Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0069	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Port Moody - Low	er Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II III	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25	50/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	N 1 10 1W 1 0 1	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Cystems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	1	1 1	5%	0.0
О.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	<del>                                     </del>	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		
			1	2	0.05		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	·		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		-				Total	24.17

Aquifer No	umber: 0070	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Coquitlam River -	Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km <sup>2</sup>	2	2	0.5		
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		5.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I			4	400/	0.0
В.	Ranking	,	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	
١.	Systems		-		·	1370	0.0
		2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	<del>                                     </del>	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	24.88

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0071	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West Pitt River - L	ower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	realiting	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	111 1 10 1111 1 1	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Cyclemo	2 – 5 1	2		0.66		0.0
		none reported	1 0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3 1 10	10%	0.0		
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		•	•	•		Total	24.64

Aquifer No	umber: 0072	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	McMillian Island -	Lower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	10 /0	
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	T.G.III.II.g	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	1		0.5		
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	
			2		0.5	1070	0.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3		0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	7 1000	3			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	24.88

Aquifer Νι	ımber: 0073	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Matsqui Island - L	ower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		0.0 2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	11	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	unknown	0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	unknown	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	unknown	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	dilitiowii	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	unknown	0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.5		2.5
		Utilikely	1	Ц	0.20	Total	16.90

Aquifer	Number: 74	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Merritt			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.3
	Ranking	Development I	3	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	16	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.8
	Estimated Organic	I Park a OA L (a				100/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	3	1 0.5	10%	10.0 0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	Ц	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		0.0 5.0
	3:	Unlikely	1		0.5		0.0
		1 Crancily	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	0.20	Total	68.8

quife	r Number: 75	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Joeyaska			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Kalikiliy	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.23		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	H	1	5%	0.0
Ο.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Co. vod by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2 1		0.5		0.0 3.3
		Unlikely		1	0.25		

Aquifer	Number: 76	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Stumbles Cre	eek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	I	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	38.2

A.	Description	Measure	Point Scale	B. C. L.	107 1 1 41		
A.			Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C			0.5 0.25		0.0
		_	1	1	0.20		1.7
	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	2	0.66		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	5 "	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Co. voa by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
	\\/	< 500	1		0.25		0.0
	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 45.7

Aquifer	Number: 78	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West End of	Nicola Lake		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5	- 77	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value	·				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊑.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
O.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/5	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	1	10%	0.0 10.0
	Troil Dollow,	> 5 km <sup>2</sup> 1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
			1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km <sup>2</sup> > 3 (regional)	3	H	1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	_	Unlikely	1		0.25		3.3
						Total	30.5

Aquifer	Number: 79	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Lower Clappe	erton Creek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
-	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value		H			0.0
В.	Ranking	ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Normalian of Danastad	none reported > 10	0		0	<b>5</b> 0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 - 10 < 2	1		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	` _	'		0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	3	Unlikely	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 3.3
		Offinitory	'	11 1	0.20	Total	35.7

Aquifer	Number: 80	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Nicola			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•				1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		4	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	0	400/	0.0
11.	vveii Delisity	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
	111 1 0 111 00 111	< 1 km <sup>2</sup>				100/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	H	1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	<u> </u>	1	10%	
	planning and future		_			10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2 1		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 36.9

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0082	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	NE of Prince Geor	ge - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
١.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	, , , , ,	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	Ö	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5	.0,0	0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	3	0	0	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	F00 4000	_		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3	<u> </u>	1	10%	
	.a.a.a.aga.a.a.a	Descible	0		0.5		0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
		Utilikely	1 1		0.20	Total	27.97

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0083	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	NE of Prince Geor	ge - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
					0.05		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		l iii	1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	<del>                                     </del>	1	5%	2.5 0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value		1			
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
Г.	Systems					15%	0.0
		2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		none renewted	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	3	1	1	10%	
• • • •	l voii Zonony	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3	1	1		
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	20.70

