40083

GROUNDWATER DEVELOPMENT ARBUTUS RIDGE (HATCH POINT, B.C.)

FOR

CRC CANADIAN RETIREMENT CORPORATION

AND

APLIN & MARTIN ENGINEERING LTD.

DOMESTIC WELLS NOS. 4 AND 5

By

W.L. Brown, P. Eng.

GROUNDWATER DEVELOPMENT

ARBUTUS RIDGE

(HATCH POINT, B.C.)

FOR

CRC CANADIAN RETIREMENT CORPORATION

AND

APLIN & MARTIN ENGINEERING LTD.

DOMESTIC WELLS NOS. 4 AND 5

Ву

W.L. Brown, P. Eng.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Location Domestic Wells Nos. 4 and 5 are located in the western area of Arbutus Ridge Estates on what is known as the Decker property, named after the former owner. Domestic Well No. 4 lies approximately 80 metres east of Telegraph Road and 80 metres south of Hatch Point Road (unopened). Domestic Well No. 5 lies approximately 60 metres east of Telegraph Road and 170 metres north of Hutchinson Road. These two wells are approximately 140 metres apart. Please see the Well Location Map Figure 1 in pocket.
- 1.2 Drilling and Testing These two wells were constructed in December, 1988 to March, 1989 during a period of inclement weather and of local influenza. Domestic Well No. 4 was test pumped from March 2 to 5, 1989 and Domestic Well No. 5 was test pumped from March 14 to 17, 1989. These pump tests were conducted during and after a relatively dry winter.

2.0 HYDROGEOLOGY

- 2.1 Bedrock These two domestic wells were not drilled to bedrock so that the configuration of bedrock shown in former reports cannot be changed significantly except that Domestic Well No. 4 shows that the top of bedrock lies almost 40 metres (130 feet) below sea level. This compares with bedrock being encountered in Irrigation Well 1 and Domestic Wells 1, 2 and 3 from approximately 30 metres above sea level to approximately 10 metres below sea level (100 feet A.S.L. to 30 feet B.S.L.). A channel therefore exists beneath this part of Arbutus Ridge Estates which could become a target for future groundwater development. Please see the simplified well logs on Figures 2 and 3 and the attached written well logs.
- 2.2 Unconsolidated Sediments Domestic Wells Nos. 4 and 5 encountered artesian sand and gravel aguifers that are capped with 36 metres (120 feet) and 20 metres (65 feet) of relatively impervious silts and clays. The static water levels in these two wells was within 2 metres of each other at 68 and 66 metres (223 and 216 feet) above sea level. This is approximately 10 metres (33 feet) above the static water levels measured in Domestic Wells 1, 2 and 3. The sand aquifer screened in these first three wells is not artesian and are in a

significantly different hydrogeologic setting from those screened in Domestic Wells Nos. 4 and 5.

3.0 WELL CONSTRUCTION

Domestic Well No. 4 was drilled by a cable tool and an air rotary rig and Domestic Well No. 5 was drilled entirely by an air rotary rig. Reference to the well logs attached will show that both wells are cased with 203 mm (8-inch) diameter steel casing and that both screen assemblies consist of a 203 mm (8-inch) nominal diameter stainless steel well screen capped by a 178 mm (7-inch) diameter steel riser with 178 mm (7-inch) diameter pump sumps below the screens. The well screen in Domestic Well No. 4 has 8/1000-inch slot openings and the well screen in Domestic Well No. 5 has 10/1000-inch slot openings.

The screen in Domestic Well No. 4 was developed by bailing and the screen in Domestic Well No. 5 was developed by air blowing.

4.0 PUMP TESTING

- Domestic Well No. 4 A constant rate pump test was conducted during March 2-5, 1989 at a discharge rate of U.S. gpm or m³/dav (51 42.5 I qpm) approximately 700 minutes into the test. Drawdown water level readings were measured and recorded during the 4,350 minute (3 day) pumping period and recovery water levels were measured and recorded for a period of 250 minutes (4 hours) after the pump was turned off. recorded levels were also measured and Water period. 3 during the pumping Domestic Well No. Domestic Well No. 3 lies approximately 490 m (1610 feet) to the ESE of Domestic Well No. 4. the pump test data and Figures 4, 5 and 6 attached.
- 4.2 Domestic Well No. 5 This well was pumped for a period of 4,350 minutes (3 days) at a constant rate of 861 m³/day (158 U.S. gpm or 132 I gpm). Drawdown water levels were measured and recorded during this pumping period in Domestic Wells Nos. 3, 4 and 5. Recovery water levels were recorded and measured in Domestic Well No. 5 for a period of 250 minutes (slightly over 4 hours). Please see the pump test data and Figures 7, 8 and 10 attached.

