82 K/2 1:50,000 CANADA EDITION 2 82 K/2



Produced by the SURVEYS AND MAPPING BRANCH, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES. Updated from aerial photographs taken in 1976. Culture check 1977. Information current as of 1977.

Copies may be obtained from the Canada Map Office, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Ottawa, or your nearest map dealer.

© 1979. Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada. Department of Energy, Mines and Resources.

Roads: Routes:

		dual highway 2 directional separate roadways	more than 2 lanes more de 2 voies	2 lanes 2 voies	less than 2 lanes moins de 2 voies
hard surface, all weather ..... pavée, toute saison					
hard surface, all weather ..... pavée, toute saison					
loose or stabilized surface, all weather ..... gravier, aggloméré, toute saison					
loose surface, dry weather ..... de gravier, temps sec					
unclassified streets ..... rues hors classe					
cart track ..... de terre					
trail, cut line or portage ..... sentier, percée ou portage					

FOR COMPLETE REFERENCE SEE REVERSE SIDE POUR UNE LISTE COMPLÈTE DES SIGNES VOIR AU VERSO

LARDEAU

KOOTENAY LAND DISTRICT

BRITISH COLUMBIA

Scale 1:50,000 Échelle

Miles 1 0 1 2 3 Milles

Metres 1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 Mètres

Yards 1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 Verges

Information concerning location and precise elevation of bench marks can be obtained by writing to the Geodetic Survey, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Ottawa.

On peut obtenir des renseignements sur le lieu et l'altitude exacte des repères de nivellement en écrivant aux Levés géodésiques, Direction des levés et de la cartographie, Ottawa.

CONVERSION SCALE FOR ELEVATIONS

ÉCHELLE DE CONVERSION DES ÉLÉVATIONS

Metres 30 20 10 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 Mètres

Feet 100 50 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000 Pieds

CONTOUR INTERVAL 100 FEET

Elevations in Feet above Mean Sea Level

North American Datum 1927

Transverse Mercator Projection

ÉQUIDISTANCE DES COURBES 100 PIEDS

Élévations en pieds au-dessus du niveau moyen de la mer

Système de référence géodésique nord-américain, 1927

Projection transverse de Mercator

Établie par la DIRECTION DES LEVÉS ET DE LA CARTOGRAPHIE, MINISTÈRE DE L'ÉNERGIE, DES MINES ET DES RESSOURCES. Mise à jour à l'aide de photographies aériennes prises en 1976. Vérification des ouvrages en 1977. Renseignements à jour en 1977.

82K2,GRZ

GRIZZLY BEAR BIOPHYSICAL CAPABILITY CLASSIFICATION

For the Purcell Wilderness Conservancy and Adjacent Area (82F/15 and 16, 82K/1, 2, 7 and 8)

1. Explanatory Notes

Introduction

This project was initiated in 1985 as a reconnaissance-level inventory of the big game and their habitats for the map sheets that include the Purcell Wilderness Conservancy. This project was part of a continuing biophysical inventory of the East Kootenay (see Demarchi 1986 a and b; Lea 1984). In 1988 portions of three map sheets to north and northeast of the wilderness conservancy that had been mapped in 1984 were resurveyed. This project includes identification and mapping of surficial materials, biogeoclimatic zones, biophysical habitat units and grizzly bear and wild ungulate capability ratings. Each theme has been coded, digitized and stored using a main-frame, intergraph system (CAPAMP).

Seasonal Ranges and Their Use

In the Kootenays, grizzly bear habitat use can be divided into four seasons (Fuhr and Demarchi 1990). Spring ranges are used immediately after hibernation, high quality, succulent vegetation growing on snow-free areas are required. Summer ranges vary in habitat quality, but are most typically moisture-rich sites, such as, floodplains, wetlands, avalanche tracts (especially the run-out zone), and alpine meadows. Sites that also provide abundant rodent prey are also heavily used. Fall ranges are those sites which provide an abundance of high energy foods, such as berries or spawning fish, these are usually found in the moisture-rich sites that occur in the Montane Spruce and the moist, southern interior Cedar-Hemlock forests. Denning sites are usually in deeper soils on cool aspects where the snow cover is persistent throughout the winter.

Fieldwork

This project encorporates fieldwork from three wildlife biophysical projects. In June and July, 1981 fieldwork was conducted by Dennis Demarchi (Wildlife Biologist) and Ted Lea (Plant Ecologist), from a four-wheel-drive truck, in Toby, Brewer, Lower Findlay and Doctor Creeks. In August 1985, fieldwork was conducted by Dennis Demarchi, Bob Maxwell (Pedologist) and Chris Clement (Plant Ecologist), from a helicopter, in the major valleys in map areas 82F/15 and 16 and 82K/2 and the Purcell Wilderness Conservancy portion of map area 82K/1, 7 and 8. In August 1988 fieldwork was conducted by Dennis Demarchi and Bob Maxwell, from a four-wheel drive truck, in Glacier, Toby, Brewer, Doctor and Lower Findlay and Dutch Creeks. As well, in November 1988 fieldwork was conducted by Dennis Demarchi and Brian Fuhr (Wildlife Biologist), from a two-wheel-drive truck, in the St. Mary River watershed.

Grizzly Bear Capability Classification

This map presents a capability classification for grizzly bears using a biophysical mapping methodology (Demarchi and Lea 1987; Fuhr *et al.* 1988; Fuhr and Demarchi 1990). The biophysical mapping approach used here is a step-wise process beginning with the two most fundamental needs of wildlife - food and cover. Areas of land judged to have differences that are significant to grizzly bear management are designated as map units. Mapping for this project is considered as general in nature and as such is presented at a scale of 1:50 000. The biophysical units on this map are based on bedrock geology, landforms, surficial materials and soil parameters that were defined by B. Maxwell and J. Ryder (see Maxwell and Demarchi 1987), and climate and vegetation parameters that were defined by C. Clement (see Clement 1986), that were used to define units of ecological significance to the wildlife of this area.