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0085	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	W of South end of	f Tabor Lake - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I	2		0.5	10 /6	0.0
		l iii	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	<del>                                     </del>	1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
١.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	,	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	Ö	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	
	11.5 5.1.5,	-	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	25.47

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0086	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Pineview to Buck	horn - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kanking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C			0.5 0.25		0.0
		C	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Ose	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	26.42

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0087	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Miller to Tabor La	ke - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of	'	<b>H</b> '	0.25		2.5
В.	Ranking	Degree of I	3		1	10%	0.0
		· II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C			0.5		0.0
		C	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0 1	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		
							1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	F :: 4   B   1 :: 0   11	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	iuture regulation					1070	0.0
		Possible	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
		Unlikely	<u> </u>	]] 7	0.25	Total	2.5 22.19

According to the property of	Aquifer Νι	ımber: 0090	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Beaverly - SOP			
A. Aquifer Area	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale		Weighting Factor		Score
D = 50 km²   1	Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
Second Color   Seco			10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
Ranking   Develonment			< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
C.   Aquifer Classification and Ranking Vulnerability   A   3   1   0.25   2   0.5   0.0	B.		Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Wulnerability A		Kalikiliy		2		0.5		0.0
Ranking   Rank			III		1	0.25		2.5
D.   Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value   Ranking   Rankin	C.						5%	0.0
D.   Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value   Classification and Ranking   Classification and Ranking Value   Classification		Ranking						0.0
Ranking			C	1	1	0.23		1.3
E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use Use Medium 32 - 64 L/s 2 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	D.		Ranking Value					
Use					10	+		2.4
Low <32 L/s	E.						10%	0.0
F. Number of Ground Water Supply Systems 2 - 5 2 0.66 0 0.66 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Use			1			2.5
Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.   2 - 10   2   0.5   0.25   0.5   0.5   0.6	F.			3			15%	0.0
Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.   2 - 10   2   0.5   0.25		Systems	2 – 5					0.0
G. Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. 2 - 10 2 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5								0.0
And large production wells, e.g.   2 - 10   2   0.5   0.25   0.	G	Number of Penorted Irrigation			0		5%	0.0
H.   Well Density	0.	and large production wells, e.g.	_				370	0.0
H.   Well Density		> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
H.   Well Density   > 5 km²   3   3   1   10%			none reported	0	0	0		0.0
1 - 5 km²   2   0.5   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	H.	Well Density		3	T I		10%	10.0
Company				2		0.5		
I.       Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported       > 3 (regional)       3       1       10%       0         2 to 3 (local)       2       0.5       0.5       0         J. (isolated) none reported       0       0       0       0         J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater       > 1000       3       1       10%         S00 - 1000       2       0.5       0         K. Water management planning and future regulation       Being planned       3       1       1         Possible       2       0.5       0       0				1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Concerns Reported   2 to 3 (local)   2   0.5	I.	Water Quantity and Quality		3	+	1	10%	0.0
1 (isolated)   1   0.25   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0			· - ·					0.0
None reported   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O								0.0
Groundwater			` ,		0			0.0
K.         Water management planning and future regulation         Being planned         3         1         0.25         2           Possible         2         0.5         0.5         0	J.		> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
K. Water management planning and future regulation  Being planned 3 1 10% 0 Possible 2 0.5								0.0
future regulation 10% 0.5 0.5					1			2.5
Possible 2 0.5 0	K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
								0.0
			Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5 <b>28.68</b>

Aquifer Nu	ımber:0092	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Lower Nechako R	iver Valley - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
	A '( O) '(' (' )	III	1 3	3	0.25	5%	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	3	1 0.5	5%	5.0 0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	15	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	3 2 1	2	1 0.5 0.25	10%	0.0 5.0 0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2 ?	0.66		10.0
		1 none reported	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5 0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3	1	1		2.5
	future regulation	3,5				10%	0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0 0.0
		I Offlikely			0.20	Total	58.57

