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#### Abstract

Buckley Lake was sampled September 8-10, 2003 by Skeena Region Fisheries staff in an effort to address: 1) rainbow trout life history characteristics; and, 2) provide advice to Skeena Region Protected Areas staff on the sensitivity of the population to angler exploitation. Buckley Lake is a small, remote lake within Mt. Edziza Provincial Park. The lake outlet drains over a major waterfall barrier into the lower reaches of the Klastline River. Buckley Lake was deemed barren of fish following an inventory by BC Fish and Wildlife staff in 1982 (Miller and Davidson, 1982). BC Parks staff received reports of large rainbow trout being captured in the lake beginning in the early 1990's. It is speculated that a local trapper illegally stocked the lake with a local stock of wild rainbow trout in the mid 1980's.

Buckley Lake is accessible by a short fixed wing flight from both Iskut (Tatogga Lake Resort) and Telegraph Creek or by foot or horseback along the Telegraph Trail. Buckley Lake currently provides a highly prized fishery for abundant large rainbow trout captured on conventional surface and littoral angling gear. Buckley Lake offers this unique angling experience due in most part to a high abundance of invertebrate forage, as well as, productive juvenile and adult rearing habitat. Analysis of stomach samples from Buckley Lake rainbow trout indicate that they are feeding almost exclusively on zooplankton (Amphipoda \& Cladocera). Buckley Lake provides a relatively long growing season and high nitrogen -to- phosphorus ratio relative to other small wilderness Skeena Region lakes. However, Buckley Creek (outlet stream) provides limited spawning habitat for the system, and therefore constrains juvenile recruitment to the lake. Subsequently, fish densities are suspected to be low and a major contributor to conditions that result in exceptional growth rates for rainbow trout; especially given its latitude and elevation. Fishing mortality is expected to be very low for Buckley Lake under the current effort and harvest conditions. Maximum angler effort fro Buckley Lake is estimated to be conservatively set at 3,900 angler days following methods presented by Cox and Walters (2002). However, a limit of 2,000 angler days is recommended for compliance with stated Stikine Country Management Plan goals. Increased certainty on maximum sustainable effort and harvest levels would result from more rigorous sampling for rainbow trout mortality, harvest, density and recruitment estimates. Recommendations for monitoring the fishery are also presented.
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### 1.0 Introduction

Buckley Lake rainbow trout stock assessment was initiated to support the need to..."investigate the current population structure of the [rainbow] trout within Buckley Lake"...as outlined in the Buckley Lake Management Area, Management and Key Strategies section of the Stikine Country Management Plan (Anonymous, 2003). This plan also states the need to monitor angling pressure on this population. These items have been raised in the Stikine Management plan due to the unique character of the Buckley Lake fishery. Therefore, the goals for this study were to:

- describe the life history characteristics and population structure for the rainbow trout of Buckley Lake, its outlet and tributaries; and,
- generate science based recommendations to the Skeena Region, Protected Areas Section on how to monitor and manage angler effort in a sustainable manner in accordance with Stikine Country Management Plan.

In order to achieve the stated goals, the following objectives were established:

1. collect biological samples (age, length, weight, maturity) from adult and juvenile rainbow trout from both fluvial and lacustrine habitats;
2. conduct qualitative habitat assessments and juvenile densities from accessible fluvial portions of the watershed in an effort to locate and describe areas of recruitment production;
3. collect environmental (water quality) samples from the lake; and,
4. identify and describe the forage base for lake rearing rainbow trout.

### 1.1 Background

Buckley Lake was initially inventoried in September, 1982 by Miller and Davidson of the BC Fish \& Wildlife Branch and concluded that the lake was barren of fish following standard reconnaissance inventory gill netting procedures. BC Parks, Skeena District became aware of the presence of large rainbow being captured in the lake following anecdotal reports of local residents and subsequent publication of photos advertising remote, fly-in angling opportunities in the Stikine Management Area in the early 1990's. Interviews conducted with local Parks operational and management staff, past and present local conservation officers, float plane and helicopter pilots, as well as, the guide outfitter, place the illegal stocking of the lake in the mid 1980's. It is speculated that a resident trapper moved wild rainbow trout from a local lake into Buckley Lake by way of a float plane. This would have placed approximately 10 years between the founding event and detection by BC Conservation Officer Service and Parks Branch authorities.

### 1.2 Study Area

Buckley Lake is located in Mt. Edziza Provincial Park, approximately 46km WNW of the town of Iskut (Figure 1). Descriptions of Buckley Lake and its geologic and bio-physical setting can be found in the Stikine Country Management Plan (2003) and the lake inventory report completed by Miller and Davidson (1982). Besides the absence of fish in the lake, Miller and Davidson inventory report noted the abundance of invertebrates
and waterfowl, as well as, numerous fish passable beaver dams in the lake outlet. Physical and chemical summaries for Buckley Lake as a result of the Miller and Davidson (1982) inventory are presented in Tables 1 and 2.


Figure 1: Buckley Lake location map.

Buckley Lake tributaries are comprised of one outlet stream (Buckley Creek), four major inlet tributaries and several seasonal or subsurface tributaries. Most of the seasonal subsurface tributaries appear to be associated with a lobe of the lava flow that meets Buckley Lake on its eastern shore (Figure 2).

Table 1: Summary of Buckley Lake physical parameters (BC Fisheries Data Warehouse; Miller \& Davidson 1982).

| BC Watershed <br> Code | Elevation <br> $(\mathrm{m}$ a.s.l.) | Lake Area <br> (ha) | Littoral <br> Area (ha) | Lake <br> Perimeter $(\mathrm{m})$ | Volume <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | Mean <br> Depth $(\mathrm{m})$ | Max. <br> Depth $(\mathrm{m})$ | No. of <br> Outlets | No. of Inlets <br> (permanent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $660-185500$ | 835 | 568.16 | 170.73 | 16353 | 74110100 | 13 | 27 | 1 | 5 |

Table 2: Summary of chemical parameters collected at Buckley Lake by Miller and Davidson, 1982.

| pH | TDS | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | Secchi Depth <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.9 | 100 | No Odour | 1.5 |

### 2.0 Methods

### 2.1 Access and Camp Amenities

A project crew of five, camp supplies, two inflatable boats, outboard motors and fuel were transported to a campsite located on the north end of Buckley Lake (Figure 2) in three 45 km round trips by DeHavilland Beaver from the Harbour Air float plane base located at Tatogga Lake. The pilot was Doug Beaumont who had previous experience angling, and transporting anglers into Buckley Lake. The MWLAP Park facility was moderately developed with a dock, pit toilet, metal food storage box and an open area suitable to land a helicopter. The public camp site is located directly adjacent to a guide outfitter camp operated by the Creyke family. The Creyke private camp is comprised of two tent frames, a horse corral and a fire pit area. All sampling excursions during the September $7^{\text {th }}$ to $11^{\text {th }}, 2003$ assessment were based out of the aforementioned camp.


Figure 2: Detailed view of Buckley Lake, with gill net site (bent line), electrofishing (dots), minnow trapping (open circles), and plankton trawl (triangles) sample sites. Camp, waterfall and lava flow locations are also identified.

### 2.2 Habitat Assessment

### 2.2.1 Stream Habitat Sampling

Resource Inventory Standards Committee (RISC), Field Data Information System (FDIS) site cards were used to describe fluvial habitat at each stream site. Stream sites were composed of a minimum of 100 m lineal habitat. Overall fluvial habitat quality and quantity was estimated via remote sensing, aerial observations and by walking the more productive reaches of the streams. The fish and fish habitat assessment concentrated on Buckley Creek and the four major unnamed inlet streams with the objective of characterizing the most productive fluvial fish habitats in the watershed.

### 2.2.2 Lake Habitat Sampling

Lake bathymetry, shoreline, littoral, invertebrate, water chemical properties and oxygen/temperature profile was previously described by Miller and Davidson (1982).

### 2.2.3 Water Chemistry Sampling

Buckley Lake surface water samples were collected on September 11, 2003 from two sites for analysis of general water chemistry, as well as, standard total and dissolved metals. The field sampling and treatment protocol followed those outlined in the RISC publication Freshwater Biological Sampling Manual available at: http://srmwww.gov.bc.ca/risc/pubs/aquatic/freshwaterbio/freshwaterbio-05.htm\#4 The water sample analysis was completed by PSC Analytical Services in Vancouver and was summarised in a spreadsheet format for further analysis (Appendix III).

The lake oxygen/temperature profile was measured at mid-afternoon on September $8^{\text {th }}$ at the lakes deep hole using an Oxyguard ${ }_{\circledR}$ DO/Temperature meter fitted with a 30 m cable. Conditions were cloudy and calm.

### 2.2.4 Invertebrate Sampling

Vertical plankton hauls were collected from a depth of 15 m at three sites located in the west, central and eastern ends of Buckley Lake (Figure 2). Invertebrate hauls were gathered to provide basic information on pelagic invertebrate community composition, as well as, information on lake productivity and potential forage sources for rainbow trout. All samples were collected Sept. 10. A basic $32 \mathrm{~cm} \varnothing$ Wildco ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ tow net, with a mesh size of $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ was used. Samples were stored in a $10 \%$ formalin solution. The invertebrate field sampling and treatment protocol followed those methods outlined in the Resource Information Standards Committee (RISC) publication Freshwater Biological Sampling Manual available at:
http://srmwww.gov.bc.ca/risc/pubs/aquatic/freshwaterbio/freshwaterbio-05.htm\#4
Invertebrate sample analysis was completed by Fraser Environmental Services of Surrey BC. Invertebrates in the sample were separated and enumerated in the laboratory by family, genus and where possible species. The results from Fraser Environmental Services are provided in Appendix V.

### 2.3 Fish Sampling

### 2.3.1 Juvenile Sampling

Juvenile rainbow trout were captured using a Smith Root B12 back-pack electroshocker, pole seine ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~m} ; 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh) and angling in streams. A length stratified, sub-sample of approximately 50 juvenile rainbow trout captured in streams were anaesthetized in a water and clove oil/ethanol (ratio of 1:1000) bath and sampled for fork-length (mm), weight ( g ) and age (scale sample). A Tanaka® digital scale ( 500 g maximum) and spring scales were used to measure rainbow trout round weights. Scale samples were stored in coin envelopes. Attempts were made to sample all available habitat types within each sample site location.

### 2.3.2 Adult Sampling

Adult rainbow trout were captured by gill net and angling. Resource Inventory Standards Committee (RISC) standard 90m multi-panelled floating and sinking gill nets were
deployed from randomly chosen locations. Rainbow trout were angled at stream mouths and in pelagic habitats using a variety of angling techniques; trolling artificial flies, spoons and spinners behind an outboard or oar powered boat being the primary method deployed. All adult fish captured were measured for fork-length (mm), weight (g) and age samples. Gill net sampled fish were separated into $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}, 40-50 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 50+ cm length classes and a sub-sample of approximately 5-10 fish per length class were sampled for stomach contents. Stomach content samples were pooled by length-class and stored in a $10 \%$ formalin solution. White floy type anchor tags were applied to rainbow trout angled.

### 3.0 Results

### 3.1 Habitat Assessment

### 3.1.1 Stream Habitat

Seasonal tributaries identified by remote sensing were site inspected and either ground surveyed and included below, or discounted as providing insignificant production areas for rainbow trout. Although not observed in this survey, shore spawning attempts are reportedly occurring in early June on the northeast shore of the lake (Doug Beaumont pers. comm., Sept. 2004). The shore spawning attempts may be associated with upwelling of subsurface flows under the lava, or associated with seasonal small intermittent tributary flows.

### 3.1.1.1 Buckley Creek

Buckley Creek (i.e. lake outlet) is located at the northeast end of Buckley Lake and flows approximately 4.5 km over six reaches where it joins the Klastine River of the Stikine Watershed (Figure 1). Reach one, two and three were assessed on foot and from the air. Reach four, five and six were assessed only from the air due to the inability to land near the creek and due to the limited habitat values identified in these reaches during an initial aerial reconnaissance flight.