5.0 ANALYSIS OF PUMP TEST DATA

5.1 Domestic Well No. 4 - Reference to Figures 4, 5 and 6 and the pump test data will show that the drawdown readings fluctuated in wave-like patterns from 1,100 minutes (0.76 days) into the test to the end of the test at 4,350 minutes (3 days). The maximum amplitude of these waves is shown on Figure 6 to be 0.588 m (1.929 feet). The maximum fluctuation in Domestic Well No. 3 which was used as an observation well during this pump test was 0.108 m (0.354 feet). The tide maxima and minima levels at Fulford Harbour (Salt Spring Island) the closest station to Arbutus Ridge have also been plotted on Figure 6.

The water level fluctuation during the pump test could have been caused by the following:

- Tidal Fluctuations If the tide graph on Figure 5.1.1 is moved approximately 700 minutes (11.5 hours) forward a reasonable match is made between the tide and water level plots. However, the water levels at a depth of 81 metres were approximately 6 metres (20 feet) above sea level and Domestic Well No. 4 lies approximately 1,500 metres (5,000 feet) west of the sea shore. The amplitude of the tide was approximately 7 feet while the amplitude of the water level fluctuation was almost 2 Although a tidal influence is suggested by the plots on Figure 6 it does not seem reasonable to use this relationship to explain the water level fluctuations.
- 5.1.2 Barometric Fluctuation Although it is commonly known that wells screened in artesian aquifers can act as water barometers no data is available to test this phenomenon.
- 5.1.3 Intermittant Pumping of Neighbouring Wells The pumping of neighbouring wells would cause a drop in the water levels of Domestic Well No. 4. Thus the time of day of the troughs becomes significant. The times of the troughs are as follows:

0820 - March 3, 1989

0600 - March 4, 1989

2240 - March 4, 1989

0840 - March 5, 1989

Irrigation watering would not have been a factor during this pump test so that only domestic and farm animal water use needs to be considered. The troughs at 0820 and 0840 on March 3 and 5 could have been caused by domestic water use but the 0600 and 2240 troughs on March 4 would not have been caused by this means. The water levels in Domestic Well No. 3 does not fluctuate in concert with the water levels in the pumped Domestic Well No. 4 as would be the case if the fluctuation had been caused by Domestic Wells Nos. 1 and 2. Domestic Well No. 2 is only 360 m south of Domestic Well No. 3. Therefore, the pumping of neighbouring wells does not appear to be a reasonable explanation for the water level fluctuations observed during the pump test.

5.1.4 Pumping Rate Fluctuation - The average depth of the water levels during the last 22 hours of the test is approximately 80.5 metres. The specific capacity of Domestic Well No. 4 during the period can be calculated as follows:

Depth to Water 80.5 m Static Water Level 19.9

Drawdown 60.6 m or 199 feet

Specific Capacity - 51/199 = 0.26 US gpm/ft. of drawdown.

The maximum fluctuation of 1.929 feet could have been caused by a change of only 1.929 (0.26) = 0.5 US gpm.

This is less than 1% of the 51 US gpm recorded flow. The flow rate was measured by watch and container so that a half a gallon change in flow would not be observed.

Of the possible causes described above very minor changes in pump discharge appears to be the most reasonable cause of the observed fluctuations.

Figures 4 and 5 indicate that the transmissivity of the aquifer in Domestic Well No. 4 ranges from 2565 to 1400 US gpd/ft.

5.2 Domestic Well No. 5 - Reference to Figures 7, 8 and 10 and the pump test data will show that fluctuation also occurred in the water levels of the pumped Domestic Well No. 5 and Domestic Wells Nos. 3 and 4 which were used as observation wells during this test. The water levels in Domestic Well No. 5 had a maximum fluctuation of approximately 0.1 m (0.33 ft.).

