Aquifer Nu	ımber: 438	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Mt. Geofrey - Hori	nby Island		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25	=0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A_	3 2	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	15	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
١.	Systems				· ·	1370	0.0
	Cyclome	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	O	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	11	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	Ш	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	Ш	0.25		0.0
						Total	46.07

quifer Νι	ımber: 0441	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Lynx Creek - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking		2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	<u> </u>	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Number of Departed Instruction	none reported	0	0	0	F0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	<u> </u>	Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 22.38

Aquifer Number: 447 Type: Bedrock			Location:	Georgina Place / I	Hall Hill - Mayne Island		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	.070	
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of Development I				400/	2.5
	Ranking		3		1	10%	0.0
		"	2	2	0.5		5.0
C.	Aguifer Classification and		1 3	3	0.25	5%	0.0 5.0
C.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	376	0.0
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3		0	5%	0.0
О.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	3		0 1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	50.12

APPENDIX M AQUIFER 447

lquifer Νι	ımber: 0448	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Clayhurst - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		п	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25	50/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1	5%	0.0 2.5
	Ranking	В			0.5 0.25		
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	No control of Control Water Control	Low < 32 L/s	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems				1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater		3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
			•	••	*	Total	27.62

Aquifer Nu	umber: 449	Type: Bedrock	Location:	West of Sooke at	Orveous Bay - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25	5 0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	11 .	0.5		0.0
	Niverbas of Convert Weter County	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Gystems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33		5.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	+	1	5%	0.0
О.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density		3	0	1 1	400/	
• • • •	VVoii Beriolty	> 5 km ²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	locaco, concomo reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
	Fatimated Danulation Conved by	none reported > 1000	0 3	+	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	П	'	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	eing planned 3 1 10%	10%	0.0		
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.5		2.5
						Total	31.18

quifer Νι	ımber: 0451	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Between Ft. St. Jo	ohn and Blueberry Cr	SOP	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C			0.5 0.25		0.0
		C	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	0223	_	0	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported > 5 km²	3	1	1	10%	
	l little state of	- · · · · ·	2		0.5	1076	0.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	3	+	0 1	400/	
-	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3	1	0.25		2.5
r.	future regulation	Deing planned	3			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 39.16

Aquifer	Number: 470	Type: Bedrock	Location: Kel	owna north to	Ellison Lake	•	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
<u> </u>	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /6	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0 1	10%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	10%	0.0
	production wells,	1	1		0.25		
	e.g. = or > 3L/s		0		•		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	3	0	0 1	400/	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1				0.0
		< 1 km ²		1	0.25	100/	2.5
I.	Water Quantity & Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	U	1	10%	
0.	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	'	1	==:	۷.5
=	planning and future	5 G Free				5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
						Total	21.5

Aquifer	Number: 471	Type: Bedrock	Location: We	est of Ellison L	.ake		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
		,	0 10 21		1.0 0.24	0,0	1.5
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
_	N Co IW.	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Cappiy Cyclomo	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	-	1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	1	1		0.25		0.0
	c.g 01 > 0L/3	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1	_	0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	planning and future regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	-3	Unlikely	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 1.7
		Offinicity		1	0.20	Total	21.1

Aquifer	Number: 472	Type: Bedrock	Location: Sou	theast of Ellis	son Lake		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.3
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	l l	0.25	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	.0,0	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10 1	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	I	ı		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Oct ved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
	NA	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7
	•	•		•	•	Total	26.1

quifer	Number: 473	Type: Bedrock	Location: Mis	sion, Davies 8	& Cardinal Cre	ek area	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aguifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
В.	Ranking	Degree of I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	370	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.7
		_	'	'			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
		,					
E.	Estimated Current Ground		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
Г.	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	15%	0.0
	Cuppiy Cyclomo	1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0	· ·	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	10%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		5.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	1	1		0.25		0.0
	0.9. 0. 02.0	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density		3		1	10%	0.0
• • • •	Troil 2 direity	> 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	1070	
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.25		5.0
I.	Water Quantity & Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	·	1	50/	2.5
	planning and future	ing and future				5%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		1.7

Aquifer	r Number: 475	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Bedrock Slop	e north of Ro	ck Creek	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
D.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	 	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0 /	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опрріу Оузістіз	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Demolation	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25	 	2.5
IX.	planning and future	Deing planneu			'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	26.7

Aquifer	Number: 486	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Columbia Ga	rdens northw	ards to Kelly	Creek
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	 	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Demolation	none reported > 1000	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater		3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
К.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25 1		2.5
r\.	planning and future	being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.2

Aquifer	Number: 488	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Lister, south	of Creston		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		
	A 'f Ol 'f' t'	< 10 km ²					0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	9	II	2	2	0.5		
		l III			0.25		5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and		3		1	5%	0.0
C.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		0.5	5%	0.0
		C			0.25		
		_	1	1			1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
		(**************************************				0,0	2.0
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25 1	15%	2.5
Г.	Supply Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66	15%	0.0 10.0
	Cappi, Cycleme	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	_	Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		· ,	1			Total	44.4

Aquifer	Number: 493	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Ross Spur, N	orth		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5 /6	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	1	0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s		_		_		1.3
Н.	Wall Danaits	none reported	3	H	0		
П.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	-	1 (isolated) none reported	0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	 	1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	<u> </u>	1	10%	
	planning and future	_			_	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 27.0

Aquifer	Number: 494	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Ross Spur, S	outh		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5	- 77	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		2.5
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	_					0.0
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater				-	10%	0.0
	,	500 - 1000 - 500	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
IX.	planning and future	Doing planned			'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.7

Aquife	r Number: 495	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Fruitvale Cre	ek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1	100/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	ranking	B C		2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		_	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0	'	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1	_	0.25		0.0
	Estimated Devolation	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000			-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	3	1	0.25 1		2.5
r.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	33.0

Aquifer	Number: 499	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Alice Siding,	north of Cres	ton	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	_	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0 /	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опрріу Оузістіз	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	E	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	3	1	0.25		2.5
r.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.0

Aquifer	Number: 500	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Blueberry, be	ewteen China	Ck. and Kinn	aird
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	2.5 0.0
O.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	070	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
	Aguifer Classification and	Dankina Value	'	H			0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking Value					
	T GITTING	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	vvaler Ose	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	₁	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	<u>'</u>	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	.070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	``_	'		0.23		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Estimated Deputation	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater		-		•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
rx.	planning and future	being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	25.2