## Reach 1

Reach 1 was comprised of a low gradient meandering channel starting at the lake outlet and flowing through a sedge dominated wetland at a low gradient (<1\%) for approximately 500 m to a gradient break at Reach 2. The channel substrate was primarily comprised of fines, gravel with a minor component of cobble, providing marginal spawning habitat. In-stream cover was dominated by aquatic vegetation and periphyton growth. Overhead cover was provided primarily by extensive sedge growth that dominates the reach. A low density of woody debris in the stream channel was observed in Reach 1. Any of the wood that was recruited to the stream has come from patches of relic coniferous stands that were killed by historic beaver induced flooding events (Appendix I, Plate1). Assessment of historical air photos (Miller and Davidson 1982) and field observations indicated that much of the upper section of Reach 5 was influenced by beaver dams prior to the early eighties. Beaver dams appear to have not been prevalent in the last 20 years although remnants of the relic dams still persist in the upper section of Reach 1 (Appendix I, Plate 2). Active beaver developments were not observed in any part of the reach during this 2003 assessment.

High densities of freshwater shrimp (Hyalella azteca and/or Gammarus lacustrus) were observed in the upper section of Reach 1, although many of the juvenile rainbows were observed surface feeding on terrestrial invertebrates. In addition high densities of freshwater shrimp moult casts were observed in the upper section of the reach. It appeared that the floating exoskeletons had drifted downstream from the lake surface and accumulated in off channel alcoves. The majority of the exoskeletons where still intact indicating a relatively recent "mass moulting" event of the watersheds shrimp population(s).

## Reach 2

Reach 2 began approximately 1.5 km downstream of the lake outlet where the stream channel gradient shifted to about 4\% (Appendix I, Plate 3). This reach continued downstream in a relatively straight, pool riffle, channel morphology for approximate 1 km . The stream channel substrate was comprised of predominantly cobble and some minor gravel components that were limited for spawning potential. Similar to reach one, aquatic vegetation and periphyton growth was still extensive and over-head cover increased with the increased presence of large woody debris (LWD) due to the stream entering into a spruce stand. Willow and scrub birch was also prevalent along the shoreline. This reach had little spawning habitat and the prime rearing habitat was limited to the pools.

## Reach 3

Reach 3 of Buckley Creek is characterized by a low gradient meandering channel with excellent spawning and rearing fish habitat. A small low gradient tributary that drains a relatively large wetland complex enters Buckley Creek on the right bank near the middle of the reach. The wetland tributary complex had extensive sedge growth along its banks and the habitats appeared to be suitable for rearing juveniles (Appendix I, Plate 4). Downstream of the tributary confluence, Buckley Creek continued to flow at $1 \%$ average gradient for approximately 500 m to the reach break. The channel substrate was dominated by cobble and boulder with patches of gravel suitable for spawning. This lower section of Reach 3 had the highest quality and quantity of spawning habitat assessed in the watershed, yet it was only present in small patches and distributed over a lineal distance of only 200 m of stream. In-stream cover for rearing was excellent and was provided primarily by sedge, undercut banks and boulders (Appendix I, Plates 5 and $6)$.

## Reach 4

Reach 4 is the longest reach section of Buckley Creek that flows at an approximately 3 to $5 \%$ gradient for approximately 1 km . The habitat in this reach appeared to have limited spawning potential although some limited rearing habitat did appear to be available for fish use. These rearing habitats were mostly observed in the form of small pools developed by the boulder dominated stream channel and wood recruited from the coniferous dominated riparian sections of the reach (Appendix I, Plate 7).

## Reach 5

Reach 5 began where Buckley Creek became confined by steep bank head walls (Appendix I, Plate 8) and flowed at approximately $4 \%$ gradient for 1.6 km to a
spectacular columnar basalt cliff, where the creek spilled approximately 15 m prior to the confluence with the Klastine River. Spawning habitat was assessed to be very limited in this reach and rearing habitat was restricted to small pools formed by wood and boulders.

## Reach 6

Reach 6 was a short ( 0.65 km ) very steep section of stream that started at the base of the 15 m vertical falls and flowed downstream over large rocks and boulders to the Klastine River (Appendix I, Plate 9). There are no fish habitat values in Reach 6 of Buckley Creek.

### 3.1.1.2 Tributary 2

Tributary 2 was located on the central south shore of Buckley Lake (Figure 2). The stream is approximately 1 km in length and is comprised of three reaches. Based on remote sensing and aerial observations made during the reconnaissance flight, only Reach 1 was assessed in detail.

## Reach 1

Reach 1 was comprised of a short section of low gradient stream that began at Buckley Lake confluence and flowed 75 m over a $1.0 \%$ to $2.5 \%$ gradient stream channel where it ended at a 0.8 m high beaver dam. At the moderate flows observed during our assessment the beaver dam was assessed to be a barrier to upstream and/or downstream migration of all age classes of rainbow trout. Excellent overhead stream cover was provided by dense willow growth near the stream channel. Instream cover was dominated by cobble and undercut banks. Very little, if any, spawning habitat was observed (Appendix I, Plate 10).

Freshwater shrimp were observed in the lower 30 m of Reach 1 , which was the lowest gradient section of the reach.

## Reach 2

Reach two was comprised of a complex of ponds created by beaver dams. The ponds were difficult to sample with electro-fishing gear, but no fish were observed feeding on the surface. The ponds were relatively deep and diverse and were not typical of preferred rearing habitats utilized by rainbow trout.

## Reach 3

Reach 3 was a small low gradient braided channel that drained (possibly seasonally) to the beaver pond complex in Reach 2. Aerial observations and remote sensing indicated limited fish habitat in Reach 3 and therefore was not assessed on the ground.

### 3.1.1.3 Tributary 3

Tributary 3 is a 110 m section of moderate to low gradient stream that flows out of a small unnamed headwater lake to the southwest arm of Buckley Lake (Figure 2). The stream had two reaches both of which contained excellent rearing habitat. The unnamed lake was not assessed in detail, but despite observing many terrestrial insects on the lakes surface, not a single fish was observed feeding (Appendix I, Plate 11).

## Reach 1

Reach 1 begins at the Buckley Lake tributary inlet and flows over excellent 2\% to 3.5 \% gradient juvenile rearing habitat for approximately 25 m . At this point the channel changes to a 0.75 m high bedrock cascade to form the first reach break. A small amount of habitat was assessed to be suitable for spawning at the gravel delta formed by the stream at its inlet to the lake (Appendix I, Plate 12).

## Reach 2

Reach 2 extended 80 m upstream from the bedrock cascade to the tributary outlet at the north end of an unnamed lake. The rearing habitat was assessed to be excellent with complex, deep pools that have extensive in stream and overhead cover (Appendix I, Plate 13). A limited amount of habitat suitable for spawning was dispersed sporadically throughout Reach 2 but it appeared not to be utilized due the complete lack of young of the year (YOY) fry captured during fish sampling.

### 3.1.1.4 Tributary 4

Tributary 4 was a short low gradient drainage located on the south western arm of Buckley Lake (Figure 2). The stream was initially identified for assessment using remote sensing techniques. Field investigations on September 9 identified a wetland complex drainage area dominated by extensive sedge growth and an undefined stream channel. The tributary was evaluated as having limited fish habitat values and consequently no further assessments were completed.

### 3.1.1.5 Tributary 5

Tributary 5 is a low gradient stream that drained a small basin that flowed into the south western arm of Buckley Lake (Figure 2). The stream channel in the lowest section meandered through a wetland complex with extensive sedge growth along its undefined banks. The water was stagnant, very tannic and was assessed not typical of key rearing habitats typically utilized by rainbow trout (Appendix I, Plate 14). Electrofishing conducted in the complex 400 m upstream from the lake captured no fish.

### 3.1.2 Lake Habitat

### 3.1.2.1 Water Chemistry Sampling

Analysis of water samples revealed a relatively high total nitrogen to phosphorus ratio for the two surface samples collected. Sample Site 1 at the central west end of Buckley Lake had a nitrogen to phosphorus ( $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{P}$ ) ratio of $14: 1$, whereas Site 2 at the central north east end of Buckley Lake had a $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{P}$ ratio of 17:1 (Table 3). The $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{P}$ ratio derived from a surface water sample collected by Miller and Davidson in 1982 was lower at 10:1. It's important to note that the lab documents from the1982 sample indicated that the sample "arrived frozen and was too long in transit". This may indicate sampling error and possibly contribute to observed differences from the 2003 sample.

Secchi depth was measured at 3.55 m in 2003, and 1.5 m in 1982 (Miller and Davidson 1982). Applying Carlson's (1977) trophic state index (TSI) calculation for Secchi depth measurements ( $\mathrm{TSI}_{\text {secchi depth }}=60-14.4 \mathrm{In}$ (secchi depth), places Buckley in the mesotrophic class for the 2004 measurement (TSI = 41.7), and in the eutrophic class (TSI=54.17) for Miller and Davidson (1982) result. Applying the 2004 total phosphorus result in Carlson's TSI, Buckley Lake is classed as eutrophic (TSI = 51.5).
pH measured at Buckley Lake's four tributary sample sites and two limnology sample sites was relatively high ( 8.7 pH and 8.6 pH ), whereas tributary samples ranged from very high levels of 9.3 at site 1 and 12 in Buckley Creek down to 8.1 pH at site 3 located in Tributary 2 (Table 3).

Table 3: Nitrogen, phosphorus and pH from Buckley Lake, Buckley Creek and inlet tributaries.

|  | Lake Sample |  | Tributary Samples |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 1 | Site 12 | Site 3 | Site 4 |
| Total Nitrogen $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | 0.36 | 0.46 |  |  |  |  |
| Phosphorus Total $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I})$ | 0.025 | 0.027 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{P}$ ratio | $14: 1$ | $17: 1$ |  |  |  |  |
| pH | 8.7 | 8.6 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 8.1 | 8.7 |

Calcium levels in the water chemistry samples were considered moderate at $13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ when compared to $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ calcium levels measured at Morchuea Lake (Miller and Davidson $1982_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) and $12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in Lakelse Lake (Cleugh1978). Additional water quality parameters were analysed for baseline data collection purposes and are summarized in Appendix II.

The early September profile of water temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and dissolved oxygen (DO mg/l) revealed that Buckley Lake was stratified and is typical of eutrophic lakes (Wetzel 1975). The thermocline, measured at the temperature inflection point, was located at 12 m from the surface. The epilimnion provides near optimal rainbow trout rearing temperatures and dissolved oxygen levels in September (Figure 3). The hypolimnion however, has anoxic conditions below 12 m and could not sustain rainbow trout below this depth.

Applying the Osgoode Index (mean depth/(surface area) ${ }^{0.5}$; Cooke et al. 1993) for classifying lakes functional aspects of lake morhpometry and the frequency and extent of summer mixis, Buckley is classed as a dimicitc lake (Osgoode score: 5.493); meaning it fully mixes twice a year, usually in spring and fall.


Figure 3: Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; open squares) and dissolved oxygen (DO $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$; dark circles) profile for Buckley Lake, September $9^{\text {th }}, 2003$.

### 3.1.2.2 Invertebrate Sampling

Seven species, two orders and one unidentifiable group of invertebrates were identified in the three hauls conducted. Invertebrate abundance and density was dominated by Cyclops scutifer, (including nauplii) and Diaptomus ashlandi were the second most abundant taxa observed (Table 4). Of note in the sample results is the absence of the Hyalella azteca in the pelagic hauls compared to their abundance in the gut samples of rainbow trout, indicating benthic or littoral feeding behaviour of Buckley's larger rainbow trout.