An analysis similar to the one described above for Domestic Well No. 4 leads to the conclusion that minor fluctuations in pump discharge probably caused the observed water level fluctuations. It is noted that the water level at a depth of approximately 69.8 m is 36 metres (120 feet) above sea level so that a tidal effect is precluded. The specific capacity of Domestic Well No. 5 can be calculated as follows:

Depth to Water 69.8 m Static Water Level 39.9

Drawdown 29.9 m or 98 feet

Specific Capacity - 158/98 = 1.6 US gpm/ft. of drawdown.

The water level fluctuations of 0.33 feet would have been caused by a discharge fluctuation of:

0.33 (1.6) = 0.53 US qpm

The flow rate was measured by a 4-inch diameter tube and 3-inch diameter orifice. Reference to orifice tables shows that a 1/2 inch change in manometer readings corresponds to a flow rate change of 3 US gpm. Thus a 0.53 US gpm change in flow would be equivalent to a $0.53/3 \times 0.5 = 0.088$ inch change in the manometer reading. Such a change would go undetected by observers in the field.

The writer concludes that these two wells reached essential stabilization during the last almost 1,000 minutes of these tests and that observed water level changes were caused by minute undetectable changes in pump discharges.

The semi-log plots on figures 7 and 8 show transmissivity values in the range of 8,000 to 10,000 US gpd/ft. and Figure 8 shows a storativity factor of

1.4 x 10^{-4} . This storativity value is compatible with the artesian conditions noted on the well log.

6.0 PRODUCTIVE CAPACITY

6.1 Domestic Well No. 4 - The productive capacity of this well can be calculated as follows:

Depth to Top of Screen Static Water Level	94.49 metres 19.86	310 feet 65
Total Available Drawdown	74.63	245

Specific Capacity - 0.26 US gpm/ft. of drawdown as calculated above in section 5.1.4.

Interference from Domestic Well No. 5-20 feet (see Figure 9). Productive Capacity using 70% of Total Available Drawdown 0.7(245-20) (0.26)=40 US gpm or 33 I gpm.

6.2 Domestic Well No. 5 - The productive capacity of this well is calculated as follows:

Depth to Top of Screen	104.55 metres	343 feet
Static Water Level	39.87	131
Total Available Drawdown	64.68	212

Specific Capacity - 1.6 US gpm/ft. of drawdown as calculated above in section 5.2.

Interference from Domestic Well No. 4 discharging at 30 I gpm is 4 feet.

Productive Capacity using 70% of Total Available Drawdown 0.7 (212 - 4) 1.6 = 233 US gpm.

Unfortunately, the velocity of water entering the well screen must not exceed 0.1 feet per second to prevent turbulent flow and the danger of such flow moving sand through the screen into the well with consequent pump damage and well failure.

The 20 feet of 10 slot 8-inch telescopic diameter screen has an open area of 28 square inches per foot of length. Thus the safe Productive Capacity of this screen is 28(20)(.31) = 174 US gpm or 145 I gpm.

Thus, even though the Productive Capacity of Domestic Well No. 5 is calculated from 70% of Total Available Drawdown and the Specific Capacity to be 233 US gpm the screen characteristics will reduce this to 174 US gpm or 145 I gpm. The productivity of the Arbutus Ridge Estates well field could be increased by (283-174) 59 US gpm or 50 I gpm by replacing Domestic Well No. 5 with a larger diameter well. Twenty feet of 12-inch telescopic diameter 10 slot screen will pass 260 US gpm or 216 I gpm at 0.1 ft./sec. entrance velocity.

7.0 WATER OUALITY

Samples of water were collected prior to the end of each pump test. These were sent to a commercial laboratory for chemical analyses. These show that the groundwater from these wells is potable and meets the Canadian and British Columbia drinking water guideline for all parameters analysed. Please see the chemist's reports attached.

