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 10% 0.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 5% 0.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value Kanking 1 10% 0.0 0.0 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Value Kanking 1 1 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 </th <th>Aquifer</th> <th>Number: 289</th> <th>Type: Unconsolidated</th> <th>Location:</th> <th>Westwold, S</th> <th>almon River V</th> <th>Valley</th> <th></th>	Aquifer	Number: 289	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Westwold, S	almon River V	Valley	
A. Aquifer Area $> 50 \text{km}^2$ 3 1 10% 0.0 10-50 km ² 2 2 0.5 5.0 a 10-50 km ² 1 0.25 0.0 B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 1 3 1 10% 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 50.6 0.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 5% 0.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 12 1.0-0.24 5% 2.9 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s 2 1 0.25 2.5 F. Number of Reported Irrigation and large productori wells, e.g. > 32L/s >10 15% 0.0 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large productori wells, e.g. > 32L/s >10 0.25 0.0 0.0 Irrigation and large produ	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 1 0.25 0.0 B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 1 10% 0.0 III 1 2 0.5 5.0 5.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 5% 0.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 2 0.5 0.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 12 1.0 – 0.24 5% 2.9 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 Us Medium 32: 64 Us Low < 32 Lis			$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 3 1 10% 0.0 III 1 2 0.5 0.5 5.0 0.0 C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability A 3 1 5% 0.0 D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 12 1.0 - 0.24 5% 2.9 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s Low <32 L/s			< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				2	2	0.5		5.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			III	1		0.25		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	_	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5		2.5
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			С	1		0.25		0.0
Ranking (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 12 1.0 - 0.24 5% 2.9 E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s 3 1 10% 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 10.025 2.5 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 15% 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Reported > 1000 3 1 10%	D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s 3 2 1 1 1 10% 0.5 0.0 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 2 - 5 3 2 1 1 10% 0.25 0.0 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 1 2 - 5 3 2 1 0.33 1 0.0 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 2 1 0.5 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 2 3 3 1 0.5 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 2 0.5 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 2 1 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 2 1 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Possible 2 2 2 5		Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
Water Use Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s 2 0.5 0.0 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 15% 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s 2 - 5 2 2.666 10.0 Medium 32 - 64 L/s 2 - 5 2 0.666 10.0 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future	E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
Low < 32 L/s 1 1 0.25 2.5 F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems > 5 3 1 15% 0.0 2 - 5 2 2 0.66 10.0 10.0 10.0 0.0 1 1 0.33 0.0 0.0 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater 86ing planned		Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
Supply Systems 2 - 5 2 2 0.66 10.0 1 1 1 0.33 0.0 0.0 G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0	F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s > 10 3 1 5% 0.0 H. Well Density < 2 1 0.5 0.25 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Possible 2 2 0.5 2.5			1	1		0.33		0.0
G. Industrie of reported production wells, e.g. > 32L/s 2 - 10 < 2 3 < 2 3 < 2 1 < 2 0.5 < 0.25 0.0 < 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² < 1 - 5 km2 3 < 1 - 5 km2 3 < 0.5 0.0 < 0.0 0.0 < 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km ² < 1 - 5 km2 3 < 1 - 5 km2 3 < 0.5 0.0 < 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) < 1 (isolated) 3 < 1 (isolated) 1 < 0.25 1000 0.0 < 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 < 500 1 < 1 - 0.25 100% 0.0 < 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned Possible 3 < 2 2 < 2 2 < 2 0.5 < 5.0	G	Number of Penorted		0		0	5%	0.0
production wells, e.g. > 32L/s 1 0.0 0.0 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Vater management planning and future Possible 2 2 0.5 5.0	0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		05	570	0.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10% 10.0 1 - 5 km² 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 1 - 5 km² 1 0.25 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
I. Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns Reported > 3 (regional) 3 1 10% 0.0 Issues/Concerns Reported 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Version Possible 2 2 0.5 5.0 5.0			< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Concerns Reported 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5 0.0 1 (isolated) 1 0.25 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Version Possible 2 2 0.5 5.0	Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
Reported 1 (isolated) none reported 1 0 0.25 0 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 V Possible 2 2 0.5 5.0		Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
Image: Mark and Served by Groundwater none reported 0 0 0 0.0 J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% 0.0 Possible 2 2 0.5 5.0 5.0		Керопеа	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 0.0 Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0 0.0 <500			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Served by Groundwater 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.0 < 500	J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
K.Water management planning and future regulationBeing planned310.252.5Vertical Structure Possible0.00.00.00.0		Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.Water management planning and future regulationBeing planned3110%0.00.0Possible220.55.0			< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
regulation Possible 2 2 0.5 5.0	К.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		0.0
			Linlikely	∠ 1	2	0.5		5.0
Total 45.4			Orimony			0.20	Total	45.4

Aquifer	Number: 290	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Dixon and Sa	argent Creek	Valleys	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2 5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		I none reported	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 - 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	regulation	Dessible	2		0.5		0.0
		Linlikely	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
		Offinitery		1	0.20	Total	21.9
							~

Aquifer	Number: 292	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Louis Creek a	and North The	ompson confl	uence
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ŭ	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
			-	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s						1.3
	Wall Density	none reported	0		0	400/	0.0
п.	well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
<u> </u>	Estimated Deputation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	- 1000	3			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K	Water management	< 500 Roing planned	1	1	0.25		2.5
r\.	planning and future	being planned	3			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	42.0