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0094	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Hart Highlands - S	SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	i tallining	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
	Ose	Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1 1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0 1		0.0
п.	Well Delisity	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>		3		10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0	100/	0.0
	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3	+	1		2.5
	future regulation	20g plannoa	Ĭ			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	34.64

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0095	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Shady Valley - SO	P		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		. 11	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	
٠.	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	Ö	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	27.97

Aquifer	Number: 97	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Falkland to s	outhwest of 9	Salmon Arm	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		0.0
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value		H			0.0
В.	Ranking	ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Normalian of Damantani	none reported > 10	0		0	<b>5</b> 0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	2 – 10	3 2	2	0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 - 10 < 2	1		0.5		2.5
	e.g. > 32L/s	` _	'		0.20		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	Ц	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Joer ved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1	Ц	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		0.0 5.0
	-3:	Unlikely	1		0.5		0.0
		ormicory .	'	П	0.20	Total	75.6

Aquifer	Number: 98	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Salmon River			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		0.0
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		•	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value		<del>                                     </del>			
Ο.	Ranking						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1 none reported	1 0		0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	3	1	5%	0.0 5.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	<u> </u>	10%	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km <sup>2</sup> > 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	0.0
I.	Issues/Concerns	,	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5	13,0	5.0
		< 500	1	-	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	Descible	0		0.5	10 /0	0.0
	Togulation	Possible Unlikely	2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0 0.0
		Offlikely	<u> </u>	11 1	0.23	Total	74.5

Aquife	r Number: 100	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Gleneden			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
٠.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
	A . 'for Obser's attraction		'	'			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	ranang	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	3	3		1	10%	0.0
	water use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2 1		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25 1	15%	2.5
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	15%	0.0
	cappi, cycleme	1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/S				0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	0	0	400/	0.0
п.	Well Delisity	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1	_	0.25		0.0
	E-Control Development	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	John Vou by Groundwaler	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
			<u>'</u>	1	0.20	Total	31.9

Aquifer	Number: 102	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Hu	llcar			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	J	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	<u>'</u>	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	ı		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	F "	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17	NA/-1	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1	_	0.25		0.0
	1	· ·	1	1		Total	56.8

AQUIFER 102 Appendix L

Aquifer	Number: 103	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Par	kinson Lake			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.23		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
∟.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/3	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	ocrycu by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14	Matanasasas	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	55.4

tem	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
	g	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	1	0.66 0.33		0.0 5.0
		none reported	Ö	'	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	c.g 02L/3	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	> 1000 3 1	10%	0.0		
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	1.7

tem	Description	Type: Unconsolidated  Measure	Point Scale	Points	Weighting	Maximum	Score
				Assigned	Factor	Weighting	
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
۲.	Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		2.5
	0.g. * 022/0	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported	0	0	0	100/	0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Seing planned	3		1	5%	
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
		•				Total	35.7

Aquifer	Number: 111	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Lo	wer Shuswap	Valley		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aguifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of					0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	В	2		0.5	0,70	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	'				1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
	E.C. at 10 and 0 and	LP to OAL (			4	400/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	3	0	10%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	3	0.5	1076	10.0 0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3		0	400/	0.0
п.	Well Defisity	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.5		5.0
	M. I. O. O. III. 000 III.	< 1 km <sup>2</sup>				400/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	0	1	0.25		2.5 0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	3	0.5	1070	10.0 0.0
		< 500	1		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management			1		E0/	3.0
	planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		2.5
		Unlikely	1		0.25	Total	0.0 69.3

quire	r Number 113	Type: Unconsolidated	Location: Ash	iton Creek			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3	_	1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	nt   3    0.5		10%	0.0	
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Italikilig	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.20		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
L.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1 0		0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		1	10%	0.0
Ο.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	, and the second	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	2	0.5	10,0	5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	_	0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
.,		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	1.7 35.5