8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

8.1 Based upon presently available information the safe productive potential of these wells are:

Domestic Well No. 4 40 US gpm 30 I gpm 196 m^3 /day Domestic Well No. 5 174 US gpm 145 I gpm 948 m^3 /day

8.2 The total proven capacity of the Arbutus Ridge Estates Domestic Well Field is now:

 $360 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$ Domestic Well No. 1 66 US gpm 55 I apm Domestic Well No. 2 55 US gpm 46 I gpm $300 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$ $190 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$ Domestic Well No. 3 29 I gpm 35 US gpm $196 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$ Domestic Well No. 4 40 US gpm 30 I gpm $948 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$ Domestic Well No. 5 174 US gpm 145 I gpm

Total Productive 370 US gpm 305 I gpm 1995 m³/day Capacity

8.3 If more groundwater is needed, two more wells can be located along Hutchinson Road with an expected probable total productive potential of approximately 200 I gpm. Also the deep bedrock channel indicated by the results of the Domestic Well No. 4 drilling should be explored to determine the type and productivity of those sediments filling the channel.

- 8.4 It is important to note that the aguifer discovered in Domestic Wells Nos. 4 and 5 is hydrogeologically separated from the aguifer developed in Domestic Wells Nos. 1, 2 and 3. These two sets of wells should not affect the productive potentials of each other.
- 8.5 These wells should \underline{NOT} be

overpumped vibrated raw-hided

- 8.6 The new concrete cribbings that will be constructed around these new domestic wells will change the datum of the measuring point from that used on the well logs and pump tests attached to this report. The relationship between the original ground surface and the new top of cribbings should be established and recorded.
- 8.7 The pumps set in the pump sumps should be "shrouded" to ensure that the motors are properly cooled.
- 8.8 Water level measurements should be made and recorded in all wells during the first five years of operation. These records should be reviewed by the writer once a year with a view to increasing the safe productive potential of the well field.
- 8.9 When all the pumps are installed in all the wells the well field should be "tuned" to produce the maximum total safe production without dewatering the well screens.

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS REPORT



Date:

1985

April 3, 1989

File No.

7332A

Report On:

Water Analysis

Report To:

Brown Erdman & Turner Ltd. 207 - 132 West 15th Street

North Vancouver, B. C.

V7M 1R5

DATE OF SUBMISSION:

March 7, 1989

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

Labelled as shown in RESULTS section.

METHODOLOGY

Analysed in accordance with "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" published by the American Public Health Association, 1985.

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Results are presented in the table(s) attached.

REMARKS

The water as represented by the sample submitted can be characterized as moderate with respect to dissolved mineralization.

The water sample met Canadian and British Columbia drinking water guidelines for all parameters analysed.

ASL ANALYTICAL SERVICE LABORATORIES LTD.

Barbara Szczachor, B.Sc.

Supervisor

Water Quality Laboratory

BS/mm





RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

File No. 7332A Page 2 of 2

Physical Parameters	Drinking *1 Water Guidelines	Arbutus Ridge Portable Well #4
Physical Tests		
pH Conductivity (µmhos/cm) Colour (CU) Turbidity (NTU) Suspended Solids (mg/L) Dissolved Solids (mg/L) Total Hardness (mg/L)	15. 5. - 500.	7.99 140. <5. <1.0 6.0 106. 60.3
Dissolved Anions		
Alkalinity CaCO ₃ Sulfate SO ₄ Chloride Cl Fluoride F Nitrate + Nitrite N	500. 250. 1.5 10.0	70.4 1.0 3.8 0.04 0.080
Total Metals		
Iron Fe Manganese Mn	0.30 0.05	0.032 0.008
Dissolved Metals		
Arsenic As Barium Ba Cadmium Cd Chromium Cr Copper Cu	0.05 1.0 0.005 0.05 1.0	0.0046 <0.010 <0.0002 <0.015 <0.010
Iron Fe Lead Pb Manganese Mn Zinc Zn	0.05 - 5.0	<0.03 <0.001 0.009 <0.005
Calcium Ca Magnesium Mg Potassium K Sodium Na	- - - - *3	15.0 5.42 0.92 9.94

< = Less than

Results expressed as milligrams per litre except for pH,
Conductivity (µmhos/cm), Colour (CU), Turbidity (NTU)
*1 "Maximum acceptable concentration" as published by Health &
Welfare Canada, 1985
*2 Maximum level not established - water supplies with a hardness
exceeding 200 mg/L are considered poor but will be tolerated.
Not a health consideration
*3 Maximum level not established - of congorn to congument with

^{*3} Maximum level not established - of concern to consumers with sodium restricted diet. Levels exceeding 20 mg/L may be of concern in this circumstance.