Table 4: Total and mean abundance, \% abundance and density (invertebrates $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) of pelagic invertebrates sampled from 15 m deep net hauls in the west, central and eastern basins of Buckley Lake, September 2003.

| Invertebrate Taxa | Total |  |  | Mean ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abundance | \% Abundance | Density/m ${ }^{3}$ | Abundance | \% Abundance | Density/m ${ }^{3}$ |
| Nauplii (cyclops larvae) | 36,379 | 18.4 | 33,414 | 12,126 | 18.4 | 11,138 |
| Cyclops scutifer (adult) | 1,841 | 0.9 | 1,691 | 614 | 0.9 | 564 |
| Cyclops scutifer (copepodid) | 121,526 | 61.5 | 111,620 | 40,509 | 61.0 | 37,207 |
| Cyclops scutifer combined | 159,746 | 80.9 | 146,724 | 53,249 | 80.4 | 48,908 |
| Diaptomus ashlandi (adult) | 3,334 | 1.7 | 3,062 | 1,111 | 1.7 | 1,021 |
| Diaptomus ashlandi (copepodid) | 12,640 | 6.4 | 11,610 | 4,213 | 6.8 | 3,870 |
| Diaptomus ashlandi combined | 15,974 | 8.1 | 14,672 | 5,325 | 8.5 | 4,891 |
| Heterocope septentrionales (adult) | 306 | 0.2 | 281 | 102 | 0.2 | 94 |
| Daphnia middendorffiana | 1,178 | 0.6 | 1,082 | 393 | 0.6 | 361 |
| Gammarus lacustrus | 40 | 0.0 | 37 | 13 | 0.0 | 12 |
| Coelenterata* | 4,393 | 2.2 | 4,035 | 1,464 | 2.2 | 1,345 |
| Conochilus sp | 5,060 | 2.6 | 4,648 | 1,687 | 2.7 | 1,549 |
| Euchlanis sp. | 15 | 0.0 | 14 | 5 | 0.0 | 5 |
| Kellicottia longispina | 10,699 | 5.4 | 9,827 | 3,566 | 5.3 | 3,276 |
| Keratella cochlearis | 80 | 0.0 | 73 | 27 | 0.0 | 24 |
| Unidentified | 19 | 0.0 | 13 | 6 | 0.0 | 6 |
| TOTAL | 197,510 | 100.0 | 181,406 | 65,837 | -- | 60,470 |

${ }^{\dagger}$ mean of three hauls

### 3.2 Fish Sampling

### 3.2.1 Rainbow Trout Stomach Content Analysis

Eight separate invertebrate species (seven identified to order) were identified in each of the three fish sample length classes (Table 5). Vertebrates were absent from the stomach samples.

The diversity of invertebrate species identified in the stomach samples decreased significantly as the length and age class increased (Table 5, Figure 4). The stomach contents of 30 cm to 40 cm length class fish consisted primarily of water fleas (Cladocera sp.) and freshwater shrimp (Amphipods), but also revealed limited feeding on copepods (Copepoda) and Tricoptera. The stomach contents of length class 41 cm to 50 cm fish consisted of predominantly on water fleas, freshwater shrimp and copedods with minor occurrences of flies (Diptera), snails (Mollusca), and spiders (Araneae). Length class 51-60 cm fish appeared to have shifted all their feeding, at the time of our sampling, to freshwater shrimp. The freshwater shrimp species identified were predominantly Hyalella azteca (99.8\%) with minor occurrences of Gammarous lacustrus (0.2\%).

Table 5: Frequency and percent composition of invertebrates analyzed from Buckley Lake rainbow trout stomach samples stratified by 30-40, 40-50, and $50-60 \mathrm{~cm}$ fork length (FL) classes collected, September 2003.

| Invertebrate Order | $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}$ |  | $40-50 \mathrm{~cm}$ |  | 50-60 cm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | \% | Frequency | \% | Frequency | \% |
| Amphipoda | 577 | 15 | 1400 | 36 | 2713 | 100 |
| Copepoda | 64 | 2 | 717 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| Moluska | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 |
| Araneae | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.03 | 0 | 0 |
| Cladocera | 2938 | 79 | 1742 | 45 | 0 | 0 |
| Tricoptera | 148 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diptera | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 3727 | 100 | 3869 | 100 | 2713 | 100 |



Figure 4: Percent frequency composition of invertebrates sampled from stomach contents for $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}, 40-50 \mathrm{~cm}$, and $50 \mathrm{~cm}+$ fork-length classes of Buckley Lake rainbow trout sampled September 2003.

### 3.2.2 Rainbow Trout Growth

### 3.2.2.1 Condition

Buckley Lake rainbow trout exhibited exceptional growth. Fulton's condition factor (K; Ricker 1975) calculated for rainbow trout greater than 200mm fork length was 1.32. When compared to rainbow trout in Barrett Lake, a stocked lake near Houston BC, ( $K=1.11$ ) and the mean wild rainbow in Skeena Region lakes ( $K=1.08$; unpublished data on file), the significantly higher condition of Buckley's rainbow is apparent (Figure 5). Round weight ( g ) of Buckley rainbow trout can be predicted using the equation presented in Figure 6.


Figure 5: Mean and standard error bars of Fulton's condition factor for Buckley Lake rainbow trout sampled September 2003, Barrett Lake rainbow trout sampled September, 2001 and Skeena Region rainbow trout ( $n=542$ ) collected from lakes throughout R6 between 1997-1999.


Figure 6: Length (mm) vs. weight (g) for Buckley Lake rainbow trout captured September 2003. Exponential formula for predicting Buckley Lake rainbow trout weight from length is presented. Barrett Lake rainbow trout growth is presented in grey for comparison.

Slope $(\beta)$ of linear regression analysis between log length and log weight of rainbow trout captured in Buckley Lake and tributaries demonstrates higher condition factor for
fish less than 450 mm and the total sample, whereas fish greater than 200 mm and greater than 450 mm were lower (Table 6).

Table 6: Summary of $\beta$ (slope) derived from linear regression analysis of logLength ( mm ) vs. logWeight ( g ) of rainbow trout captured in Buckley Lake \& tributaries, September 2003.

| Length Class <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathrm{L}_{\log } \cdot \mathrm{W}_{\log }$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| all RB | 3.05 |
| $R B>200$ | 2.96 |
| $R B<450$ | 3.05 |
| $R B>450$ | 2.62 |

### 3.2.2.2 Growth

Von Bertalanffy growth parameters generated using a Walford plot (Ricker 1975) with all age classes included for $K$ and $L_{\infty}$ were found to under estimate growth, whereas a more accurate estimate of $K$ was obtained using the Walford plot excluding $0+$ age class and maximum length ( $\omega^{\prime}$ ) used as $\mathrm{L} \infty$ (Figures 7 \& 8).


Figure 7: Walford plot of rainbow trout captured at Buckley Lake and tributaries, September 2003. Linear regression equation and $\beta$ estimate presented. Linear regression line projected forward to assist with determining $\mathrm{L}^{\infty}(760 \mathrm{~mm})$. Dashed line represents allometric (1:1) growth.


Figure 8: Age-at-length plot and Von Bertalanffy growth curves for all rainbow trout (dark circles) captured in Buckley Lake, September 2003. Von Bertalanffy growth curves generated by Walford plot $K$ and $\mathrm{L} \infty$ (Walford dark grey) and maximum length $\mathrm{L}_{\boldsymbol{\prime}}$ ( (light grey).

Table 7: Summary Von Bertalanffy growth parameters generated by Walford plot and maximum length (from Figure 8).

|  | $\beta$ | $K$ | $\mathrm{~L} \infty$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L $\infty^{\prime}, 0+$ excluded | 0.713 | 0.338 | 780 |
| Walford | 0.8074 | 0.214 | 760 |

Walford plot slope $(\beta)$, growth rate $(K)$ and asymptotic length $\left(L_{\infty}\right)$.

### 3.2.3 Rainbow Trout Population Structure

### 3.2.3.1 Age and Length Frequency: Stream Samples

Rainbow trout captured in Buckley Lake inlets and outlets demonstrated a declining trend in abundance as age increases (Figure 9). Buckley Creek's (i.e. lake outlet) catch was dominated by $0+$ rainbow trout young-of-the-year (YOY), followed by 1+, and 2+ juveniles respectively. Young of the year fry were absent from the catch of inlet tributaries with the exception of tributary three, where $1+$ juveniles dominated the catch followed by $2+$ and $3+$ fish. These results indicate that the outlet stream is the primary spawning area for Buckley Lake rainbow trout. Inlet streams appear to provide minor spawning habitat and rearing habitat for 0+ juvenile trout.


Figure 9: Age-frequency histogram (percent) for rainbow trout captured in Buckley Creek (outlet) and all inlet tributaries (pooled sample). Percentage values presented calculated using total catch from all tributary samples.

The outlet catch was dominated by rainbow trout less than 110mm, whereas rainbow over 140mm were present in lower abundances (Figure 10). Juvenile rainbow ages were clearly separated when superimposed on the outlet catch length frequency histogram (Figure 10). This indicates that either there is high over-wintering mortality of $0+$ YOY rainbow trout or, the majority of the rainbow trout recruit to the lake following one year rearing in the outlet tributary. The gill net catch results presented in the next section indicate it is the latter rather than the former.


Figure 10: Length frequency histograms ( mm ) of rainbow trout captured in Buckley Creek (lake outlet) and inlet tributaries (combined). Age-atlength information is depicted by solid horizontal bars

The inlet catch was dominated by larger juvenile rainbow (>160mm), with YOY fry comprising a minor portion. Discrimination of age classes in the inlet streams was only possible for $0+$ and $3+$ fish, where fish aged at $1+$ overlapped with $2+$ (Figure 10). The high length variation of $1+$ fish in the inlets could be due to pooled inlet fish data, where fish densities and growing conditions were likely variable between streams.

### 3.2.3.2 Length and Age Frequency: Lake Samples

Gill net catch results were dominated by 1+ and 2+ rainbow trout, whereas angling captured $3+$ fish in the greatest proportion (Figure 11).


Figure 11: Age frequency histogram (percent) for rainbow trout (RB) captured in by gill net ( $\mathrm{n}=67$ ), angling ( $\mathrm{n}=20$ ), all rainbow trout captured (gillnet, angling, electro-fishing, lake and tributary sampling; $n=180$ ) and gill net and angling combined ( $n=87$ ).

The length frequency plots for gill net and angling catch results indicate an apparent size selectivity of each method; where the gill net captured primarily short, young fish and angling long, old fish (Figures 11 \& 12). The total catch (All RB), as well as, the gill net and angling catch combined length frequency results also provide an indication of the length and age at which survival decreases, which usually corresponds with age-atmaturity.


Figure 12: Length frequency histogram (mm) of rainbow trout captured by angling (white bars) and gill net (grey bars) in Buckley Lake, September 2003.

### 3.2.3.3 Biomass

Total biomass for the Buckley Lake rainbow trout catch, from all capture methods, was 95.6 kg . Percent biomass (g) presented over length classes demonstrated that the greatest concentration of biomass was in fish greater than 350 mm with peak biomass occurring at the 601-650 mm length class (Figure 13). The initial peak at 350-400 mm class may be due to gill net selectivity for that particular size class of fish.


Figure 13: Percent biomass of rainbow trout by fork length classes (mm) captured from Buckley Lake, September 2003.

### 3.2.4 Rainbow Trout Mortality

Rainbow trout instantaneous mortality ( $Z$ ) estimates were generated by applying Ricker's (1975) catch curve. Fishing mortality is expected to be a minimum component of the
total mortality for Buckley Lake due to its remote location and low angler effort. Therefore, the observed estimate of $Z$ may also represent natural mortality ( $M$ ). Total catch ( $Z=0.56$ ), gill net catch ( $Z=0.63$ ) and gill net and angling catch combined ( $Z=0.52$ ) generated similar mortality estimates (Figure 14). Gill net and angling combined represent mortality of fish susceptible to the fishery.

Mortality increases substantially with the onset of reproduction ( $\geq 2 \mathrm{yrs}$; Figure 14). Fish appear to spawn or ripen for spawning 1-3 times prior to death.