Aquife	r Number: 511	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Fortynine Cr	eek		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2				0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Kanking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.23		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	 	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1	_	0.25		0.0
	Estimated Devolation	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	-		-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500	3	1	0.25 1		2.5
r.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	28.0

Aquifer	Number: 512	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Falls Creek, ı	near West Arr	n, Kootenay L	.ake
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	<u>'</u>	1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	 	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Joer ved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	25.5

Aquifer	Number: 513	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Krestova B.R.			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1 1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
	-	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
υ.	Ranking						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 - 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
L.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g 01 > 3L/5	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	0	1	10%	0.0
		2 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
			1		0.25		
<u> </u>	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	+	1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
				•		Total	22.5

Aquifer	Number: 518	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Mt. Nelson			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
	-	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					117
Ъ.	Ranking	ranking value					
	-	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
∟.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33 0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		
	e.g 01 > 3L/5	none reported	0		0		1.3
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		·	•	• •		Total	27.9

Aquifer	Number: 523	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Cranbrook S.	, B.R.		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	'	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
			_				
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2	2	1 0.5	10%	0.0 5.0
	Water 656	Low < 32 L/s	1	2	0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	N	none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		2.5
	e.g. = or > 3L/s		_		_		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	1	0		
П.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	0	o	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5	10 /0	0.0 5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	D 9.1	_		0.5	1070	0.0
	Togulation	Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 3.3
		Unincely	1 '	1	0.20	Total	46.0

Aquifer	Number: 529	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Wardner - B.	R.		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	 	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.1
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s				_		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	0	0	100/	
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	-	1 (isolated) none reported	0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	1	100/	
	planning and future	_			_	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 28.0

Aquifer	Number: 534	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Fernie S. B.R.			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of		 	1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		•	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
ļ		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
ļ	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Topoliou	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	<u> </u>	4001	0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater				•	10%	0.0
ļ		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	1		2.3
	planning and future	_ =3 p.a			•	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	1	3.3

Aquifer	Number: 535	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Cranbrook N	., B.R.		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. = or > 3L/s				_		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	H	0	400/	0.0
п.	vveii Density	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	'	1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
٠.	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		< 500 - 1000 < 500	1	2	0.5 0.25		5.0 0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	1	1	4001	0.0
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	Irogulation	Possible	2	11	0.5		0.0
	regulation	Unlikely	1		0.25		3.3

Aquifer	Number: 536	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Wycliffe			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	5 /6	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		2.3
	e.g. = or > 3L/s		_		_		0.0
Н.	Mall Dansity	none reported	3	H	0		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	 	1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	<u> </u>	1	100/	
	planning and future	_			_	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 30.7

Aquifer	Number: 537	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Kimberley, E	3.R.		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	T Cariking	B C	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		_	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	roportou	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0 1	100/	0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		< 500 - 1000 < 500	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	1	1	100/	2.5
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	,	0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 45.7

quifer Number: 0548		Type: Bedrock	Location:	Keats Island - Lov	ver Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	10 /6	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	0.25	15%	0.0
• • •	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	322.5						0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0	400/	
	VVCII Delisity	> 5 km ²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	,	1	0.25		2.5
	Fatimated Denulation Conved by	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	S. St. Idward	500 - 1000	2	П	0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	future regulation			П		1070	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 22.38

quifer Nu	umber: 0549	Type: Bedrock	Location:	SW portion of Ga	mbier Island - Lower M	ainland	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	5 0223	< 2					0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns Reported	, ,				1070	0.0
	· ·	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1 0	1	0.25 0		2.5 0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	3		1		0.0
J.	Groundwater	- 1000	3		'	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.5		2.5
	+	Ormicory	<u>'</u>	11 '	0.20	Total	30.70

Aquifer Nu	ımber:0550	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Camelo Point - Lo	wer Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of Development I				100/	2.5 10.0
٥.	Ranking	II	3	3	1	10%	
		"	2		0.5		0.0
	Assistan Olasaifiastian and		1 3		0.25	5%	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
	Ranking	C	1		0.5		
			'				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	 	1	15%	0.0
• • •	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	unknown	0.25		
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	unknown	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	13.1.1	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		2.5
		1 Offlinery		11 1	0.20	Total	24.64

quifer Number:0551		Type: Bedrock	Location:	NE portion of Gan	portion of Gambier Island - Lower Mainland			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score	
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0	
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5			
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0 2.5	
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0	
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5	
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0	
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5	
		С	1		0.25		0.0	
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0	
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0 /	2.5	
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0	
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0	
		1 none reported	1 0		0.33		0.0	
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	1	1	5%	0.0	
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0	
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0	
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0	
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0	
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5			
				2			5.0	
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0	
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0	
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0	
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0	
		none reported	0		0		0.0	
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	500 - 1000 2	0.5		0.0		
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5	
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3	1	1		2.0	
	future regulation	3,5				10%	0.0	
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0	
		Unlikely	1		0.5		0.0	
		1 0		-1.1		Total	34.40	

Aquifer No	umber: 0555	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Sechelt - Lower M	lainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5	.0,0	
			1		0.25		5.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of Development I		1			0.0
Ь.	Ranking		3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25	F0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
	raming	C	1		0.25		0.0
			'				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	1	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	North and Consult Water Consult	Low < 32 L/s	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems				1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	1	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	11	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		
			1		0.25		5.0
		< 1 km ²				100/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	4	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Cidalidwater	500 - 1000	2	П	0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	100/	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		-	•	•	•	Total	32.86

quifer Nu	ımber: 0558	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Halfmoon Bay - Lo	ower Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3	+	1	10%	
	Ranking		2	2	0.5	1076	0.0 5.0
		"			0.25		-
	Assistan Olasasification and		1 3	3		5%	0.0 5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	3%	0.0
	Ranking				0.5		
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1 0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	3	1	0 1	5%	0.0
О.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	+	1	10%	
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		0.0 5.0
		1 (isolated)	1	2	0.5		0.0
		none reported	0		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3	Ħ	1		
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
			•	1.1		Total	43.10