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS REPORT



Date:

April 12, 1989\

File No.

7427A

Report On:

Water Analysis

Report To:

Brown Erdman & Turner Ltd. 207 - 132 West 15th Street

North Vancouver, B. C.

V7M 1R5

Attention:

Mr. Bill Brown

DATE OF SUBMISSION:

March 21, 1989

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

Labelled as shown in RESULTS section.

METHODOLOGY

Analysed in accordance with "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" published by the American Public Health Association, 1985.

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Results are presented in the table(s) attached.



ASL

REMARKS

File No. 7427A Page 2 of 3

The water as represented by the sample submitted can be characterized as moderate with respect to dissolved mineralization.

The water sample met Canadian and British Columbia drinking water guidelines for all parameters analysed.

ASL ANALYTICAL SERVICE LABORATORIES LTD.

A. W. Maynard, M.Sc. Senior Partner

BS/AWM/mm

Barbara Szczachor, B.Sc.

Supervisor

Water Quality Laboratory



RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

File No. 7427A Page 3 of 3

	Canadian Retirement Corp. Site F Mar 17/89	Drinking *1 Water Guidelines			
Physical Tests					
pH Conductivity Colour Turbidity NTU Suspended Solids Dissolved Solids Hardness CaCO3	8.00 146. <5. <1.0 1.3 127. 61.6	6.5-8.5 			
Anions					
Alkalinity CaCO3 Sulphate SO4 Chloride Cl Fluoride F Silicate SiO2 NO3/NO2 N	75.0 <1.0 3.8 0.06 19.9 0.15	500. 250. 1.5			
Total Metals					
Iron T Fe Manganese T Mn	<0.03 <0.005	0.30 0.05			
Dissolved Metals					
Arsenic D As Barium D Ba Chromium D Cr Copper D Cu	0.0023 <0.010 0.0023 <0.010	0.05 1.0 0.05 1.0			
Iron D Fe Lead D Pb Manganese D Mn Zinc D Zn	<0.03 <0.001 <0.005 0.064	0.05 5.0			
Calcium D Ca Magnesium D Mg Potassium D K Sodium D Na	15.9 5.21 0.75 7.32	- - -			
Microbiological Tests					
Total Coliform Fecal Coliform	ND ND	<u>-</u>			

< = Less than ND = Not Detected

Results expressed as milligrams per litre except for pH, Conductivity (\mumber mhos/cm), Colour (CU), Turbidity (NTU) and Coliform Bacteria (colonies/100 ml)

*1 "Maximum acceptable concentration" as published by Health & Welfare Canada, 1985

*2 Maximum level not established - water supplies with a hardness exceeding 200 mg/L are considered poor but will be tolerated.

Not a health consideration

*3 Maximum level not established - of concern to consumers with

^{*3} Maximum level not established - of concern to consumers with sodium restricted diet. Levels exceeding 20 mg/L may be of concern in this circumstance.

CONSTANT RATE PUMP TEST

DRAWDOWN

Date: March 2/5, 1989

Discharge Rate: 51 U.S. gpm, 42.5 Igpm or 278 m^3/day

Time	Elapsed	Depth to	Comment
of Day	Time	Water	
hr/min	Minutes	Metres	
March 2 1400	0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.5 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0 14.0 16.0 18.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 40.0 45.0	19.856 23.762 28.365 33.626 38.840 43.284 50.483 51.612 52.875 57.472 59.455 62.378 68.126 71.504 72.441 73.047 74.290 75.217 75.918 76.448 76.850 77.572 78.000 78.240 78.404 78.861	Measuring Point - top of casing 0.55 m above existing ground Discharge 40 m from well Flow rate checked by watch and drum 90 U.S. gpm Reducing Discharge 58 U.S. gpm 56 U.S. gpm