Figure 14: Natural log of rainbow trout catch vs. age for the total catch of rainbow trout (upper), gill net catch (middle) and angling and gill net catch combined (lower). Linear regression equation is presented for each, where slope ( $\beta$ ) is an estimate of instantaneous mortality (Ricker 1975).

### 3.2.5 Rainbow Trout Maturity

Maturity assessments on fish captured proved to be difficult with many specimens examined. Examples of fish from all length classes demonstrated variable levels of gonadal development; however, fish between the 300-400 mm length-class represented the major category of inconsistencies in the interpretation or existence of gonadal development (Table 8). Also of note was the apparent lack of gonadal development for large fish (Plate 1). As a result, patterns on the age- or length-at-maturity are unclear, with the exception of: 1) immature fish were shorter and younger than other maturity classes; and, 2) spawn bound fish were longer and older than all other maturity classes (Figure 15; Table 8).

Table 8: Summary of maturity assessments resulting from internal examinations for rainbow trout captured at Buckley Lake, September, 2003. Results are presented by fork length ( mm ) and age (years) classes for condition factor (Fulton's K), mean, standard error (SE), median, minimum (min.) and maximum (max.).

| Maturity Condition | n | Fulton's K | Length (mm) |  |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | mean | SE | median | min. | max. | mean | SE | median | min. | max. |
| unknown | 35 | 1.33 | 379.4 | 23.1 | 375 | 130 | 770 | 2.4 | 0.23 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| immature | 192 | 1.28 | 132.9 | 6.1 | 88 | 56 | 470 | 0.7 | 0.07 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| maturing | 18 | 1.33 | 406.1 | 25.7 | 375 | 275 | 645 | 2.8 | 0.22 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| mature | 11 | 1.27 | 334.4 | 39.9 | 285 | 200 | 640 | 1.9 | 0.31 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| spawnbound | 6 | 1.31 | 563.3 | 34.7 | 542.5 | 485 | 710 | 4.5 | 0.62 | 4.5 | 2 | 6 |
| spent | 0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |



Plate 1: Photograph of undeveloped gonads in a 770 mm rainbow trout captured in Buckley Lake, September, 2004.


Figure 15: Mean fork length (columns with standard error bars) and mean age for maturity classes of rainbow trout captured at Buckley Lake, September, 2003.

Evidence of age at first spawning as interpreted from scale reading provides a view consistent with that found from internal fish examinations. Rainbow trout as young as $1+(n=1)$ were identified as showing signs of stress consistent with maturity; however, the majority of scales with discernable past spawning checks were identified at ages two ( $n=7$ ) and three ( $n=5$ ). Two scale samples were interpreted as having the first spawning check at age four. Only one scale was identified as repeat spawning.

### 4.0 Discussion

At present, Buckley Lake provides a rare and exceptional fishing experience for anglers, with large (>50cm), healthy and abundant rainbow trout in a remote wilderness setting. Anecdotal evidence indicates that this fishery has existed for at least six years, but likely much longer. Without historical fisheries samples it is not possible to describe recent trends in the population structure. However, it is likely that the population has reached equilibrium and is producing the maximum number of adults given current habitat limitations. Fishing mortality $(F)$ is also assumed to be very low. Based on the results of the field studies conducted to date, Buckley Lake appears to be a highly productive lake relative to other high-latitude lakes and appears to be limited by the availability of phosphorus (P). Fish production (i.e. fish abundance) is constrained by limited amounts of spawning habitat. The habitat assessment identifies that spawning habitat for the entire population was restricted to small sections of the lake outlet. The limited amount of spawning habitat appears to be a major factor influencing abundance of fish, their growth and mortality characteristics.

Forage for the rainbow population is varied in their early life history, but the larger maturing fish are very dependant on the freshwater shrimp population especially as fall approaches. The lakes' abundant shrimp population coupled with the limited juvenile recruitment to the lake creates a unique and rare population of rainbow trout for this area, characterized by rapid growth and large maximum size.

Freshwater shrimp appear to be widely available to fish based on fish stomach content analysis. Observations of high densities of moulted shrimp exoskeletons dispersed along the lakes leeward shoreline (Plate 2) and in the lake outlet slough's indicates an abundant population. Relatively high nitrogen levels stimulate growth of aquatic vegetation observed throughout the lake and may also encourage high levels of primary algal production events (algal blooms) that are reported to seasonally frequent Buckley Lake (D. Beaumont pers. comm., Sept. 2004). Both of these plant forms are favoured forage for shrimp (Newman, 2004) and act as a source of calcium, necessary for crustacean exoskeleton development. Elevated calcium concentrations and pH levels were present in the lake water quality results and are possibly related to geothermal influences and contributing to the high invertebrate abundances.


Plate 2: Photograph of freshwater shrimp (Hyalella azetca and/or Gammerus lacustrus) moulting casts (light brown) observed along the shore of Buckley Lake at the confluence of Tributary 2. Note aquarium dip net in foreground.

### 4.1 Sustainable Angler Effort

Based on the helicopter over flight and ground assessments, spawning and 0+ juvenile rearing habitat is limited to the first 1500 m of stream downstream of Buckley Lake. With an average wetted width of 7.4 m over the same distance, $11,100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of stream habitat is available. Field crews walked the lower 1000m of the channel and estimate between 5$10 \%$ of the channel was composed of substrates suitable for spawning. This estimate, although crude, results in $555 \mathrm{~m}^{2}-1110 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of available spawning habitat. Using estimates of required area for rainbow trout ( $2.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2} /$ pair), larger Gerrard rainbow trout ( $14 \mathrm{~m}^{2} /$ pair) and steelhead trout ( $21.9 \mathrm{~m}^{2} /$ pair), between 25 and 396 pairs of spawning fish may be accommodated in Buckley Creek (Table 9; Hartman 1969, Giroux and Witt 2000). Due to the larger size of the Buckley Lake rainbow trout, the spawning area requirements may be closer to estimates for Gerrard rainbow or steelhead, rather than rainbow trout. Therefore, a small proportion of mature rainbow trout appear to be able to utilize quality spawning habitat, while many mature trout are excluded. Under these conditions, the high occurrence of spawn bound fish observed in September is not surprising. The existence of limited spawning area is also corroborated by observations of high concentrations of "...large, coloured rainbow..." (Doug Beaumont, pers. comm., Sept. 2004) in the portion of the lake closest to the outlet, and what has been described
as shore spawning behaviour. The latter rainbow trout are likely attempting to relieve the stress of spawning by any means available. Lake shore excavated redds would only be effective under very unique conditions of shore upwelling or at an inlet-lake confluence with adequate gravel composition (Scott \& Crossman 1974). These conditions were not assessed in the field. However, should adequate conditions exist they would only likely contribute a marginal amount of recruitment.

Although limited for spawning, fry rearing habitat was considered excellent in the outlet stream and likely results in exceptionally high juvenile survivals and recruitment to the lake and fishery of $0+$ and $1+$ rainbow.

Table 9: Summary of available spawning habitat ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) and spawning pair estimates for Buckley Creek.

| Species Race | Req'd Spawning Area/Pair ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | Buckley Cr. Total Available Habitat ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) $(1,500 \mathrm{~m} \times 7.4 \mathrm{~m})$ | Spawning Area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ Estimate |  | Estimated No. of RB Pairs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 5\% | 10\% | 5\% | 10\% |
| Rainbow *** | 2.8 | 11,100 | 555 | 1110 | 198 | 396 |
| Gerrard |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rainbow ${ }^{++}$ | 14 | 11,100 | 555 | 1110 | 40 | 79 |
| Steelhead *** | 21.9 | 11,100 | 555 | 1110 | 25 | 51 |

*** Giroux \& Witt 2000, +++ Hartman 1969.
Cox and Walters (2002) modeled optimum fishing effort relative to maximum sustainable yield (MSY), as well as, relationships between effort and exploitation. Because Buckley Lake is assumed to have a low recruitment, Cox and Walters (2002) results for a low production system (i.e. low number of spawners/recruits $K=3$ ) appear to be: 1) more biologically meaningful; and, 2) are more conservative. Optimum fishing effort levels were reported as $9.4 \pm 2.4$ ( $\pm$ standard deviation) angler days/ha; expressed as total angler days for Buckley Lake ( 568 ha), 5,339 potential angler days (AD) may be expended to reach maximum yield and avoid stock declines, whereas the lower limit estimate places effort at 3,976 AD/yr. The lower estimate of potential angler days shall be used to account for uncertainty associated with applying generalized models (Sean Cox, pers. comm., Nov. 2004).

At present, the Buckley Lake fishery is presumed to be experiencing very low fishing mortalities $(F)$ due to its remote location and subsequent low effort. Cox and Walters (2002) set maximum optimum $F\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\text {opt }}\right)$ at 0.33 for low productivity lakes. To monitor $F$ in the future, and assuming $F$ will increase with an increase in effort, instantaneous mortality differences from future estimates compared to 2004 estimate may be useful (i.e. $Z_{2004}-Z_{i}=F$ ) given data are collected in a similar manner.

Considering the bathymetry and dissolved oxygen profile characteristics of Buckley Lake, the area of the lake where rainbow appear to be feeding and are therefore vulnerable to conventional angling gear may be reduced to the area above the 6 m contour (107 ha; Miller and Davidson, 1982) or the area above the thermocline (12m contour - 150 ha; estimated). Anecdotal information collected from Doug Beaumont and the Stikine Country Protected Areas Advisory Committee members indicates that much of the June and July angling effort is focused on the littoral habitat located in the western basin and the bay near the outlet in particular. A fishery focused on congregating fish, especially aggressive ripe, spawnbound or kelts increases their vulnerability to anglers.

Therefore, catchability rates for Buckley Lake rainbow may exceed those observed by Cox \& Walters (2002) during the peak of the fishery effort, and may make the population more vulnerable to over exploitation if general optimum levels are applied over the entire lake surface. Reducing vulnerability to over-harvest can be addressed through a number of options, including angling regulations that reduce angler efficiency (e.g. area closures, gear or boat restrictions) or by adjusting optimal effort ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{opt}}$ ) to reflect the area of the lake utilized by fish and anglers.

Assuming the littoral area of the lake (i.e. the area above the 6 m contour; 107 ha ) is the area in which fish are vulnerable, an optimum effort level of 392 angler days (AD)/year results. The E $\mathrm{E}_{\text {opt }}$ increases to $650 \mathrm{AD} / \mathrm{yr}$ expanding the vulnerable area to the 12 m contour. Completion of a creel survey, with a spatial analysis component should be conducted, especially during the spawning period, to substantiate the claims of a localized fishery prior to acceptance of this highly conservative approach.

### 4.2 Rainbow Trout Catch and Release Mortality

Numerous anecdotal reports have been received by Skeena Region Protected Areas staff that rainbow trout angled in Buckley Lake during July and August were difficult to revive following capture and release, and in many cases were suffering immediate post angling release mortality. These reports were confirmed by observations made by the local Conservation Officer in Dease Lake (Dale Ryan, pers. comm. Aug. 2004) in July of 2004. Beyond internal examinations of rainbow trout conducted by the COS and Regional Fisheries staff indicating a lack of parasite infestations, there is very little physical data available from the time of noted mortalities to assist in determining what the contributing factors are. Therefore, discussion on the possible causes for the observed mortalities must be limited to speculation and literature review.