Aquifer	Number: 293	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	North Thomp	son		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	i tanking		0		0.5		0.0
			2		0.25		0.0
			1	1	0.23	50/	2.5
C.	Aquiter Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	2	1	5%	0.0
	i tainting	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		L L	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		I none reported	1		0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/S		0		0		1.3
	Wall Dansity	none reported	0		0	100/	0.0
11.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (Isolated)	1	0	0.25		0.0
<u> </u>	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	U	1	400/	0.0
0.	Served by Groundwater	500 4000	ŏ			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	4	0.5		0.0
к	Water management	Reing planned	3	1	0.20		2.5
	planning and future	Doing plained	Ŭ Ŭ			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	39.7

Aquifer	Number: 294	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Lower Barrie	re River Valle	ey	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		F 0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
	Aquifar Classification and	Volumentality A	1		1	E 9/	0.0
0.	Ranking	Vulnerability A	2	2	0.5	5%	0.0
	Ŭ	C C	_	-	0.25		2.5
		U	1				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	1	0.66		0.0
		I none reported	0	I	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
	Wall Density	none reported	0		0		0.0
п.	well Density	> 5 km²	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	0	0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		5		,	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
ĸ	Water management	< 500 Being planned	1	1	0.25		2.5
N.	planning and future		5		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	36.0

Aquifer	Number: 295	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Christian Cre	ek Valley		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2 5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	0	0.33		0.0
G	Number of Reported		0	0	0	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	576	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.y. > 52L/5	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
н	Well Density	none reported	0	0	0	400/	0.0
11.	Well Density	> 5 km²	5	_	0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Ropolitou	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Deputation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		I	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	vvater management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		, ,	1	-		Total	23.2

Aquifer	Number: 296	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Little Fort			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	i kunking	I	0	2	0.5		5.0
			2	2	0.25		5.0
			1		0.25	=0(0.0
C.	Aquiter Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	2	1	5%	0.0
	i kunking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported		0		0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	570	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Docsible			0.5		0.0
		Linlikely	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
		Offinitery	,	1	0.20	Total	30.5
	1						55.5

Aquifer	Number 297	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Su	mmerland Tro	ut Creek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Cround Water	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	150/	2.5
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	3 2		0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
			<u> </u>	<u>^</u>	0		0.0
G	Number of Pepertod	none reported	0	0	0	10%	0.0
С.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	10 /6	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Entimated Denulation	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
- F	Water management	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
r.	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	T. ()	1.7
						i otal	31.8

Aquifer	Number 299	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Fau	lder (Meadow	v Valley)		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		I	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.501	2.5
►.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	2	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.00		10.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, $a_{1} > 321/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	c.g. > 02L/3	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1.5 km^2	2	C C	0.5		0.0
		$r = 5 \text{ km}^2$	1		0.25		0.0
	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	10,0	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	- 1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	1	0.5		2.5
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25	Total	0.0
						TOTAL	44.0

Aquifer	Number 301	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Sha	nnon Lake			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.50/	2.5
⊢.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	2	1	15%	0.0
	oupply oystellis	2 - 5	1	2	0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1	1	0.25		2.5
	Ũ	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	vvater management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	41.5

Aquifer	Number 302	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Sou	th of Westba	nk adjacent t	o Okanagan I	ake
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	i kanking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
⊢.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	·	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Devulation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
ĸ	Water management	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
гх .	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	-	1.7
						Total	29.0

Aquifer	Number 303	Type: Unconsolidated	ted Location: Southeast of Westbank adj. to Okanagan Lake				
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	T Canking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	1.7
						rolai	20.1

Aquifer	Number 306	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Eas	t of Westbank	parallel to M	It. Boucher	
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1.50/	2.5
⊦.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2 1		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		2.5
	-	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Strived by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
К.	vvater management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	1./
1						i Utai	23.2

Aquifer	Number: 307	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Malakwa			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	1.50/	0.0
⊦.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	0	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.y. > 52L/5	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
н	Well Density		3	0	1	100/	0.0
	Wein Density	> 5 km²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25	4.00/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (Isolated)	1	0	0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	0	1	400/	0.0
0.	Served by Groundwater	500,4000	ŏ	3		10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	∠ 1		0.5		0.0
к	Water management	Reing planned	3		1		0.0
	planning and future	Doing plained	Ŭ			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	61.4

Aquifer	Number: 309	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Sica	mous		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ŭ	II.	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	-	0.25		0.0
C	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	Ũ	0.5	0,0	0.0
		- C	1		0.25		0.0
			1				0.0
D.	Aquiter Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	4	0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Reported		3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Docaible	2		0.5		0.0
	- 3 - 3	Linlikely	∠ 1	1	0.5		0.0
		Offinitely		1	0.20	Total	38.0
							55.0

Aquifer	Number 310	Type: Unconsolidated	ated Location: Creighton Valley				
ltem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	4.50/	2.5
►.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	oupply oystellis	2 - 5	2		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	Ū.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
- K	Water management	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
<u>к</u> .	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	1.7
1						rotar	Z1.1

Aquifer	Number: 311	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Che	rryville		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		$< 10 \text{ km}^2$	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	2.5
	Ranking	Development	5		0.5	1078	0.0
			2	2	0.05		5.0
			1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	_	0.33		0.0
0	N	none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 - 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	~2	'		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	_			_	1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Tetal	3.3
						Iotal	24.6

Aquifer	Number 314	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Lur	nby			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	-	II	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		2 5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
_	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
D.	Ranking						
	Ū.	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
<u> </u>	Number of Deported	none reported	0		0	109/	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	10%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	-			0.20		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Ι.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	35.7

Aquifer	Number: 315	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Besette Creek Southwest of Lumby				
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$10 - 50 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		11	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G	Number of Penorted		0		1	10%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0,5	1070	0.0
	production wells, e.g. $> 32L/s$	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	$> 5 \text{ km}^2$	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	-	1.5 km^2	2		0.5		0.0
		1 - 5 Km	1		0.25		0.0
	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 Km ⁻	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.20		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	-	1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	37.4