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0059	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Mixel Lake - Lowe	er Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		≡	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	1	15%	
٠.	Systems	2-5	2	2?	0.66	1070	0.0 10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 321/5	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0 1	100/	0.0
	vven Bensity	> 5 km ²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	H	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	7 1000	3		·	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	42.86

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0561	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Kleindale - Lower	Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
١.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	- Systems	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	Ö	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns Reported	, , ,				10 /0	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0		0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	> 1000	3		1	400/	
**	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	Ц	0.25		0.0
						Total	40.36

Item	Description						
		Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km ²	2	2	0.5		
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		5.0 0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	l II	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		0.5	10%	0.0
	030	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	+	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25	Total	0.0 32.86

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0564	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Porpoise Bay - Lo	wer Mainland		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Cround Motor Cumbly	Low < 32 L/s	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems				· ·	15%	0.0
	Cystems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	+	1	5%	0.0
٥.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	idiaio rogulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	* *	•	Total	32.38

A. B.	Description Aquifer Area	Measure > 50 km ²	Point Scale	Points	Weighting Factor	Maximum	Score
	Aquifer Area	> E0 long2		Assigned		Weighting	
B.		> 50 KIII	3		1	10%	0.0
B.		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
B.		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
	. Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	· ·	 	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	B C	1		0.5 0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	3 2 1	1	0.5 0.25	10%	0.0 0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5 1 none reported	3 2 1 0	0	1 0.66 0.33 0	15%	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	> 10 2 – 10 < 2 none reported	3 2 1	0	1 0.5 0.25	5%	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km² 1 – 5 km² < 1 km²	3 2 1	2	1 0.5 0.25	10%	0.0 5.0 0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional) 2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated) none reported	3 2 1 0	0	1 0.5 0.25 0	10%	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000 500 - 1000 < 500	3 2 1	1	1 0.5 0.25	10%	0.0 0.0 2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned Possible	3 2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.3	Total	2.5 24.40

Item			Location:	East of Smithers -			
	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		40 F0 km²	2		0.5	1070	
ļ		10 – 50 km²	4		0.05		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kalikilig	I	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	 	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
ļ	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
ļ		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		
			1		0.25		5.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
1.	Issues/Concerns Reported	, , ,				10 /6	0.0
ļ	·	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
ļ		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0		0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
ļ		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
ļ		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 23.20

quifer Nu	mber: 0579	Type: Bedrock	Location:	East of Smithers -	SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1		0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²	'		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	T Carriering	II	2	2	0.5	,	5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	 	1	15%	0.0
• •	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
	-	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5	.0,0	
			1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	< 1 km ²	3	1		10%	2.5
ı.	Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)			1	10%	0.0
		2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	1	Unlikely	1	11 1	0.25		2.5

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0580	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Smithers North - S	SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2		0.5	1070	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking		2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	 	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	<u> </u>	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2 1		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		
			1		0.25		5.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	none reported > 1000	3	0	1	10%	0.0
	Sicalidwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	_	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		- ,	•			Total	20.47

Aquifer No	umber: 0589	Type: Bedrock	Location:	East Pine and Mu	rray Rivers - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В		2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
٠.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	,	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/5	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0 1		0.0
п.	Well Defisity	> 5 km ²			-	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fatinget d Daniel in Commedition	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ciodilawatoi	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	19.40

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0591	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Groundbirch Wille	ow Valley - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kalikilig	I	2		0.5	10 /6	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	+	1 1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	+ '	1	15%	0.0
• •	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5		0.0
	7 022/3	none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	1	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5	.070	
		1 – 5 km	1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²	•	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0	4	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Signitivator	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		•				Total	30.60

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0593	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Bear Mtn. buried	valley - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Tanking	I	2		0.5		0.0
		l iii	1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	<u>'</u>	1	5%	2.5 0.0
O.	Ranking	B Vullierability A	2	2	0.5	070	2.5
		C	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					0.0
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 - 10 < 2	1		0.5		
	3223	_					0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0 1	100/	0.0
• • • •	l view zeweny	> 5 km ²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		-				Total	27.14

quifer Nu	ımber: 0595	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North of Sunset C	reek - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.		Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
	A '' O' '' '	III	1	1	0.25	5%	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	030	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
O.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Si sui i avatoi	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 26.18

Aquifer Νι	ımber: 606	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Colwood / Langfo	rd / Metchosin / Sooke	- VI	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	. = 0 /	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	1		0.5		0.0
	5225	none reported	0	0	0.23		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		
		1 – 5 KIII		2	0.05		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	iuluie regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
			•	++		Total	45.36

A. Aquifer Area	Aquifer Nu	ımber: 607	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North end of Saan	ich Penn VI		
A. Aquifer Area	Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale		Weighting Factor		Score
10 - 50 km² 1	A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²				10%	0.0
B. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Degree of Development 1 3 1 10%			10 – 50 km²	2				0.0
Ranking			-	1	1	0.25		2.5
III	B.			3		1	10%	0.0
C. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Wilnerability A B 2 C 0.5 C 1				2		0.5		0.0
Ranking					1		=0/	2.5
D. Aquifer Classification and Ranking Value (based on 7 sub-factors) 5 to 21 8 1.0 - 0.24 5%	C.		1				5%	0.0 2.5
Ranking		raining						0.0
E. Estimated Current Ground Water Use	D.		Ranking Value					
Use					8			1.9
Company Comp	E.						10%	0.0
Systems 2 - 5					1			2.5
Second Content of Reported Second Content	F.		> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. 2 - 10 2 0.5 0.5		Systems	I					0.0
Sumber of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g. 2 - 10 2 0.5			l ·]] 1			5.0 0.0
And large production wells, e.g. 2 - 10 2 0.5 0.25	G.	Number of Reported Irrigation					5%	0.0
Note		and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10					0.0
H. Well Density > 5 km² 3 3 1 10%		> 32L/s	-					0.0
1 - 5 km² 2 0.5		Wall Density						0.0
Company Comp	п.	Well Density	> 5 km²	_	3		10%	10.0
I. Water Quantity and Quality S 3 (regional) 3			1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
Issues/Concerns Reported 2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5						0.25		0.0
2 to 3 (local) 2 0.5	I.		> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
None reported O O O		issues/Concerns Reported						0.0
J. Estimated Population Served by Groundwater > 1000 3 1 10% 500 - 1000 2 0.5 0.25 K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% Possible 2 0.5 0.5			` ′		1			2.5
Groundwater	J	Estimated Population Served by			+	<u> </u>		0.0
K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 1 10% Possible 2 0.5 0.5 0.5	٥.						10%	0.0
K. Water management planning and future regulation Being planned 3 1 10% Possible 2 0.5								0.0
future regulation 10% Possible 2 0.5	1/	Waterman			1 1			2.5
	K.		Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
Unlikely 1 1 0.25								0.0
			Unlikely	1	1	0.25	T-/ !	2.5 34.40