High water temperatures and associated reduced oxygen levels common during prolonged hot and calm summer weather, combined with an physiological stresses associated with angled fatigued fish and the possibility of algal blooms in the uppermost surface waters of Buckley Lake appear to be the most likely contributors to the observed rainbow trout mortalities. Buckley Lake is eutrophic; with conditions characterized by the clear separation of upper layers of warmer, oxygenated water (epilimnetic) from cooler, anoxic water conditions in the hypolimnion at the thermocline during the warm, open water season (Wetzel 1975). Rainbow trout mortality following angling was not noted in Buckley Lake during the early September sampling in 2004. At that time, water temperatures were $14{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the surface and dissolved oxygen was a uniform $10.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ from the surface to the thermocline at 12 m deep (Figure 3); conditions well below the upper maximum temperature ( $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and above dissolved oxygen ( $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ will cause death) thresholds for rainbow trout (from, Deas and Orlob, 1999). It is possible that the uppermost layers of Buckley Lake could approach, or exceed the upper temperature threshold during extended clear, hot, calm, high pressure summer conditions. In controlled laboratory experiments, Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) suffered post angling and exercise mortalities as high as $40-60 \%$ respectively when exposed to temperatures as high as $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during and after exercise (Wilkie et al. 1996, Wilkie et al. 1997). Mortality of post-exercised Atlantic salmon was also shown to be positively correlated with water softness (Kieffer et. al 2001); that is, as water hardness increased, post recovery rates were shorter and survival rates increased. Buckley Lake's water hardness is lies between Kieffer et al.'s (2001) 40\%-0 \% mortality class. Wydoski et al. (1976) also noted lower recovery times and greater stress for larger rainbow trout
following exposure to five minutes of hooking stress. Combined with these factors, fish handling techniques can also have a serious negative effect on post-angled recovery and survival.

Ferguson and Tufts (1992) demonstrated that rainbow trout exposed to air (i.e. removal of the fish from the water) following exercise for a 30 second period, such as for a photo or examination, increased post angling mortality rates by $26 \%$, and as high as $60 \%$ for periods of one minute above the control ( $12 \%$ mortality rate of fish exercise and not removed form the water). Therefore, without mixing action of the lakes upper layers by wind and wave action, temperature levels may become elevated due to aforementioned weather conditions. Angled fish will generate what could be described as a physiological debt due to struggling to avoid capture with larger fish, taking longer to land, create a greater debt and are slower to recover. Buckley Lakes water hardness although not low, is not optimal for fish recovery making rapid movement of angled fish from the deep cooler water to the warm surface appears to create difficult if not impossible conditions for revival of some fish.

Concomitant with high water temperatures, seasonal blue-green algal blooms, a toxin to fish, may exist in concentrations capable of causing fish mortality. This situation could be exacerbated during periods of little surface water mixing common in hot, calm weather conditions. Extreme algal blooms may also be contributing to reduced oxygen levels at the surface of the lake during periods of darkness and early morning hours due to reduced photosynthesis. This process may be more extreme during the fall season, when BOD levels are expected to be higher while photosynthesis is reduced by shorter daylight periods.

The increased physiological stress imposed on the angled rainbow trout following capture, combined with high surface water temperature, reduced oxygen levels and the possibility of blue green algae contamination appear to be the most plausible causes for the observed angler induced mortality of rainbow trout. At present angling effort levels and estimates of instantaneous mortality ( $Z=0.52$ ), there does not appear to be a necessity to intervene with special angling regulations to avoid excessive catch and release mortalities. Angler education combined with a monitoring program will assist in reducing unnecessary mortalities and understanding the processes and environmental conditions that are causing the observed fish mortalities. Recommendations to address the latter issues are presented in Section 5 of this report.

### 5.0 Recommendations

### 5.1 Fishery Management

Presently, the Skeena Region Protected Areas Section is limiting angler effort by restricting angling guide activities and limiting float plane access through park-use permit conditions (Larry Boudreau, pers. comm., June 2004). Increasing plane landings and providing allocations of guided angler days to guides along with accommodation facilities may be considered in the future. Therefore, biologically sustainable exploitation limits for the fishery are required.

Assuming Buckley Lake's current rainbow trout recruitment rate, growth and lake productivity conditions are maintained, the rainbow trout fishery appears to be able to
theoretically support a greater amount of exploitation relative to suspected current levels while maintaining attributes of a high quality fishery (i.e. high CUE of $>50 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{RB}$ ).
However, establishing a precise estimate of the amount of sustainable exploitation is not possible given the available data. Collection of the data necessary to determine the latter is not cost effective considering current levels of use. Therefore, application of theoretical models predicting rainbow trout angling effort and sustainable exploitation will allow the establishment of a base exploitation rate, which can be subsequently monitored to determine population response to the fishery. The base exploitation rate must conform with the general management direction for aquatic ecosystems within the Stikine Country Protected Areas Management Plan (BC WLAP 2003) of: 1) ensuring the natural functioning of fish populations; and 2) to provide a range of recreation angling opportunities that has low impacts on fish populations. Therefore, harvest and fishing mortality should be managed well below estimates of maximum sustainable yields. To achieve this, the following guidelines and actions are recommended.

### 5.1.1 Fishery Exploitation

- In order to comply with general management direction for the Stikine Country Protected Areas Management Plan (see above Section 5.1) and account for uncertainties associated with utilization of the general theoretical optimal effort model (Cox \& Walters 2002), a 50\% reduction of the theoretical optimal effort from 4000 to 2000 angler days/yr should be adopted as the upper exploitation limit; a more conservative limit of 650 AD/yr may be adopted should creel survey data indicate angler spatial bias (i.e. only angle 100 ha of lake area) and increased fish vulnerability due to spawning activity or spawnbound fish exists.


### 5.1.2 Fishery Monitoring \& Evaluation

- A ground based roving and exit (camp) creel survey designed by the Skeena Region Fish and Wildlife Science and Allocation staff and completed over the open water angling season (late May - early Sept) should be completed to determine:
- angler effort (expressed as angler days/ha)
- angler catch rate
- fork length of rainbow in catch
- fork length and age of harvested rainbow
- gear type(s)
- angler demographics from provincial angling licence (age, origin, gender)
- angler perceptions of the fishery, wilderness experience
- angling methods
- spatial and temporal distribution of anglers
- condition of fish angled (e.g. maturity, mortalities, etc.)
- compliance of 1) anglers with regulations; and, 2) commercial permit holders
- temporal surface water quality trends (temperature, DO, Secchi depth, chlorophyll a - algae concentrations)
- Failure in the ability to implement a creel survey as described above, Park Use Permits (PUP) issued for Buckley Lake access should include the following:
- a log is to be kept by pilots, guides and/or visitors to the lake with the following recorded and submitted to Protected Areas Staff at the end of the permit period or visit: 1) dates angled; 2) hrs/day angled; 3) no. of RB captured; 4) max. length (fork length) of the days catch; and 5) number, and lengths of fish harvested.
- Log books including instructions and data forms should be produced in cooperation with Protected Areas and Fish \& Wildlife Science and Allocation Staff and distributed to PUP holders and visitors by Skeena Region, Protected Areas Section.
- Skeena Region, Protected Areas Section should ensure compliance with data quality, capture and collection.
- Skeena Region Fish and Wildlife, Science and Allocation Section (F\&W) should provide assistance in the development of the log book data management \& analysis.
- Trends in angler effort (rod days), catch per unit effort (CUE), maximum catch length and harvest rates should be monitored and summarized annually. Analysis and evaluation will be completed every 5 years. Recommendations for adjustments to angling regulations, effort and harvest should follow each 5-year review. Significant changes observed in the fishery may require additional field sampling.
- To examine the relationship between water quality and post angling mortality, it is recommended that water temperatures are continuously monitored at various depths throughout a season, data temperature loggers (Stowaway® TidBits) should be deployed at $0.5 \mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{~m}$ and 10 m depths each year the camp is opened and removed at the seasons end in October. Loggers could be attached to anchored and labelled Scotchman type floats and suspended at the required depths. Logger anchor lines would be deployed in the center of the lake (i.e. deep hole), and at the 18 m and 6 m contour ( 6 m deep line would not have a 10 m logger) of the western portion of the basin to determine spatial variation in water temperature. Angler reports of post angled fish mortality from either the creel or log book process will be correlated to recorded water temperatures.
- Buckley Creek and Buckley Lake should also be sampled by MWLAP Fisheries staff during late May -to- early June to determine:
- spatial distribution of spawning rainbow trout in Buckley Creek, and other inlet streams with potential spawning habitat (e.g. inlet \# 3);
- estimate of abundance (e.g. counts) of spawning rainbow trout in Buckley Creek, as well as, inlets;
- existence and extent of reported shore spawning behaviour; and,
- length and age distribution by gender of spawning rainbow trout.
- The estimate of $F(o p t)$ used in this report could be refined to accurately describe the Buckley Lake rainbow trout population through the determination of actual recruitment and survival estimates by way of collecting detailed juvenile densities in the streams known to produce rainbow fry.


### 5.1.3 Education

- An information pamphlet and camp site notice board shall be produced to accompany the monitoring log book. The following are suggestions for content:
- Goals, objectives and justification for the Bulkley Lake fishery management and monitoring plan;
- Notification and instructions pertaining to sampling activities (i.e. water temperature data loggers, co-operation with creel technicians);
- ethical catch and release practices including specific references to angler induced mortality due to hooking injury and water quality issues (e.g. water temperature \& oxygen thresholds, sensitivities to algal blooms); indications of fish stress;
- Buckley Lake regulations summary; and,
- Bear Aware information specific to fish cleaning.
- A floating aquatic thermometer could be attached to the dock at the Parks campsite and each Scotchman buoys during the open water season to allow anglers to monitor water temperatures and avoid angling during high temperature periods.


### 5.1.4 Regulation Changes

- In an effort to protect spawning rainbow trout and maintain simplified angling regulations, Buckley Creek upstream of the falls should be closed to angling.
- Spatial and/or temporal closures within the lake should only be considered following completion and review of a creel survey.
- Current daily quota of $2 /$ day and none over 50 cm with single barbless hooks only, bait ban and closed to angling between Nov. 1 - April 30, should be maintained.
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## Appendix I: Stream Habitat Photographs



Plate 3: Buckley Creek outlet tributary, Reach 1. Note dead conifer stands.


Plate 4: Buckley Creek Reach 1. Note relic beaver dams near center of photograph.


Plate 5: Buckley Creek reach break between Reaches 1 and 2.


Plate 6: Buckley Creek reach break between Reaches 2 and 3. Note tributary entering from wetland complex on the right.

Life History, Stock Assessment and Recommendations for a Sustainable Recreational Fishery of Buckley Lake Rainbow Trout


Plate 7: Buckley Creek, Reach 3. This sample site was assessed to be the most productive spawning and fluvial rearing habitat sampled during the lake survey.


Plate 8: Buckley Creek, Reach 3. Example of high value rainbow trout spawning and rearing habitat.


Plate 9: Buckley Creek, Reach 4. Moderate to high gradient section of stream where limited spawning and rearing habitat observed.


Plate 10: Buckley Creek, Reach 5. Note confined channel that were lower amount of spawning and rearing habitat was observed.
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Plate 11: Buckley Creek reach break between Reaches 5 and 6. Note columnar basalt geological formation.


Plate 12: Inlet Tributary 2, Reach 1. Limited spawning and moderate rearing habitat created by dense willow growth and undercut banks.


Plate 13: Unnamed lake at outlet to Tributary 3.


Plate 14: Tributary 3 confluence with Buckley Lake. Note gravel riffle potentially suitable for spawning at low and moderate lake water levels.


Plate 15: Tributary 3, Reach 2. This reach had very high quality rearing habitat for juvenile rainbow trout.


Plate 16: Tributary 5 , Reach 1 . Low gradient wetland section not typical of high quality rearing habitats typically utilized by rainbow trout.