AQUIFER 113 Appendix L

quifer	Number: 114	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Mar	a Lake		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and				1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
		,	0 10 2 1		1.0 0.24	070	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	ŭ	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	3	0.25	15%	7.5 0.0
г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	0.g. 00	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	planning and future regulation	Possible	2		0.5	2,4	0.0
		Unlikely		iv I	0.5 0.25		3.3
		Offlikely	Append	X L	0.20	Total	35.5

Aquifer	Number: 115	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Northeast of	Quesnel		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /6	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0 1	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3		0 1	100/	0.0
п.	Well Delisity	> 5 km²	2		-	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25	100/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	1	0.25 0		2.5 0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	100/	
٠.	Served by Groundwater		-	3	•	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	<del>  </del>	1		0.0
• • •	planning and future	203 p.d03			·	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	59.2

Aquifer	Number: 116	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West of Drag	on Lake		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	'	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•	<u> </u>			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	-	0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0	-0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 - 10 < 2	1		0.5 0.25		2.5
	e.g. > 32L/s	``Z	'		0.23		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	П	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		0.0 5.0
	<b>3</b>	Unlikely	1		0.5		0.0
			'	11	0.20	Total	69.3

Aquife	r Number: 117	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Red Bluff			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3	3	0.5	10%	10.0
			2				0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.23		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
L.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	ocived by Orbundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14	100	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	46.2

Aquife	r Number: 119	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	<b>Higdon Creel</b>	<b>‹</b>		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aguifer Classification and				1		2.3
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		C	1	1	0.23		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
		, ,					
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Water Osc	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
٥.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,70	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.2
	0.g. * 022/0	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	Possible	2		0.5	1370	0.0
	-3	Unlikely	1	1	0.5 0.25		3.3
		,	1	*		Total	30.9

A.	Description	Measure					
A.		mododio	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
B. /	Aguifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
	•	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D. /	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•	<u> </u>			1.7
	Ranking	Ranking value					
	•	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
	Fating at a d Occurrent Occurred	Himb s C4 L/s			4	400/	0.0
	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s			2.5		
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	3	1	15%	15.0
;	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I. V	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
ļ!	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	0.25		0.0	
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
;	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1	-	0.25		0.0
	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future	_			_	1070	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 52.6

Table 3. Unconsolidated aquifer prioritization for monitoring.

Aquifer	Number: 121	Type: IIIA	Location:	Kersley			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
B.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1 3	1	5%	2.5 5.0
٠.	Ranking	В	2		0.5	373	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
	Aguifar Classification and		'				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
	F-151-10101	LEste OAL/s	0		4	400/	0.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Trator Goo	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	·	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	'		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	F	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Gloundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14	100	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		-	•	•		Total	35.5

Aquife	Number: 122	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	<b>Sardine Flats</b>			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
	A '6 OL '6' '	< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	-				0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		
					0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and		3	1		5%	2.5
C.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
		C			0.25		
			1	1	0.20		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
		(based on read ractors)	0 10 21		1.0 – 0.24	370	1.5
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	N 1 10 111/1	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опрріу Оузістіз	2-5	1		0.00		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. * 02270	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
	,	1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1		0.25		
	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km <sup>2</sup> > 3 (regional)	3	1	1	10%	2.5
1.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	,,,,	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation		_		0 -	10 /0	0.0
	regulation	Possible 2		0.5		0.0	
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 21.9

Aquifer	Number: 125	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	<b>Pressy Lake</b>			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1 1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		2.5
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3		ı	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
٥.	Ranking						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0 1	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	F	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Octived by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
1.6	100	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	<u> </u>	-	Total	25.7

Aquifer	Number: 128	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West end of	Horse Lake		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value	·	<u> </u>			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
Ο.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	0	10%	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
	Mata - Our atit - 0 Our lite	< 1 km <sup>2</sup>		H		400/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	Ö	0	0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	11	1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation		_			1070	0.0
	regulation	Possible Unlikely	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		Unlikely		1	0.25	Total	3.3 29.6