The capability of the land to support grizzly bears is based on the long term ability of that land to meet all of their habitat needs (Fuhr and Demarchi 1990). In terms of food and cover requirements, the ratings are based on the optimum vegetational (successional) stage that can be maintained for the good of the species. Management prescriptions are limited to: prescribed burning or grazing; prescribed logging or slashing; or, protection from any land use practice that is detrimental to grizzly bears.

The grizzly bear carrying capacity estimates used in this project are provincial-level values (Fuhr and Demarchi 1990). Carrying capacity estimates are density estimates and are expressed as the number of square kilometres of habitat required to support one grizzly bear. As the number of square miles of habitat require to support one bear increases the value of that habitat decreases. Carrying capacity values are represented on the map by a capability class rating of from high to nil (see Boxes 4 and 5).

This capability classification reflects on the biological and physical parameters of the environment and does not take into account social and economic factors. Also, the classification does not reflect present land use (except where the inherent capability has been permanently altered), ownership, degree of access, current wildlife management practices nor hunting pressure.

2. Example of a Map Symbol

Importance Rating

H s p, f M s

Season of Use

This example would be interpreted as follows:

A unit which has a high capability as spring and fall use and moderate capability for summer use by grizzly bears.

3. Seasons of Use

sp	spring	Areas used immediately after hibernation during green-up.
s	summer	Areas used during the period from full leaf flush to berry ripening.
f	fall	Areas used during the period from berry ripening until denning occurs.
a	annual	Areas used through the active season (from spring to fall) excluding the denning period.
d	denning	Areas used for denning (this category was not identified for this project).

4. Capability Classes

Symbol	Class	Description
H	High	Lands in this class have high to very high capability to support grizzly bears during assigned seasons.
M	Moderate	Lands in this class have moderate capability to support grizzly bears during the assigned seasons.
L	Low	Lands in this class have low capability to support grizzly bears during the assigned seasons.
N	Nil	Lands in this class have no capability to support grizzly bears.

5. Biophysical Grizzly Bear Capability Class Carrying Capacity Estimates

The value of habitat is expressed as amount of land that is required to support one grizzly bear (Square Kilometres/Grizzly Bear) (after Fuhr and Demarchi 1990).

Symbol	Class	Density
H	High	5 Square Kilometres / Grizzly Bear
M	Moderate	15 Square Kilometres / Grizzly Bear
L	Low	45 Square Kilometres / Grizzly Bear
N	Nil	Habitat is unsuited for Grizzly Bear use.

6. References

Clement, C.J.E. 1986. Habitat Units of the Purcell Wilderness and Surrounding Area. Parks and Outdoor Recreation Division, B.C. Ministry of Crown Lands, parks and Housing, Kamloops, B.C. Editorial Draft.

Demarchi, D.A. 1986 a. Biophysical Resources of the East Kootenay Area: Wildlife. MOE Technical Report 22, British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Wildlife Branch, Victoria, B.C. 134pp.

Demarchi, D.A. 1986 b. 345 Million Years in the Trench. Pages 5-10. in C. Purdy and J. Halleran (compilers). Proceedings, Rocky Mountain Trench Wildlife Conference. November 29, 1986. British Columbia Wildlife Federation, Kimberley, B.C. 70pp.

Demarchi, D.A. and E.C. Lea. 1987. Biophysical Habitat Classification in British Columbia: An Interdisciplinary Approach to Ecosystem Evaluation. 2 pages. Symposium on Land Classification Based on Vegetation Applications for Resource Management; Moscow, Idaho, U.S.A. November 17-19, 1987.

Fuhr, B.L. and D.A. Demarchi. 1990. A Methodology for Grizzly Bear Habitat Assessment in British Columbia. Wildlife Bulletin No. B-67. British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Wildlife Branch, Victoria, B.C. 28pp.

Fuhr, B.L., E.C. Lea and L. Lacelle. 1988. Grizzly Bear Habitat Units of the Lower Flathead Valley. British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Wildlife Branch, Victoria, B.C. Maps 82G/1 and 2, scale 1:50 000.

Lea, E.C. 1984. Explanatory Legend for the Purcell Study Area, Vegetation Maps. MOE Working Report 5. British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Surveys and Resource Mapping Branch, Kelowna, B.C. 45pp.

Maxwell, R.E. and D.A. Demarchi. 1987. A Summary of the Biophysical Resource Values of the Purcell Wilderness Conservancy and Adjacent Areas Project. Surveys and Resource Mapping Branch and Wildlife Branch, British Columbia Ministry of Environment and Parks, Victoria, B.C. Editorial Draft 30pp.

7. Credits

Mapped by: D.A. Demarchi Date Mapped: 1988

Field Work: 1981, 1985, 1988

Date and Scale of Photography: 1981, 1980; 1:40, 000

Date of Base Mapping: Surficial Geology - 1988 Soils - Vegetation - 1988 Habitat - 1988

Drafted by: Surveys & Resource Mapping Branch (CAPAMP)

Date Draft: 1990

Date Revised:

Base Map provided by: Surveys & Resource Mapping Branch B.C. Ministry of Environment, Victoria, B.C.

1990 Edition

82K02 Biophysical Capability Classification GRIZZLY BEAR

J511