## Appendix II: Fish Sampling Data




| 136 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 485 | 1400 M | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 137 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 490 | 1800 M | SB |
| 138 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 535 | 2000 M | SB |
| 139 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 550 | 2400 M | SB |
| 140 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 610 | 2860 F | SB |
| 141 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 275 | 290 M | MT |
| 142 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 280 | 285 M | MT |
| 143 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 295 | 390 M | MT |
| 144 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 330 | 455 F | MT |
| 145 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 330 | 455 M | MT |
| 146 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 340 | 570 M | MT |
| 147 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 350 | 570 M | MT |
| 148 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 350 | 500 M | MT |
| 149 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 360 | 560 M | MT |
| 150 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 390 | 770 M | MT |
| 151 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 390 | 770 M | MT |
| 152 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 395 | 820 F | MT |
| 153 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 430 | 1100 F | MT |
| 154 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 200 | 90 M | M |
| 155 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 230 | 185 M | M |
| 156 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 253 | 205 M | M |
| 157 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 260 | 210 M | M |
| 158 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 265 | 235 M | M |
| 159 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 285 | 285 M | M |
| 160 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 325 | 400 M | M |
| 161 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 385 | 690 M | M |
| 162 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 33.5 | 490 F | IM |
| 163 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 35 | 600 F | IM |
| 164 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 150 | 40 U | IM |
| 165 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 165 | 55 F | IM |
| 166 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 180 | 65 U | IM |
| 167 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 190 | 75 F | IM |
| 168 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 200 | 105 F | IM |
| 169 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 200 | 95 U | IM |
| 170 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 205 | 110 M | IM |
| 171 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 215 | 105 F | IM |
| 172 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 220 | 125 U | IM |
| 173 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 225 | 135 F | IM |
| 174 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 230 | 145 F | IM |
| 175 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 235 | 170 F | IM |
| 176 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 240 | 170 U | IM |
| 177 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 250 | 190 F | IM |
| 178 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 280 | 265 F | IM |
| 179 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 305 | 375 F | IM |
| 180 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 305 | 360 M | IM |
| 181 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 360 | 590 F | IM |
| 182 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 395 | 730 F | IM |
| 183 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 395 | 680 U | IM |
| 184 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 400 | 700 F | IM |
| 185 Buckley Lake | 2 | 9 | 396788 | 6420440 JL/FG/DA/MB | RB | 420 | 1000 F | IM |
| 186 Buckley Lake | 3 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 130 | 25 U | U |
| 187 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 330 | 400 U | U |
| 188 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 362 | 575 U | U |
| 189 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 56 | U | IM |
| 190 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 164 | 55 U | IM |
| 191 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 169 | 75 U | IM |
| 192 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 174 | 70 U | IM |
| 193 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 186 | 105 U | IM |
| 194 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 188 | 90 U | IM |
| 195 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 189 | 115 U | IM |
| 196 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 190 | 110 U | IM |
| 197 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 190 | 110 U | IM |
| 198 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 194 | 110 U | IM |
| 199 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 201 | 150 U | IM |
| 200 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 216 | 180 U | IM |
| 201 Buckley Lake | 4 |  |  | JL/PG | RB | 225 | 190 U | IM |
| 202 Buckley Lake | 5 |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 395 | F | U |
| 203 Buckley Lake | 5 |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 590 | 2520 F | MT |


| 204 Buckley Lake | 5 |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA |  | RB | 445 | 1000 M | IM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 205 Buckley Lake | 5 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 470 | F | IM |
| 206 Buckley Lake | 6 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 260 | 300 U | U |
| 207 Buckley Lake | 6 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 460 | 1500 F | U |
| 208 Buckley Lake | 6 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 575 | 2750 U | U |
| 209 Buckley Lake | 6 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 592 | 2500 M | U |
| 210 Buckley Lake | 6 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 490 | 1700 F | MT |
| 211 Buckley Lake | 6 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 560 | 2400 F | MT |
| 212 Buckley Lake | 6 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 645 | 3750 F | MT |
| 213 Buckley Lake | 6 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 265 | 230 F | IM |
| 214 Buckley Lake | 6 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 415 |  |  |
| 215 Buckley Lake | 7 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 710 | 3850 M | SB |
| 216 Buckley Lake | 8 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 295 | U | U |
| 217 Buckley Lake | 8 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 370 | U | U |
| 218 Buckley Lake | 8 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 405 | M | U |
| 219 Buckley Lake | 8 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 405 | M | U |
| 220 Buckley Lake | 8 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 460 | U | U |
| 221 Buckley Lake | 8 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 620 | U | U |
| 222 Buckley Lake | 8 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 770 | 6000 U | U |
| 223 Buckley Lake | 8 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 510 | M | MT |
| 224 Buckley Lake | 8 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 510 | F | M |
| 225 Buckley Lake | 8 |  |  |  | JL/PG/MB/FG/DA | RB | 640 | F | M |
| 226 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 325 | 490 M | M |
| 227 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 60 | 3.7 U | IM |
| 228 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 63 | 2.6 U | IM |
| 229 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 68 | 3.4 U | IM |
| 230 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 137 | 29 U | IM |
| 231 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 141 | 35 U | IM |
| 232 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 144 | 40 U | IM |
| 233 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 152 | 48 U | IM |
| 234 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 156 | 43 U | IM |
| 235 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 157 | 54 U | IM |
| 236 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 158 | $55 \cup$ | IM |
| 237 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 159 | 55 U | IM |
| 238 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 169 | 59 U | IM |
| 239 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 177 | 74 U | IM |
| 240 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 182 | 80 U | IM |
| 241 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 188 | 90 U | IM |
| 242 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 195 | 95 U | IM |
| 243 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 196 | 95 U | IM |
| 244 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 205 | 110 U | IM |
| 245 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 207 | 135 U | IM |
| 246 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 219 | 145 U | IM |
| 247 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 234 | 168 U | IM |
| 248 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 235 | 175 U | IM |
| 249 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 241 | 195 U | IM |
| 250 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 244 | 205 U | IM |
| 251 Buckley Lake | 10 |  |  |  | JL/PG/DA | RB | 293 | 355 U | IM |
| 252 Buckley Lake | 12 | 9 | 397263 | 6421306 | JL/PG | RB | 74 | 5.9 U | IM |
| 253 Buckley Lake | 12 | 9 | 397263 | 6421306 | JL/PG | RB | 74 | 5.3 U | IM |
| 254 Buckley Lake | 12 | 9 | 397263 | 6421306 | JL/PG | RB | 75 | 5.5 U | IM |
| 255 Buckley Lake | 12 | 9 | 397263 | 6421306 | JL/PG | RB | 90 | 9.9 U | IM |
| 256 Buckley Lake | 12 | 9 | 397263 | 6421306 | JL/PG | RB | 91 | 12.7 U | IM |
| 257 Buckley Lake | 12 | 9 | 397263 | 6421306 | JL/PG | RB | 150 | U | IM |
| 258 Buckley Lake | 12 | 9 | 397263 | 6421306 | JL/PG | RB | 155 | 44.3 U | IM |
| 259 Buckley Lake | 12 | 9 | 397263 | 6421306 | JL/PG | RB | 161 | 60 U | IM |
| 260 Buckley Lake | 12 | 9 | 397263 | 6421306 | JL/PG | RB | 179 | U | IM |
| 261 Buckley Lake | 12 | 9 | 397263 | 6421306 | JL/PG | RB | 185 | U | IM |
| 262 Buckley Lake | 12 | 9 | 397263 | 6421306 | JL/PG | RB | 212 | U | IM |
| 263 Buckley Lake | 12 | 9 | 397263 | 6421306 | JL/PG | RB | 215 | U | IM |

[^0]| -ity | Fish_Age Age | Age StructıAge Sampl Samp_StartDai Samp_StartTin Samp_EndDat¢ Samp_EndTir NetType |  |  |  |  | Net_Lengtr Samp_Dep |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 3 | 0 SC | 114 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 4 | 0 SC | 174 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 5 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 9 | 0 SC | 105 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 11 | 0 SC | 107 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 12 | 0 SC | 173 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 13 | SC |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 15 | 0 SC | 169 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 16 | 0 SC | 175 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 17 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 21 | 0 SC | 113 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 23 | 0 SC | 112 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 24 | 0 SC | 116 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 25 | 0 SC | 148 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 26 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 27 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 28 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 29 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 30 | 0 SC | 111 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 31 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 32 | 0 SC | 149 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 33 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 34 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 35 | 0 SC | 157 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 36 | 0 SC | 172 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 37 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 38 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 39 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 40 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 41 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 42 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 43 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 44 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 45 | 0 SC | 106 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 46 | 0 SC | 163 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 47 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 48 | 0 SC | 109 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 49 | 0 SC | 150 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 50 | 0 SC | 164 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 51 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 52 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 53 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 54 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 55 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 56 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 57 | 0 SC | 167 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 58 | SC |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 59 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 60 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 61 | 0 SC | 159 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 62 | 0 SC | 165 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 63 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 64 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 65 | 0 SC | 108 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 66 | 0 SC | 115 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 67 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |


| 68 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 70 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 71 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 72 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 73 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 74 | 0 SC | 162 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 75 | 0 SC | 168 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 76 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 77 | 0 SC | 147 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 78 | 0 SC | 161 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 79 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 80 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 81 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 82 | 0 SC | 151 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 83 | 0 SC | 154 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 84 | 0 SC | 160 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 85 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 86 | 0 SC | 110 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 87 | 0 SC | 145 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 88 | 0 SC | 155 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 89 | 0 SC | 166 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 90 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 91 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 92 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 93 | 0 SC | 158 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 94 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 95 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 96 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 97 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 98 | 0 SC | 152 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 99 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 100 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 101 | 0 SC | 171 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 102 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 103 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 104 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 105 | 0 SC | 170 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 106 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 107 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 108 | 0 SC | 146 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 109 | 0 SC | 156 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 110 | 0 SC | 153 | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 111 |  |  | 10/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 10/09/2003 |  | 2 | 1.5 |
| 112 | 1 SC | 101 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 113 | 1 SC | 103 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 114 | 1 SC | 102 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 115 | 1 SC | 104 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 |  |  |
| 116 | 1 SC | 58 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 117 | 2 SC | 59 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 118 | 2 SC | 57 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 119 | 1 SC | 56 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 120 | 1 SC | 52 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 121 | 2 SC | 51 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 122 | 3 SC | 70 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 123 | SC | 53 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 124 | 2 SC | 66 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 125 | 3 SC | 61 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 126 | 2 SC | 69 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 127 | 3 SC | 62 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 128 | SC | 68 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 129 | 2 SC | 54 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 130 | 3 SC | 55 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 131 | 2 SC | 60 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 132 | 2 SC | 65 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 133 | 3 SC | 67 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 134 | 3 SC | 64 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 135 | 2 SC | 63 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |


| 136 | 6 SC | 5 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 137 | 2 SC | 4 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 138 | 5 SC | 3 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 139 | 6 SC | 2 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 140 | 4 SC | 1 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 141 | 2 SC | 29 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 142 | 2 SC | 31 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 143 | 3 SC | 26 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 144 | 2 SC | 27 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 145 | 4 SC | 22 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 146 | 4 SC | 14 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 147 | 2 SC | 19 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 148 | 3 SC | 21 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 149 | 4 SC | 15 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 150 | 2 SC | 9 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 151 | 2 SC | 11 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 152 | 2 SC | 8 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 153 | 2 SC | 7 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 154 | 1 SC | 43 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 155 | 1 SC | 34 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 156 | 1 SC | 36 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 157 | 1 SC | 33 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 158 | 2 SC | 35 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 159 | 1 SC | 28 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 160 | 3 SC | 23 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 161 | 4 SC | 18 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 162 | 4 SC | 20 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 163 | 2 SC | 16 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 164 | 1 SC | 50 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 165 | 1 SC | 46 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 166 | 1 SC | 41 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 167 | 1 SC | 40 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 168 | 1 SC | 42 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 169 | 1 SC | 44 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 170 | 1 SC | 49 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 171 | 1 SC | 45 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 172 | 1 SC | 38 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 173 | 1 SC | 48 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 174 | 1 SC | 47 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 175 | 1 SC | 39 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 176 | 1 SC | 37 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 177 | 1 SC | 32 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 178 | 1 SC | 30 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 179 | 2 SC | 24 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 180 | 2 SC | 25 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 181 | SC | 17 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 182 | 2 SC | 10 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 183 | 2 SC | 13 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 184 | 2 SC | 12 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 185 | 4 SC | 6 | 07/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 FL | 90 | 6.9 |
| 186 | 1 SC | 128 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |
| 187 | 3 SC | 129 | 08/09/2003 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |  |
| 188 | 3 SC | 130 | 08/09/2003 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |  |
| 189 | SC |  | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |
| 190 | 1 SC | 139 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |
| 191 | 1 SC | 142 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |
| 192 | 1 SC | 138 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |
| 193 | 2 SC | 136 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |
| 194 | 1 SC | 137 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |
| 195 | 1 SC | 141 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |
| 196 | 1 SC | 134 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |
| 197 | 1 SC | 140 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |
| 198 | 2 SC | 135 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |
| 199 | 2 SC | 131 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |
| 200 | 1 SC | 133 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |
| 201 | 1 SC | 132 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |  |
| 202 | 2 SC | 72 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |  |  |
| 203 | 5 SC | 74 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |  |  |