Aquife	r Number: 129	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South centra	I shore of Ho	rse Lake	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
	Aifa Olaifiti	< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	-	<u> </u>			2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
O.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Danking Value	'				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /6	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	3 /6	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
	Mall Danait.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	. toportou	1 (isolated)	1 0		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0	400/	0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		-		•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	<del>   '</del>	1		2.3
- **	planning and future	_ = 5 5   p. s 6 %			·	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.5

Aquife	r Number: 130	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	East end of H	lorse Lake		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	1	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	•				2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	I			0.5		
			2		0.25		0.0
	A '5 OL '5 U		1	1		50/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	ranking	В			0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
		(based on 7 sub-lactors)	3 10 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1 none reported	1 0		0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s		_		_		0.0
	Mall Danait.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3			10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	rtoportod	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		-		•	10%	0.0
	,	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	3	1	0.25		2.5
ĸ.	planning and future	Being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
				• •	•	Total	32.1

Aquifer	Number: 131	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	105 Mile Lake	•		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Development I			0.5	1076	
		III	2	1	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	2.5 0.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	3,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	N	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	cappiy cyclomo	1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	Ö		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	35.7

Aquifer	Number: 132	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	<b>Buffalo Creek</b>			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊑.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /6	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		<u> </u>	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5 /6	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
	Estimated Dec. 1985	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Gloundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14	M/-1	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	36.9

Aquifer	Number: 133	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cache Creek	to Scottie Cre	eek	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	-	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	c.g 02L/3	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		0.0 5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
				11	0.20	Total	62.0

Aquifer	Number: 134	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Cache Creek	to north of M	aiden Creek	·
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3	3	0.5	10%	10.0
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
∟.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	3 /6	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0	Ц	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	ocived by Giodilawatei	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
	-	Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
		, ,		1-1	<u> </u>	Total	49.6

Aquifer	Number: 135	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Semlin Valley			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	'	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•				1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.3
	Estimated Current Ground	High > CAI /a	2		4	400/	0.0
E.	Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.5		2.5
	e.g. > 32L/s	12	'		0.20		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Toportou	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3		<u> </u>		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater				•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25 1		2.5
r\.	planning and future	being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	50.0

Aquife	r Number: 136	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Mauvais Roc	her IR #5		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of		H	1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	2.5 0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	370	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	'	<u> </u>			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
	Estimate I O mant O mant	I Park a OA L /a	2		4	400/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Traitor Goo	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	<del> </del>	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N	none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	1		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	`~	'		0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater				•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
١٨.	planning and future	Doing planned			·	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	19.4

Aquifer	Number: 137	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Minton Creek			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	1-01	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1		0.66		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	c.g 022/0	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	<del>                                     </del>	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	+	1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	21.9

Aquifer	Number: 139	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Missioner Cr	eek Valley		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of		H	1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	370	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value		<u> </u>			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
	3	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	`~			0.20		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater				-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
r.	planning and future	Deling planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	35.9

Aquifer	Number: 143	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Southwest s	ide of William	s Lake	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	370	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	'	<u> </u>			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	3 /0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s						0.0
- 11	Mall Danaite	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	H	0	4007	0.0
0.	Served by Groundwater		-		•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	<del>                                     </del>	1		2.5
	planning and future	_ = 5 5   p. s 6 %				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	41.9

Item		nber: 144 Type: Unconsolidated		Sotheast side of Williams Lake			
	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
			1	1	0.25		
	A if Olasaifia ation and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	-	-			2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
O.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	070	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Danking Value	'	<u>'</u>			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
	F. I. I. I. O. I.	111 1 241/				400/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Trator 500	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
	N	none reported	0		0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	_					0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	.,,	1 (isolated)	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	H "	1	100/	0.0
٥.	Served by Groundwater		-		-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	4001	۷.٦
	planning and future	3,1				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	1	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3