Aquifer Νι	ımber: 608	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North Saanich so	uth to Elk Lk - VI		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
В.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25	F0/	0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
	Ranking	B C	1	2	0.5		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3		0.25	15%	
١.	Systems	2-5	2	2	· 1	1370	0.0 10.0
	, , , , ,	2-5	1	2	0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	Ö		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		
			1		0.25		0.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0 5.0
		Unlikely	1	2	0.5 0.25		0.0
			<u>'</u>		0.20	Total	69.35

Aquifer Νι	umber: 614	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Saanich between	Cordova and Brentwo	od Bay - VI	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	11	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	+	0.25	15%	0.0
Г.	Systems	-			· ·	15%	0.0
	Cystems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0 5.0
		1 none reported	1 0	1	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	 	1	5%	0.0
О.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	0,70	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	 	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	1	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		•	•		·	Total	39.17

Aquifer Nu	umber: 618	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Pt. Renfrew south	side of San Juan Rive	er - VI	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.0 2.5
В.	Aguifer Classification and	Degree of Development I		 	+ +	10%	0.0
	Ranking		3		1	10%	
			2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25	F0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Ose	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
	N I (D) II i ii	none reported	0		0	5 0/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	 	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5	.070	
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		5.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	0	1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns Reported	, , ,	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	1	1	0.5		2.5
		none reported	Ö		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	28.20

Aquifer Nu	umber: 619	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Mayne Island - VI			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	. tog	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	
1.	Systems	2-5	2	2	0.66	1370	0.0 10.0
	,	1	1		0.83		0.0
		none reported	Ö		0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	0.0 10.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	53.10

Aquifer	Number: 620	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Mayne Island			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1 1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
	Ranking	Development I	3	3	•	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	realiking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2-5	1		0.66 0.33		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Gerved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
14		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	56.4

Aquifer Νι	ımber: 0621	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Kelly Lake - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
	A 15 OL 15 11	III	1 3	1	0.25	5%	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	+ '	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.23		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	22

Aquifer Number: 0622 Type: Bedrock			Location:	South of Pouce C	reek - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	i talling	II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	
١.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	- 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
Н.	Wall Density	none reported	0 3	0	0 1		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²			·	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 4000	2		0.5	10 /0	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5		2.5
K.	Water management planning and		3	 	1	1	2.0
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	<u> </u>	Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 26.18

Aquifer Number: 0623 Bedrock			Location:	North and west of	f Swan Lake - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Court d Weter Court	Low < 32 L/s	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5			1	15%	0.0
	Cystems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		0.25
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	issues, consenie i topone	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	Fatimated Danielation Conced by	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	29.65

Aquifer Νι	ımber: 0627	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Chetwynd Area - S	SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Tanking	I	2		0.5		0.0
		l iii	1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	<u>'</u>	1	5%	2.5 0.0
C.	Ranking	B Vullierability A	2	2	0.5	070	2.5
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	C	1		0.25		
		-	Į.				0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	11 1 10 11111 0 1	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Cystems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	0	1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		- 1	•	**	•	Total	27.38

Aquifer Number: 0631 Type: Bedrock			Location:	South of Peace R	iver - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	Пременоринент	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		"	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	 	1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	LOW < 32 L/S > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	j	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/S	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	Mall Danath	none reported	0	0	0 1		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3			10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0 1		0.0
J.	Groundwater	/ 1000	3		'	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2 0.5			0.0	
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	21.18

Aquifer	Number: 632	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Navy Channe	l S., Mayne I	sland	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	_		1		
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
			2	2			5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ivanking	В	2	2	0.5 0.25		2.5
		С	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3	Ħ	1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.2
	o.g. 01 - 02/3	none reported	0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Joe ved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	39.5

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0633	Bedrock	Location:	South of Peace R	iver - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5	1070	
			1		0.25		5.0
	Assistan Olasaifiastian and	< 10 km ²	'		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25	F0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking	С	1	1	0.5		
			ı ı	'			1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	 	1	15%	0.0
• •	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		O'lincoly	'	''	0.20	Total	20.9

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0634	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Taylor south of Pe	eace River - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25	F0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C	1	1	0.5		
			'	<u>'</u>			2.5
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	1		0.5		-
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
1/	Water areas and also it is	< 500	1	1			2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 27.14

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0639	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North of Rose Pra	airie - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Tanking	II	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		l iii	1	1	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	2.5 0.0
O.	Ranking	B B	2		0.5	3,0	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	<u> </u>	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
О.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		,	•		•	Total	26.66

Item A.	Description	Moasuro					
A.		Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5	1070	0.0
		10 – 50 km²					5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I			1	100/	
	Kanking	·	3 2		0.5	10%	0.0
					0.5 0.25		0.0
C.	Aguifer Classification and		1 3	1	1	5%	2.5 0.0
C.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C	1		0.25		0.0
				Ц			0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	> 10 2 – 10	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5	.070	
			1				0.0
		< 1 km ²	!	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Sidulawatoi	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and	Being planned	3		1	100/	
	future regulation					10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 22.38

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0644	Type: Bedrock	Location:	NE of Burns and I	Decker Lakes - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3		0.5	10%	0.0
		"	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
			1	1		E0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A	3 2		1 0.5	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В		11 .	0.5		
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
١.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	,	1	1		0.00		0.0
		none reported	Ö	0	0.00		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	11	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
	W 1 0 17 10 17		2	1		100/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	133de3/Concerns reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	7 1000	3		'	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
			<u> </u>			Total	28.20