| 204 | 2 SC | 76 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 205 | 3 SC | 71 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 206 | 1 SC | 302 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 207 | 2 SC | 304 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 208 | SC | 305 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 209 | 6 SC | 303 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 210 | 3 SC | 301 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 211 | 3 SC | 143 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 212 | 3 SC | 300 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 213 | 1 SC | 144 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 214 | 3 SC | 306 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 215 | 4 SC | 73 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 216 | 1 SC | 83 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 217 | SC | 82 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 218 | 3 SC | 80 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 219 | 3 SC | 81 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 220 |  |  | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 221 |  |  | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 222 | 6 SC | 75 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 223 | 3 SC | 77 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 224 | 2 SC | 78 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 225 | 3 SC | 79 | 07/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 |  |  |
| 226 | 2 SC | 176 | 10/09/2003 | 0 |  |  |
| 227 | 0 SC | 186 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 228 | 0 SC | 194 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 229 | 0 SC | 195 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 230 | 1 SC | 201 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 231 | 1 SC | 192 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 232 | 1 SC | 200 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 233 | 1 SC | 181 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 234 | 1 SC | 180 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 235 | 1 SC | 185 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 236 | 1 SC | 199 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 237 | 1 SC | 187 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 238 | 1 SC | 198 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 239 | 1 SC | 184 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 240 | 1 SC | 197 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 241 | 1 SC | 191 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 242 | 2 SC | 177 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 243 | 1 SC | 193 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 244 | 1 SC | 179 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 245 | SC | 196 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 246 | 2 SC | 183 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 247 | SC | 188 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 248 | 2 SC | 178 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 249 | 2 SC | 182 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 250 | SC | 189 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 251 | SC | 190 | 10/09/2003 | 10/09/2003 | 2 | 105 |
| 252 | 0 SC | 117 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |
| 253 | 0 SC | 127 | 08/09/2003 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |
| 254 | 0 SC | 125 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |
| 255 | 0 SC | 124 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |
| 256 | 0 SC | 126 | 08/09/2003 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |
| 257 | 1 SC | 123 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |
| 258 | 1 SC | 122 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |
| 259 | 1 SC | 119 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |
| 260 | 1 SC | 120 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |
| 261 | 1 SC | 121 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |
| 262 | 1 SC | 118 | 08/09/2003 | 00/01/1900 | 08/09/2003 |  |
| 263 |  |  | 08/09/2003 | 08/09/2003 |  |  |

## Appendix III: Water Chemistry Analytical Reports.



ANALYTICAL SERVICES

26-Sep-03
Page 1 of 8

8577 Commerce Court
Burnaby, B.C.
Canada V5A 4N5
Tel 6044444808
Fax 6044444511

## Reported To:

IMPACT ASSESS - SMITHERS - ROSS
Client Code w4

| MINISTRY OF WATER, LAND AND AIR | Attention | $:$ JEFF LOUGH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROTECTION | Phone | $:(250) 847-7260$ |
| BAG 50003726 ALFRED ST. | FAX | $:(250) 847-7591$ |

Attention
FAX
(250) 847-7260
(250) 847-7591

Certificate of Analysis
Certificate of Analysis

Submitted By: JEFF LOUGH
Project Information :
Project ID : BUCKLEY LAKE SURVEY
Submitted By: JEFF LOUGH
Requisition Forms : Philip ID Client ID
Form 50093139 logged on 15 -Sep- 03 completed on 26-Sep-03 containing sample(s) 13045903 REG/1

From sampling site 1130337 BUCKLEY LAKE, CENTER

## Remarks :

+ All blank values are reported. Associated data are not blank corrected.
$+\mathrm{MDL}^{\prime}=$ Method Detection Limit, $'<'=$ Less than MDL, ' $-\ldots=$ Not analyzed
+ Solids results are based on dry weight except Volatile
$+\quad$ Organics, TPH and Biota Analyses.
+ Organic analyses are not corrected for extraction recovery standards except for Isotope
$+\quad$ Dill CCME methods, (i.e. CARB 429 PAH , all PCDD/F and DBD/DBF analyses)
+ All CCME and/or BC CSR results met required criteria unless otherwise stated in the report.
All data on final reports are validated by technical personnel. Signature on file at laboratory.
Deviations from Reference Method for the Canadian-wide Standard for Petroleum Hydrocarbons
in Soil - Tier 1 Method:
F1 data - None
- F2/F3/F4 data reported using validated cold solvent extraction instead of Soxhlet extraction
$+\quad$ All Groundwater samples except BTEX/VOC's or Purgeable Hydrocarbons are decanted and/or filtered prior
to analysis unless otherwise mandated by regulatory agency
+ This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory
Methods used by Philip are based upon those found in 'Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater', 20th Edition, published by the American Public Health Association, or on US EPA protocols found in the 'Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Method, SW846', 3rd Edition. Other procedures are based on methodologies accepted by the appropriate regulatory agency. Methodology briefs are available by written request.

All work recorded herein has been done in accordance with normal professional standards using accepted testing methodologies, quality assurance and quality control procedures except where otherwise agreed to by the client and testing company in writing. Liability for any and all use of these test results shall be limited to the actual cost of the pertinent analysis done. There is no other warranty expressed or implied. Your samples will be retained at Philip for a period of 30 days from receipt of data or as per contract.
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Reported To :
IMPACT ASSESS - SMITHERS - ROSS Client Code w4

| MINISTRY OF WATER, LAND AND AIR | Attention | $:$ JEFF LOUGH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROTECTION | Phone | $:(250) 847-7260$ |
| BAG 5000 3726 ALFRED ST. | FAX | $:(250) 847-7591$ |

BAG 50003726 ALFRED ST FAX
(250) 847-7260
(250) 847-7591

SMITHERS, BC VOJ 2NO
Submitted By: JEFF LOUGH

## Project Information :

Project ID : BUCKLEY LAKE SURVEY
Submitted By: JEFF LOUGH
Requisition Forms :
Philip ID Client ID
Form 50093140 logged on 15-Sep-03 completed on 26-Sep-03 containing sample(s) 13045904 REG/1 0m
From sampling site E253449 NW END OF BUCKLEY LAKE CENTER OF LAKE

## Remarks :

+ All blank values are reported. Associated data are not blank corrected.
$+\mathrm{MDL}^{\prime}=$ Method Detection Limit, ' $<$ ' $=$ Less than MDL, $\quad---\prime=$ Not analyzed
Solids results are based on dry weight except Volatile
- Organics. TPH and Biota Analyses.
- Organic analyses are not corrected for extraction recovery standards except for Isotope

Dilution methods, (i.e. CARB 429 PAH, all PCDD/F and DBD/DBF analyses)

+ All CCME and/or BC CSR results met required criteria unless otherwise stated in the report.
All data on final reports are validated by technical personnel. Signature on file at laboratory.
Deviations from Reference Method for the Canadian-wide Standard for Petroleum Hydrocarbons
in Soil - Tier 1 Method:
- F1 data - None
- F2/F3/F4 data reported using validated cold solvent extraction instead of Soxhlet extraction
+ All Groundwater samples except BTEX/VOC's or Purgeable Hydrocarbons are decanted and/or filtered prior
to analysis unless otherwise mandated by regulatory agency
$+\quad$ This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory
Methods used by Philip are based upon those found in 'Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater', 20th Edition, published by the American Public Health Association, or on US EPA protocols found in the 'Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Method, SW846', 3rd Edition. Other procedures are based on methodologies accepted by the appropriate regulatory agency. Methodology Other procedures are based on method.
briefs are available by written request.

All work recorded herein has been done in accordance with normal professional standards using accepted testing methodologies, quality assurance and quality control procedures except where otherwise agreed to by testing methodologies, quality assurance and quality control procedures except where otherwise agreed to
the client and testing company in writing. Liability for any and all use of these test results shall be limited to the actual cost of the pertinent analysis done. There is no other warranty expressed or implied. Your samples will be retained at Philip for a period of 30 days from receipt of data or as per contract.
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## Appendix IV: Buckley Lake Rainbow Trout Stomach Samples Analytical Report

| Prepared by FRASER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepared for the B.C. MINISTRY OF WATER, LAND AND AIR PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |
| PRELIMINARY REPORT |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| REQUISITION NUMBER(S) | 50093138 |  |  |  |
| INV. \# | 558-W4 |  |  |  |
|  | Fisheries Program, Smithers |  |  |  |
| Submitting Agency |  |  |  |  |
| Submitter's Name | Ian Sharpe / Jeff Lough |  |  |  |
| Address | Box 5000, 3726 Alfred Avenue, Smithers, B.C., VoJ 2N0 |  |  |  |
| Phone / Fax | (250) $847.7337 /(250) 847.7728$ |  |  |  |
| Client Code | W4 | Study Code |  |  |
| Field Comments |  |  |  |  |
| Sample State Description | Bl-fish stomach |  | Level of Id. | Id. to lowest |
| Sample Preservation | formalin |  |  |  |
| Date(s) Analyzed | 12/29/03 |  |  |  |
| Taxonomist | Sue Salter |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Site Name |  | Buckley Lake |  |  |
| Rep. Number |  | Rb Stomach Sample \#6 |  |  |
| Site Number |  | Nosite |  |  |
| FES Sample Number |  | 030886 |  |  |
| Sampling Date(s) |  | 09/08/03 |  |  |
| Sampling Time(s) |  |  |  |  |
| Depth (m) |  |  |  |  |
| Batch Identifier |  |  | 041 |  |
| units | stage | total organisms / sample |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Class: Crustacea |  |  |  |  |
| Order: Amphipoda |  |  |  |  |
| Hyalella azteca |  |  | 1,305 |  |
| UID $=$ unidentified due to lack of size and/or missing morphological characters. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Appendix V: Buckley Lake Plankton Haul Analytical Summary \& Report

| Invertebrate Taxa | Abundance |  |  |  | \% Frequency |  |  |  | Density $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Haul 1 | Haul 2 | Haul 3 | Total | Haul 1 | Haul 2 | Haul 3 | Total | Haul 1 | Haul 2 | Haul 3 | Total |
| Nauplii (cyclops larvae) | 15,866 | 10,000 | 10,513 | 36,379 | 22.8 | 17.8 | 14.7 | 18.4 | 14,573 | 9,185 | 9,656 | 33,414 |
| Cyclops scutifer (adult) | 527 | 440 | 874 | 1,841 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 484 | 404 | 803 | 1,691 |
| Cyclops scutifer (copepodid) | 43,800 | 30,733 | 46,993 | 121,526 | 62.9 | 54.7 | 65.5 | 61.5 | 40,230 | 28,228 | 43,162 | 111,620 |
| Cyclops scutifer combined | 60,193 | 41,173 | 58,380 | 159,746 | 86.5 | 73.3 | 81.4 | 80.9 | 55,286 | 37,817 | 53,621 | 146,724 |
| Diaptomus ashlandi (adult) | 800 | 1,267 | 1,267 | 3,334 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 735 | 1,164 | 1,164 | 3,062 |
| Diaptomus ashlandi (copepodid) | 2,220 | 7,000 | 3,420 | 12,640 | 3.2 | 12.5 | 4.8 | 6.4 | 2,039 | 6,429 | 3,141 | 11,610 |
| Diaptomus ashlandi combined | 3,020 | 8,267 | 4,687 | 15,974 | 4.3 | 14.7 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 2,774 | 7,593 | 4,305 | 14,672 |
| Heterocope septentrionales (adult) | 113 | 111 | 82 | 306 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 104 | 102 | 75 | 281 |
| Daphnia middendorffiana | 400 | 200 | 578 | 1,178 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 367 | 184 | 531 | 1,082 |
| Gammarus lacustrus | 12 | 6 | 22 | 40 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11 | 6 | 20 | 37 |
| Coelenterata* | 1,667 | 1,333 | 1,393 | 4,393 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1,531 | 1,224 | 1,279 | 4,035 |
| Conochilus sp | 240 | 2,667 | 2,153 | 5,060 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 220 | 2,450 | 1,977 | 4,648 |
| Euchlanis sp. | 15 | -- | -- | 15 | 0.0 | -- | -- | 0.0 | 14 | -- | -- | 14 |
| Kellicottia longispina | 3,933 | 2,333 | 4,433 | 10,699 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 3,612 | 2,143 | 4,072 | 9,827 |
| Keratella cochlearis | present | 80 | -- | 80 | -- | 0.1 | -- | 0.0 | -- | 73 | -- | 73 |
| Unidentified | -- | -- | 19 | 19 | -- | -- | 0.0 | 0.0 | -- | -- | 17 | 13 |
| TOTAL | 69,593 | 56,170 | 71,747 | 197,510 | 35.2\% | 28.4\% | 36.3\% | 100.0 | 63,920 | 51,591 | 65,898 | 181,406 |