Aquifer	Number: 145	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Northeast sid	de of Williams	Lake	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of		H	1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	<u>'</u>	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value		<u> </u>			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	3 /0	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/s	, ,					0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	0	400/	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.5		0.0
	Matan Overtite 9 Over111	< 1 km <sup>2</sup>		H		400/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	<u> </u>	1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	, .	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	Danaikla			0.5	1070	0.0
	regulation	Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 3.3
		Unikely	1 '	1 1	0.20	Total	31.7

Aquifer	Number: 146	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	West and no	rthwest side (	of Williams La	ke
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	-	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	- 7.5	2.5
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/5	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	1	10%	0.0
	VVCII Denoity	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>				100/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	100/	
٠.	Served by Groundwater		-	3	•	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	+	0.25		0.0
	planning and future	25g piarinos				10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	62.6

Aquifer	Number: 147	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Hill southwe	st of Williams	Lake townsit	e
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	'	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	В	2		0.5	- 77	0.0
		С	1	<sub>1</sub>	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2 1	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. > 32L/5	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	1	10%	0.0 10.0
• • •	Troil Bolloky	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5	10 /6	
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km <sup>2</sup> > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	, , ,				10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	<sub>1</sub>	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	D	_		0 -	1070	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	<u> 1</u>	1	0.25	Total	3.3 49.6

Aquifer	r Number: 149	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Chimney Cre	ek Valley		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Tranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.23		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	'	0.33		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		4.2
	C.g. > 32L/3	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	_	0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	33.2

Aquifer	Number: 150	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Wil	liams Lake on	Dog Creek R	oad
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33 0		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Joe ved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
	1					Total	41.1

Aquife	r Number: 151	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Frost Creek			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Karikirig	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. 022/0	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.4

Aquifer	Number: 152	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	South of Will	liams Lake on	Dog Creek R	oad
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km <sup>2</sup> Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	'	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1	3	0.25		5.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•				5.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
	3	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
	Estimated Organic	115 to 24 to			4	400/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	<del> </del>	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	12	'		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	H	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		-		-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
rx.	planning and future	being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	59.6

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 155	Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Walker Hook - Sal	tspring Island - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
B.		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	2	1 0.5 0.25	5%	0.0 2.5
		С	1		0.23		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	F-time-t-d Owner-t One and Web-	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9 0.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	3 2 1	1	1 0.5 0.25	10%	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1?	0.33		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation		3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 <b>35.2</b>

Aquifer Number: 156		Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Ganges Harbour	- Saltspring Island - VI	· VI	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	.070	
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of Development I				100/	2.5
	Ranking		3		1	10%	0.0
		ll II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
١.		2-5	2	2?		1370	0.0 10.0
		2-5	1	2?	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	Ö		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
12	10/	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation		3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 <b>39.40</b>

Aquifer Number: 157		Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Fulford Harbour -	Saltspring Island - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		П	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	2	0.5 0.25	5%	0.0 2.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and	C Ranking Value	1		0.20		0.0
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	.0,0	0.0
		1	1	1?	0.33		5.0
G.	Niverband Departed Industry	none reported	0 3	#	0 1	5%	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	3	0	1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	34.40

•	Number: 158	Type: Unconsolidated		Grand Forks			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.23		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Natiking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	17	1.0 – 0.24	5%	4.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
_	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	3	0.25	15%	0.0
F.	Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	2	3	0.66	15%	15.0 0.0
	, , .,	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. > 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3		0		
п.	well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	2	3	-	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²			0.5		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Demodation	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	St. 13d by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17	104.4	< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	<b>A</b> ppend	<u> </u>	0.25	Total	0.0 89.0

Aquifer Number: 159		Type: Unconsolidated	Location:	Ucuelet (Lost Sho	e) - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		
		< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	l II	3		'	10%	
		"	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	. ta.m.ng	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	· ·	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	<del> </del>	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0	===	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km <sup>2</sup>	3		1	10%	
	,	-	2		0.5	10 /6	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km <sup>2</sup>		1			2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0?	0		0.0
υ.	Groundwater				·	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Possible	2		0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	2	0.5		0.0
	<u> </u>	- Orimicory		11	0.20	Total	38.33