Aquifer No	umber: 0646	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Between Burns La	ake and Tchesinkut La	ke - SOP	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	ranking	I	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	<u> </u>	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	Well Deneth	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
٥.	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3	1	0.25		2.5
rv.	future regulation	Deing planned	3			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	21.90

Aquifer No	umber: 0650	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Simon Bay North	shore of Fraser Lake -	SOP	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	1070	
			1	2	0.25		0.0
		< 10 km ²	'	2	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		ll ll	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Ose	Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0 1	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0 1		0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²			-	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1	_	0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0 1		0.0
J.	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17	Matar manager t - l :	< 500	3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		·		··	<u> </u>	Total	22.97

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0651	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North shore of Bu	rns Lake - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3 2		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	B C	1	1	0.5 0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	USE .	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3	1	1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1 0		0.25		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported > 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	
		-	2		0.5	10 /6	10.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	3.50	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
	1	Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 27.97

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0652	Type: Bedrock	Location:	NW of Burns Lake	- SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of	2		1	400/	
	Ranking	Development I	3 2		0.5	10%	0.0
		"			0.5		0.0
	A suife a Oleanification and		1 3	1		5%	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		1 0.5	376	0.0
	Ranking	C			0.5		
		C	1	1	0.20		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	1 3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
F.	Systems					15%	0.0
	Cyclemo	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	F :: 4 IB I :: 0 II	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
				<u>'</u>		Total	20.47

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0654	Type: Bedrock	Location:	NW of Houston at	nd west of Topley - SOI	•	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	I	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	Use Use	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1 none reported	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2 none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	0	1	10%	
		_	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.25		5.0
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/concerns reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0	0	0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
V	Water management planning	< 500	3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation				1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	2.5 23.20

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0658	Type: Bedrock	Location:	South of Bulkley River - SOP			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
			2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and		1 3		1	5%	0.0
C.	Ranking	Vulnerability A B	2		0.5	370	0.0
	- I amming	C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	· ·	1	15%	0.0
• •	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	.070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/5	< 2 none reported	1 0	1	0.25 0		1.3 0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2	11 .	0.5		0.0
12	100	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	29.45

Aquifer No	umber: 0672	Type: Bedrock	Location:	SW side of Fraser	Lake - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kalikilig	I	2		0.5	10 /6	0.0
		"	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	+	1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	- /-	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.30
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	Ü	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	7 326/3	< 2 none reported	1 0		0.25 0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		_	2		0.5		
		1 – 5 km²	1				0.0
		< 1 km ²		1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
J.	Groundwater	7 1000	3			10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	20.47

Aquifer No	umber: 0673	Type: Bedrock	Location:	South side of Fra	ser Lake - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5	12,7	
			1		0.25		0.0
	A surifice Olera (Grantian and	< 10 km ²	'		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		· II	2		0.5	.070	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.30
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25	I	0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
	Number of Departed Industria	none reported > 10	0	0	0 1	5%	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	3 2		0.5	5%	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	+	1	10%	0.0
••	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	.070	0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.5		0.0
		none reported	Ö	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Orodridwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	28.2

Aquifer N	umber: 0674	Type: Bedrock	Location:	NW side of Fraser	Lake - SOP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	II	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	Ti .	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.30
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
	USE .	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1570	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	none reported > 10	0 3	0	0	5%	0.0
0.	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5	070	0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
п.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0		0.0
٥.	Groundwater					10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and		3	1	0.25		2.5
r.	future regulation	Delity plantied	3			10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	22.97

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0675	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Dunatter Lake - So	OP		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	T Carming	II	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		iii	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aguifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	 	1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.30
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	1	0.25	15%	
٠.	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	,	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/5	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0		0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
l.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	20.47

Aquifer Nu	ımber: 0678	Type: Bedrock	Location:	South and southy	vest of Francois Lake -	SOP	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		· II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	> 5	3	'	1	15%	
• •	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66	1070	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0 1	400/	0.0
11.	Well Belisity	> 5 km ²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
		<u> </u>		• •		Total	25.94

Aquifer	Number: 680	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Victoria S of	Elk Lake, E of	Finlayson Ar	m
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of		H	1		0.0
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value	'	H			0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
	3	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
	Editorial Octobria	15.1. 04.17.			4	400/	
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1 0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	1	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	production wells,	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	``_	'		0.23		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
	E.C. L. I.B. L. L.C.	none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	os Sa by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
I/	Matermanagement	< 500	3	H	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		•	•	• •		Total	56.2

Aquifer	Number: 681	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Willis Point,	SE side of Saa	anich Inlet	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
-	Ranking	В	2		0.5	- 77	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0	50/	0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 - 10 <2	1		0.5 0.25		2.5
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	``_	'		0.23		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Joerved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		1	<u> </u>	11 *		Total	35.7

Aquifer No	umber: 0688	Type: Bedrock	Location:	East of Chetwynd	and north of Pine Rive	er - SOP	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Ranking	I	2	2	0.5	1076	0.0
		"			0.25		5.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	1 3	+	1	5%	0.0
C.	Ranking	Vullerability A B	2		0.5	370	0.0
		C	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	9	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply	Low < 32 L/s > 5	3	1	0.25	15%	2.5
	Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
	,	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g.	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	> 32L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	0 3	0	0 1	100/	0.0
	vven Bensity	> 5 km ²				10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality Issues/Concerns Reported	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by	none reported > 1000	0 3	0	0 1		0.0
J.	Groundwater	7 1000	3		'	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	25.94

Aquifer Nu	umber: 0689	Type: Bedrock	Location:	SE of Chetwynd a	nd south of Pine River	- SOP	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
	Kanking	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.3
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use		3		1	10%	0.0
	Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2 1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply		3	'	1	15%	0.0
	Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	and large production wells, e.g. > 32L/s	2 – 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	3223	none reported	0	0	0.25		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	
			2		0.5	1070	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		2			5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity and Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
		1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
	F	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	5.55/id/id/id/	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future regulation	Being planned	3		1 10%	10%	0.0
		Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		2.5
						Total	22.97

Aquifer	Number: 691	Type: Bedrock	Location:	East of Ash R	River		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	'	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	7	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.7
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Зирріу Зувієнів	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	1.g. 0. 0	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17	NA/-1	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	22.5