## Appendix V: Historical Air Photo of Buckley Lake



## Appendix VI: Project Field Data Forms and Notes
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No Vis. Ch

Int. $\square$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { DW } \square \text { Tribs. } \\
& \hline \text { CROWN CIOSIRF }
\end{aligned}
$$



| TEXTURE | $F$ | $G$ | C) | B | R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $A$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | RIP. VEG. | $N$ | $G$ | $S$ | C |

$$
\begin{array}{|l|c|cccc|}
\hline \text { IP. VEG. } & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{~S} & \mathrm{~S} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{D} \\
\mathrm{M} & \mathrm{~W} \\
\hline \text { STAGE } & \text { INIT } & \text { SHR } & \mathrm{PS} & \mathrm{YF} & \mathrm{MF} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

(local)

L



1


1



L



L





1




$L$

| movioual fish data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | AGI | 1 R.B | 325 |  | m | m | Sc | 76 |  |  |  | 6 manl ${ }^{\text {kipu penst }}$ | R3 F |
| outlet | $5 \times 4+$ |  | 195. | 45 |  |  |  | 717 |  |  |  | Streem Res.dat | R-F. |
| d/s | 7 4 + $1^{3}$ |  | 235 | 175 |  |  |  | 78 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | 1 |  | 205. | 110 |  |  |  | 79 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  |  | 156 | 43 |  |  |  | 80 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | , |  | 152 | 48 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  |  | 241 | 195 |  |  |  | $8^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  |  | 219. | 145 |  |  |  | 183 |  |  |  |  | R- F |
|  |  |  | 177 | 74 |  |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | (17) |  | 157 | 54 |  |  |  | P ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  |  | 60 | 3.7 |  |  |  | 186 |  |  |  |  | R- F |
|  |  |  | 159. | 55 |  |  |  | 187 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  |  | 234 | 168 |  |  |  | 188 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | , |  | 244. | 205 |  |  |  | 189 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}-$ |
|  |  |  | 293 | 355 |  |  |  | 190 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {- }} \mathrm{F}$ |
| - | 1. | 1 | 188 | 90 |  |  |  | 191 |  |  |  |  | R- F |
| 10 | 1 | 1 Rb | 141 | 35 |  |  | SC | 192 |  |  |  |  | R- F |
| SAMPUUS 86-201 <br> - Anglinl <br> I Pde seingz + KIFK SEIWINY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


L


| 7655000584 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INDIVIDUAL FISH DATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FSH COLLECTION FORM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c | SIEE | MTD $/$ : | H/P | spec | unerm | weient | SEx | marur |  | ${ }^{\text {20FE }}$ | nat | Vaucien ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | stevtrin | Mc. | comments | р Р |
|  | \#1 | EFI | $/$ | RB | 135 m | 48 ck | 化 | $1 / n$ | SC | 101 |  |  |  |  | DUFORM 40 - PNOTO | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 11 |  | 1 | 143 | 42 F | $\cdots$ | " | $\wedge$ | 102 |  |  |  |  | 67.68 | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 137 | 30.3 |  |  |  | 103 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 196 |  |  |  |  | 104 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2} 189$ | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 67 | 4 |  |  |  | 105 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 79 | 6.5 |  |  |  | 106 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 68 | 3.5 |  |  |  | 107 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 83 | 7.5 |  |  |  | 108 |  |  |  |  |  | R - F - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 80 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 109 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 88 | 8.3 |  |  |  | 110 |  |  |  |  |  | R - F - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 75 | 5.9 |  |  |  | 111 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 74 | 5.7 |  |  | , | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {- }} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 72 | 5.0 |  |  |  | $1 / 3$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 64 | 3.2 |  |  |  | 114 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 83 | 9.1 |  | 1 |  | $1 / 5$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {- }} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | */ | EK | 1 | RB | 74 | 5.7 | VK | 1ヵ | SC | 116 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {- }} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {- }} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {- }} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {- }} \mathrm{F}$ - |


| 7655000584 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INDIVIDUAL FISH DATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FISH COLLECTION FORM \＃ |  |  |  |  | Ch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c | SITE \＃ | MTD／5 | H／P | spec． | тематн | weiert | sex | матіз | stricume | Siter | 108 | уоuchen ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | stamernem | It | гоммемाs | рното |
|  | － 2 | 1 |  | $R B$ | 38.5 | 690 | M | M | SC | 18 | V |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  |  |  | RB | 35.0 | 570 | M | M16 | SC | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 | － | RB | 33.5 | 490 | F | 111 | SC | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ |
|  | $\vdots$ | － 1 |  | RB | 35.0 | 500 | 么 | N6 | 5 C | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | R |
|  | 1 | 1 |  | RB | 33.0 | 455 | M | ATG | SC | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | R － F － |
|  |  | 1 |  | RB | 32.5 | 400 | 亿 | $\wedge$ | SC | 23 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$－ |
|  |  | 1 | 0 | RB | 30.5 | 375 | $F$ | M | 5 SC | 24 |  |  |  |  |  | R － F |
|  |  | 1 | $\sim$ | $\cdots B$ | 30.5 | 360 | 兄 | 小N | 5 C | 25 |  |  |  |  |  | R － $\mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | $=$ | ， | D | RB | 29.5 | 340 | N | ATG | 5 SC | 26 |  |  | ＊ | \％ |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | 12 | 1 | A | $R B$ | 35.0 | 455 | $F$ | NTG | SC | 2，7 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 | ィ | $12 B$ | 28.5 | 285 | 水 | $\mu$ | SC | $28 i$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$－ |
|  |  | － | 0 | RB | 27.5 | 240 | 乐 | MG6 | SC | 29 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 | $\wedge$ | $R B$ | 28.0 | 265 | $F$ | 1 m | 5 C | 30 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | ＋ | 0 | RB | 28.0 | 285 | A | MT6 | SC | 3／ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 | D | RR | 25.0 | 190 | $F$ | 1 m | 5 C | 32 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | $-1$ | A | nB | 26.0 | 210 | M | M | SC | 33 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | ＋ | 1 | 0 | R日 | 23：0 | 185 | 分 | A | 56 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  | R － F |
|  |  | － 1 | A | RB | 26.5 | 235 | $M$ | M | Sc | 35 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 | 0 | $R \theta$ | 235 | 205 | 隹 | 分 | SC | 36 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 | A | RB | 240 | 170 | ？ | mis | 50 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$－ |
|  |  |  | 10 | RB | 22.0 | 125 | 7 | 1NS | SC | 38 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | －2 | － 1 | Q | RS | 23.5 | 170 | $F$ | 1 h | 5 SC | 39 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |




$L$



| 7655000584 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FSH COLIECTION FORM: $\quad \triangle A / M D$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c | SITE : | MTD/4 | H/P | SPFC. |  | welish | sex | matur | samekive | \%oimus. | ${ }^{40}$ | voucitrs a | smaicuem | ic, | comments | Phoro |
|  | \$W8 | AG FL |  | RB | 46,0 | NA | F? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WH 3306 | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | sw 8 | $A G \mid F C$ |  | RB | 62.0 | NA | F 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WH 3305 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{B}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R - $\mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{\text {- }}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |

INDIVIDUAL FISH DATA

| C | SITE ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | NTV/a | H/P | 8PEE. | Lematil | WELAMT | $59 \%$ | \%8atui. | smeavar | \%erfer | Nos | Yeluctien ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Smucturimam suree |  | Conmatis | phore |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NW Cor AG |  |  | RB | 560 | 2400 | $F$ | N1'G | SC | 143 |  |  |  |  | BNGMT + ClWN | $\mathrm{R}_{\sim} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | , | AGI |  | RS | 265 | 230 | $F$ | 1 m | SC | 144 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | " | ${ }^{\wedge} 1$ |  |  | 645 | 3750 | $F$ | M6S | SC | 300 |  |  |  |  | mom FAT | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | 4 | $\cdots 1$ |  |  | 440 | 1700 | $F$ | MT6 | SC | 301 |  |  |  |  | " 1 | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | -1 | ${ }^{\bullet} 1$ |  |  | 200 | 300 | UN |  | SC | 302 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | 4 | ${ }^{\square 1}$ |  |  | 592 | 2500 | $\cdots$ |  | SC | 305 |  |  | 02 | TR6 | 4301 | $\mathrm{R}_{\sim} \mathrm{F}_{\text {- }}$ |
|  | 1. |  |  |  | 460 | 1500 | $F$ |  | SC | 304 |  |  | On | T<6 | 4032 | $\mathrm{R}_{\sim} \mathrm{F}_{\text {- }}$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | 575 | 2750 |  |  | SC | 305 |  |  | , 1 | 1 | 50 | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{\text {- }}$ |
|  | $\cdots$ | 1 |  |  | 415 |  |  |  | SC | 306 |  |  | 11 | 7 | 4033 | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {_ }} \mathrm{F}_{\text {_ }}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\sim} \mathrm{F}_{\text {- }}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\sim} \mathrm{F}_{\text {- }}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{\text {- }}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {- }} \mathrm{F}_{\text {- }}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\sim} \mathrm{F}_{\text {- }}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\sim} \mathrm{F}_{\text {_ }}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {_ }} \mathrm{F}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {_ }} \mathrm{F}$ _ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {_ }} \mathrm{F}_{\text {_ }}$ |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {- }} \mathrm{F}_{\text {- }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{B}_{\sim} \mathrm{F}$ _ |

1

| INDIVIDUAL FISH DATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FISH COLLECTION FORM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c\| SIE: | Mro /s H/P | spre. | tenart | weisht | sex | marun | sticicue | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {aserex }}$ | nas | YOuCIIER | stentione | Itmer | comumints | рหото |
| \#10 | SNTX1 | RB | 196 | 95 |  |  | Sc | 193 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {- }} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | 1 |  | 63. | 2,6 |  |  |  | 194 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | 1 |  | 68 | 3.4 |  |  |  | 195 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | 1 |  | 207 | 135 |  |  |  | 186 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | 9) |  | 182 | 80 |  |  |  | 197 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | 1 |  | 169. | 59 |  |  |  | 198 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {- }} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | 1 |  | 158 | 55 |  |  |  | 199 |  |  |  |  |  | R -F - |
|  | 1 |  | 144 | 40 |  |  | 1 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  | R - F |
| \# /b | 1 |  | 137 | 29 |  |  | 5 C | 201 |  |  |  |  |  | R - F - |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R_F_ |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R $-\mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{\text {- }}$ |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}$ - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{-} \mathrm{F}_{-}$ |
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