

**Table 8
Evaluation of Eagle Rock
Groundwater Quality Ambient Network**

Network Name :		EAGLE ROCK						
Aquifer Numbers:		193 (Osoyoos West), 194 (Osoyoos east)						
Monitored Since:		July 13, 1987						
Footprint Area:		7.8 km ²						
Number of Obs wells:		6 wells at 6 sites						
Number wells in WRA:								
Aquifer Classification:		353 IA (rank 14)						
Parameters ≥ GCDWQ:								
Contaminants of Concern:								
				Template				
Network Objective		Measurement Criteria		Current Status	Evidence of Change	Response Options	Comments	
1	Spatial and Depth Coverage	a.	background well(s)		a.	destroyed wells	a.	no response/change
		b.	coverage in areas of suspected impacts		b.	new wells available to monitor	b.	repair or re-drill
		c.	coverage of all indicated spatial mode areas		c.	land use change and potential new water quality threats	c.	replace with existing nearby
		d.	coverage of hydraulically isolated formations		d.	accidents/spills	d.	new dedicated well(s) in identified areas of concern
					e.	open/closed loop geothermal	e.	reduce # wells in urban areas where GW no longer used
						f.	add wells to monitor temperature, pH and conductivity	
2	Suite of Chemistry Parameters and Lab Methods	a.	indicator parameters capable of identifying existing/potential threats		a.	variance in detection limits	a.	no response/change
		b.	ability for anion/cation balance		b.	temperature and water level changes	b.	add/delete parameters
		c.	continuity of historical parameters				c.	more comprehensive suite
		d.	consistent suite of parameters				d.	modify suite in areas of land use change from rural to urban
		e.	new parameters reflect emerging lab methods and recs. by Kohut (2009)				e.	dataloggers for temperature, pH and conductivity (surrogates)
		f.	surrogate monitoring methods					
3	Sampling Frequency for Network + Wells of Importance	a.	consistency in suite of parameters		a.	inconsistent frequency	a.	no response/change
		b.	duration frequency for primary and secondary priority wells		b.	frequency declining	b.	prioritize primary/secondary sites and frequency
		c.	sampling for seasonal variation		c.	# wells monitored decreasing	c.	less frequency for background wells, or in wells that have consistent temporal water quality
					d.	insufficient data for analysis of outliers and trends		
4	Field Methods QA/QC Data Validation	a.	field sampling + handling protocols		a.	increased frequency of errors	a.	no response/change
		b.	QA/QC lab results		b.	single sample event errors	b.	adherence to field protocols
		c.	cation/anion balance				c.	EMS results reviewed quickly so that sample re-testing still possible
		d.	QA/QC data entered in EMS				d.	regular analysis/validation to identify outliers/trends
		e.	identify statistical outliers					
5	Spatial and Temporal Analysis and Reporting	a.	visual outliers and spatial/temporal trends		a.	trends and gaps exist	a.	no response/change
		b.			b.	land use change related to an identified trend/spike in chemistry	b.	communicate with health authority
							c.	communicate with water users
							d.	communicate with planners