Aquifer	r Number: 696	Type: Bedrock	Location:	N. of Nichols	on; Stacey Ck	. Fan and Soເ	ıth
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
0.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value	· ·	<u> </u>			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	₁	0.66 0.33		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0	'	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	c.g. or object	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	H	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5	1070	5.0
			1		0.25		
	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	 	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5	1.570	0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	29.4

Aquifer	Number: 697	Type: Bedrock	Location:	East side of	Alberni Valley		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3 2		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	1		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	1.g. 0. 0.1	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	Ц	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
17	NA/-1	< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	38.7

A. Aquifer C Ranking C. Aquifer C Ranking D. Aquifer C Ranking E. Estimated Water Us F. Number C Supply Sy G. Number C Irrigation productio e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Qu Issues/Cc Reported J. Estimated	r Number: 698	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North shore	of Sproat Lak	e at Kleecoot	
B. Aquifer C Ranking C. Aquifer C Ranking D. Aquifer C Ranking E. Estimated Water Us F. Number C Supply S: G. Number C Irrigation productio e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Qu Issues/Cc Reported J. Estimated	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
C. Aquifer C Ranking D. Aquifer C Ranking E. Estimated Water Us F. Number C Supply Sy G. Number C Irrigation production e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Qu Issues/Cc Reported J. Estimated	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
C. Aquifer C Ranking D. Aquifer C Ranking E. Estimated Water Us F. Number C Supply Sy G. Number C Irrigation production e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Qu Issues/Cc Reported J. Estimated		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
C. Aquifer C Ranking D. Aquifer C Ranking E. Estimated Water Us F. Number C Supply Sy G. Number C Irrigation production e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Qu Issues/Cc Reported J. Estimated			1	1	0.25		
C. Aquifer C Ranking D. Aquifer C Ranking E. Estimated Water Us F. Number C Supply Sy G. Number C Irrigation production e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Qu Issues/Cc Reported J. Estimated	fer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Ranking D. Aquifer C Ranking E. Estimatec Water Us F. Number c Supply S G. Number c Irrigation productio e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Qu Issues/Cc Reported J. Estimatec		Development I	3		·	10%	0.0
Ranking D. Aquifer C Ranking E. Estimatec Water Us F. Number c Supply S G. Number c Irrigation productio e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Qu Issues/Cc Reported J. Estimatec		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
Ranking D. Aquifer C Ranking E. Estimatec Water Us F. Number c Supply S G. Number c Irrigation productio e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Qu Issues/Cc Reported J. Estimatec		III	1		0.25		0.0
D. Aquifer C Ranking E. Estimatec Water Us F. Number C Supply S G. Number of Irrigation productio e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Qu Issues/Co Reported J. Estimatec	fer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
Ranking E. Estimated Water Us F. Number of Supply St. G. Number of Irrigation production e.g. = or H. Well Densilosues/Congressions	king	В	2		0.5		0.0
Ranking E. Estimated Water Us F. Number of Supply St. G. Number of Irrigation production e.g. = or H. Well Densilosues/Congressions		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
E. Estimated Water Us F. Number of Supply St. G. Number of Irrigation production e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Quissues/Connected Reported	fer Classification and	Ranking Value					
F. Number of Supply St. G. Number of Irrigation production e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Quissues/Control Reported J. Estimated	ally	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
F. Number of Supply Street Sup	nated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
G. Number of Irrigation production e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Quilssues/Control Reported J. Estimated	er Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
G. Number of Irrigation production e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Quilssues/Control Reported J. Estimated		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0.	2.5
G. Number of Irrigation production e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Quissues/Control Reported J. Estimated	ber of Ground Water	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0
Irrigation productio e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Qualissues/Control Reported J. Estimated	ny dystems	2-5	1	1	0.88		0.0 5.0
Irrigation productio e.g. = or H. Well Dens I. Water Qualissues/Control Reported J. Estimated		none reported	0		0		0.0
H. Well Den: I. Water Quissues/Connected J. Estimated	ber of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
H. Well Den: I. Water Quissues/Congreted J. Estimated	ation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
H. Well Dens I. Water Qualissues/Connected J. Estimated	= or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
I. Water Quissues/Confederated		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
Issues/Co Reported	Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
Issues/Co Reported		1 – 5 km ²	2	2	0.5		5.0
Issues/Co Reported		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
Issues/Co Reported	er Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
J. Estimated	es/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	orted	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
Served by	nated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	ed by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
planning	er management ning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
regulation	lation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 32.4

Aquifer	Number: 699	Type: Bedrock	Location:	N sh of Sproa	at Lk; 8 km W	of Port Alber	ni
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	<u>'</u>	1	5%	0.0
-	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1	1	0.25		1.7
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value		<u> </u>			1.7
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	6	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	1	0.66 0.33		0.0 5.0
		none reported	0	'	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	o.g. 01 02/0	none reported	0	o	0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	<u> </u>	1	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	.070	0.0
		< 1 km ²	1	1	0.25		2.5
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	 	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
		•	•	• •		Total	23.9

Aquifer	Number: 701	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Eagle Point S	W of Kleecoo	t	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value		H			0.0
υ.	Ranking						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2		0.66 0.33		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	0.g. 01 0270	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	H	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1	1	0.25		2.5
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
rv.	planning and future	being planned	3		'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	33.5

Aquifer	Number: 702	Type: Bedrock	Location:	McCoy Lake;	W of Port Alb	erni	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	'	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10 /6	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0 1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. = or > 3L/s						0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3		0 1	400/	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	2	0.5		5.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3	-	1	10%	0.0
I.	Issues/Concerns	, , ,	2		0.5	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
		none reported	0		0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	13,0	0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	Dogsible	2		0.5	1070	0.0
	- Ogalation	Possible Unlikely	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 3.3
		Offinitely	<u>'</u>	1 1	0.20	Total	48.5

Aquifer	Number: 706	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Gabriola; No	rthern area		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3	3	'	10%	10.0
		II	2		0.5		0.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	-				0.0
D.	Ranking	Tranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	15	1.0 - 0.24	5%	3.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊑.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0 1	5%	0.0
0.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. = or > 3L/s						0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3	3	0 1	10%	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2]	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	< 1 km ² > 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
I.	Issues/Concerns	, , ,	2	2	0.5	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	1	-	0.5 0.25		5.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0.23		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5	13,0	5.0
		< 500	1		0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	10%	
	planning and future regulation	Dog-!hl-			0.5	1070	0.0
	- Ogalation	Possible Unlikely	2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0 0.0
			<u>'</u>	11	0.20	Total	61.1

Aquifer	Number: 708	Type: Bedrock	Location:	E side Alberr	i In; 8 km S d	of Port Albern	i
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	-	0.25		
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
O.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	070	2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
	Aguifer Classification and	Dankina Value	'				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking Value					
	9	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 - 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground Water Use	High > 64 L/s Medium 32 - 64 L/s	3 2		1	10%	0.0
	Water Osc	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3	<u>'</u>	1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0
		1	1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported Irrigation and large	> 10 2 – 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	production wells,	2 - 10 < 2	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	`~	'		0.20		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		$1 - 5 \text{ km}^2$	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0		0.0
J.	Served by Groundwater		-		-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5
IX.	planning and future	Doing planned			'	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	30.7

Aquifer	Number: 709	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Gabriola; exc	cluding north	ern portion	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Ь.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
٥.	Ranking	B	2		0.5	0,0	0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	15	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
∟.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5	10 /0	5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3		0	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	370	0.0
	production wells,	< 2	1	2	0.25		0.0
	e.g. = or > 3L/s				_		2.5
Н.	Mall Danaitr	none reported	3	1	0		0.0
п.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²					0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	'	1 (isolated) none reported	1 0		0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	3	0.5	1070	10.0 0.0
		< 500	1		0.5		0.0
K.	Water management	Being planned	3		1	400/	0.0
	planning and future					10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	<u> </u>	0.25	Total	0.0 66.1

Aquifer	Number: 710	Type: Bedrock	Location:	South Pender			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of		 	1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		•	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	Tranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0
	очры оужень	1	1		0.83		10.0 0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
l.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Solved by Gloundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
V	Water management	< 500	3	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	48.5

Aquifer	Number: 711	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North Pende	r; northern ar	rea	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²	1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		0.0
	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
		(0.0000 0.000 0.0000000)			1.0 0.21	0,0	311
E.	Estimated Current Ground	S .	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s Low < 32 L/s	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5 LOW < 32 L/S	3	1 3	0.25	15%	2.5 15.0
١.	Supply Systems	2-5	2		0.66	1370	0.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3	11	1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1	П	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		0.0 5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
		,	ı	11		Total	63.1

Aquifer	Number: 712	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North Pende	r; Port Brown	ing	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2] 2	0.5		5.0
		III	1	-	0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
-	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value	•				0.0
D.	Ranking	Ranking value					
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
⊏.	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2	2	0.66		10.0
		1	1		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	 	0 1	5%	0.0
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	370	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. = or > 3L/s						0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3		0 1	400/	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2	3	0.5	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	1		0.5		0.0
	144 4 0 474 00 474	< 1 km ²				100/	0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local) 1 (isolated)	2	2	0.5 0.25		5.0
		none reported	0		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 500	1	₁	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management	Being planned	3	<u> </u>	1	10%	
	planning and future	_			_	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1	11	0.25	Total	0.0 53.1

Aquifer	Number: 720	Type: Bedrock	Location:	North Pende	r; southern po	ortion	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3			10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	H	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3	1	1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	. = 0 /	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	2	1 0.66	15%	0.0
	Опрріу Оузістіз	2-5	1		0.88		10.0 0.0
		none reported	o .		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	1.g. 0. 0.1	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 500	1	Ц	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	52.6

Aquifer	Number: 721	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Saltspring Is	land; north p	art	
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	1	1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	14	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.3
Ε.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	c.g 01 > 0L/3	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	,	1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5	1070	0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
12	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	< 500	1	 	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	63.3

Aquifer	Number: 722	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Saltspring Is	; central part	; Ganges area	1
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		0.0
Б.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0
	-	II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	15	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25	. = 0 /	0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	3	1 0.66	15%	15.0
	опры оузств	2-5	1		0.88		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	g	none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	Ц	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3	3	1	10%	10.0
	oct ved by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
1/	Matarasasas	< 500	1	H	0.25		0.0
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2	2	0.5		5.0
		Unlikely	1		0.25		0.0
						Total	71.1

Aquife	r Number: 723	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Saltspring Is	land		
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
Α.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1		0.25		
	A . 'for Olare'f editor and	< 10 km ²					0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Degree of Development I	3		1	10%	0.0
		II	2		0.5		
					0.25		0.0
	A if Olasaifia atian and		1	1		F0/	2.5
C.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Vulnerability A B	3 2	2	1 0.5	5%	0.0 2.5
	T Carming	С			0.25		
		_	1		0.20		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and	Ranking Value					
	Ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
		(basea on 7 sub-lactors)	3 10 21		1.0 - 0.24	5 /6	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.33		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
О.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		
	e.g. = or $> 3L/s$						0.0
Н.	Well Density	none reported	3		0	400/	0.0
11.	Well Delisity	> 5 km ²	2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²	1	3	0.5		7.5
		< 1 km ²					0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality Issues/Concerns	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
		1 (isolated) none reported	1 0		0.25 0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3	 	1	100/	
٥.	Served by Groundwater		-		-	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000 < 500	2	1	0.5 0.25		0.0 2.5
Κ.	Water management	Being planned	3	 	0.23	_	2.3
	planning and future	Domy planned			·	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	51.2

Aquifer	r Number: 725	Type: Bedrock	Location:	s of Nicola R & Spius Cr con; W of Canford				
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score	
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km²	3		1	10%	0.0	
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0	
			1	1	0.25			
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5	
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		'	10%	0.0	
		II	2		0.5		0.0	
		III	1	1	0.25		2.5	
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	<u>'</u>	1	5%	0.0	
٥.	Ranking	B	2	2	0.5	0,0	2.5	
		С	1		0.25		0.0	
D.	Aguifer Classification and	Ranking Value					0.0	
D.	Ranking	Ranking value						
		(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	8	1.0 – 0.24	5%	1.9	
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5	1070	0.0	
		Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25		2.5	
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0	
	Supply Systems	2 – 5	2		0.66		0.0	
		1	1 0		0.33		0.0	
G.	Number of Reported	none reported > 10	3	0	0	5%	0.0	
G.	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5	5 /6	0.0	
	production wells,	< 2	1		0.25		0.0	
	e.g. = or > 3L/s						0.0	
	MALE December	none reported	0	0	0		0.0	
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0	
		1 – 5 km²	2	2	0.5		5.0	
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0	
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0	
	roportou	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0	
J.	Estimated Population	none reported > 1000	3	0	0	100/	0.0	
J.	Served by Groundwater				-	10%	0.0	
	,	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0	
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	0.25		2.5	
rx.	planning and future	being planned	3		'	10%	0.0	
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0	
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3	
		<u> </u>				Total	22.7	

Aquifer	r Number: 727	Type: Bedrock	ļı	Location:	Cherry Ck va	lley; SW of K	amkoops	
Item	Description	Measure		Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²		3	_	1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²		2	2	0.5		5.0
		< 10 km ²		1		0.25		0.0
B.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of		3		1	10%	
	Ranking	Development		-		0.5	10%	0.0
			 III	2	2	0.25	<u> </u>	5.0
			111	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability	Α	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	E	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		(С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value						
	Kanking	(based on 7 sub-facto	ors)	5 to 21	12	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.9
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s		3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/	/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s		1	1	0.25		2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water	> 5		3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5		2		0.66		0.0
		1		1	1	0.33		5.0
		none reported		0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10		3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10		2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2		1	1	0.25		
	c.g. – 01 × 3L/3	none reported		0		0		0.0
Н.	Well Density	•		3		1	10%	
	VVCII Delioity	> 5 km ²		2		0.5	10%	0.0
		1 – 5 km²		1	2			5.0
		< 1 km ²				0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)		3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)		2	2	0.5		5.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)		1		0.25		0.0
		none reported		0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000		3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000		2		0.5		0.0
		< 500		1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned		3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible		2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely		1	1	0.25		3.3
					•		Total	39.9

Aquifer	r Number: 729	Type: Bedrock	Location:	South Thetis Island				
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score	
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0	
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0	
			1	1	0.25			
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5	
ъ.	Ranking	Development I	3	3		10%	10.0	
		II	2		0.5		0.0	
		III	1		0.25		0.0	
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3	3	1	5%	5.0	
	Ranking	В	2		0.5		0.0	
		С	1		0.25		0.0	
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value						
	Italikilig	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	13	1.0 – 0.24	5%	3.1	
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0	
	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5	
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2		1 0.66	15%	0.0	
	Опры Оумств	2-5	1	₁	0.88		0.0 5.0	
		none reported	0		0		0.0	
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0	
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0	
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1	1	0.25		1.3	
		none reported	0		0		0.0	
H.	Well Density	> 5 km²	3	3	1	10%	10.0	
		1 – 5 km ²	2		0.5		0.0	
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0	
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0	
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0	
		none reported	0		0		0.0	
J.	Estimated Population	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0	
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0	
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5	
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0	
	regulation	Possible	2	[]	0.5		0.0	
	_	Unlikely	1		0.25		3.3	

Item	Description	Measure	+				
Α.		mouduic	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 10 km ²	1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	Degree of			1		2.5
٥.	Ranking	Development I	3		•	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	realiting	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	10	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.4
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
		Low < 32 L/s	1		0.25		0.0
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5	3		1	15%	0.0
	Supply Systems	2 – 5 1	2	2	0.66 0.33		10.0
		none reported	0		0.55		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large	2 – 10	2		0.5		0.0
	production wells, e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
	J.	none reported	0	0	0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns	2 to 3 (local)	2		0.5		0.0
	Reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0	0	0		0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater	> 1000	3		1	10%	0.0
	Served by Groundwater	500 - 1000	2		0.5		0.0
		< 500	1	1	0.25		2.5
K.	Water management planning and future	Being planned	3		1	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25	Total	3.3 38.2

Aquifer	Number: 731	Type: Bedrock	Location:	Thetis Island			
Item	Description	Measure	Point Scale	Points Assigned	Weighting Factor	Maximum Weighting	Score
A.	Aquifer Area	> 50 km ²	3		1	10%	0.0
		10 – 50 km²	2		0.5		0.0
			1	1	0.25		
В.	Aquifer Classification and	< 10 km ² Degree of			1		2.5
В.	Ranking	Development I	3		•	10%	0.0
		II	2	2	0.5		5.0
		III	1		0.25		0.0
C.	Aquifer Classification and	Vulnerability A	3		1	5%	0.0
	Ranking	В	2	2	0.5		2.5
		С	1		0.25		0.0
D.	Aquifer Classification and Ranking	Ranking Value					
	ranking	(based on 7 sub-factors)	5 to 21	11	1.0 – 0.24	5%	2.6
E.	Estimated Current Ground	High > 64 L/s	3		1	10%	0.0
	Water Use	Medium 32 - 64 L/s	2		0.5		0.0
	11 1 10 1111	Low < 32 L/s	1	1	0.25	450/	2.5
F.	Number of Ground Water Supply Systems	> 5 2 – 5	3 2	3	1 0.66	15%	15.0 0.0
	Cupply Cyclomic	1	1		0.33		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
G.	Number of Reported	> 10	3		1	5%	0.0
	Irrigation and large production wells,	2 – 10	2	2	0.5		2.5
	e.g. = or > 3L/s	< 2	1		0.25		0.0
		none reported	0		0		0.0
H.	Well Density	> 5 km ²	3	3	1	10%	10.0
		1 – 5 km²	2		0.5		0.0
		< 1 km ²	1		0.25		0.0
I.	Water Quantity &Quality	> 3 (regional)	3		1	10%	0.0
	Issues/Concerns Reported	2 to 3 (local)	2	2	0.5		5.0
	reported	1 (isolated)	1		0.25		0.0
J.	Estimated Deputation	none reported > 1000	3		<u> </u>	46	0.0
J.	Estimated Population Served by Groundwater				•	10%	0.0
		500 - 1000	2		0.5 0.25		0.0
K.	Water management	< 500 Being planned	3	1	1		2.5
13.	planning and future	Doing planned			•	10%	0.0
	regulation	Possible	2		0.5		0.0
		Unlikely	1	1	0.25		3.3
						Total	53.5