CONSTANT RATE PUMP TEST

Time	Elapsed	Depth to	Comment
of Day	Time	Water	
hr/min	Minutes	Metres	
	50.0	78.754	
1500	60.0	78.955	
1500	70.0	79.105	54 U.S. gpm
	80.0	79.234	S. S
	90.0	79.307	
	100.0	79.386	Domestic Well No. 3 used as
	125.0	79.481	observation well. Top casing
	150.0	79.636	.461 m above existing ground
	200.0	79.832	54 U.S. gpm
	250.0	80.021	Well No.3 24.474 m
	300.0	80.134	54 U.S. gpm
	350.0	80.183	
	400.0	80.274	54 U.S. gpm
	450.0	80.339	New observer
	500.0	80.457	Well No.3 24.495m
	550.0	80.533	
2400	600.0	80.583	
March 3	1	80.606	
	700.0	80.623	51 U.S. gpm
	750.0	80.639	Well No.3 24.506m
	800.0	80.694	
	850.0	80.723	51 U.S. gpm
	900.0	80.729	·
	950.0	80.754	Well No.3 24.520m
	1000.0	80.785	
	1050.0	80.852	51 U.S. gpm
	1100.0	80.830	
0910	1150.0	80.699	
	1200.0	80.724	51 U.S. gpm
	1250.0	80.773	Well No.3 24.514m
	 	 	

CONSTANT RATE PUMP TEST

Time of Day hr/min	Elapsed Time Minutes	Depth to Water Metres	Comment
2320 3 March 4	1300.0 1350.0 1400.0 1450.0 1500.0 1550.0 1600.0 17700.0 1750.0 1850.0 1900.0 1950.0	80.500 80.446 80.446 80.444 80.490 80.482 80.495 80.463 80.635 80.700 80.665 80.732 80.965 80.976 80.976 80.971 80.965 81.000 80.951 80.951 80.951 80.951 80.951 80.951 80.971 80.971 80.971 80.971 80.971 80.971 80.971	51 U.S. gpm 51 U.S. gpm Well No.3 24.514m 51 U.S. gpm Well No.3 24.495m 51 U.S. gpm New Observer 51 U.S. gpm Well No.3 24.458m 51 U.S. gpm Well No.3 24.458m 51 U.S. gpm 51 U.S. gpm 51 U.S. gpm Well No.3 24.445m 51 U.S. gpm 51 U.S. gpm 51 U.S. gpm
:	2750.0 2800.0 2850.0	B	Well No.3 24.430

CONSTANT RATE PUMP TEST

	Time of Day hr/min
2900.0 80.558 2950.0 80.491 3000.0 80.610 3050.0 80.474 3150.0 80.474 3350.0 80.554 3400.0 80.554 3400.0 80.451 3650.0 80.451 3650.0 80.492 3750.0 80.530 3900.0 80.544 3900.0 80.530 3900.0 80.544 3900.0 80.544 3150.0 80.558 3900.0 80.558 3900.0 80.558 3900.0 80.544 4050.0 80.614 4050.0 80.614 4050.0 80.488 4200.0 80.488 4200.0 80.488 4200.0 80.488 4200.0 80.488 4200.0 80.488 4200.0 80.488 4200.0 80.488 4200.0 80.488 4200.0 80.488 4200.0 80.488 4200.0 80.488 4200.0 80.488 4200.0 80.488 4200.0 80.487 51 U.S. gpm 4350.0 80.476 Took Water Sample Pump Off.	

CONSTANT RATE PUMP TEST

Time of Day hr/min	Elapsed Time Minutes	Depth to Water Metres	Comment
* 	RECOV	ZERY	
March 5			T/T'
	0.0	80.476	
	0.5	77.315	8701
	1.0	74.005	4351
	1.5	70.430	1001
	2.0	68.245	2176
	2.5	65.341	2170
	3.0	62.822	1451
	3.5	60.352	1431
	4.0	58.045	1089
	4.5	55.810	1003
	5.0	53.755	871
	6.0	49.849	071
	7.0	46.538	
	8.0	43.501	
	9.0	40.752	
	10.0	38.258	436
	12.0	34.273	430
	14.0	31.112	
	16.0	28.725	
	18.0	26.622	
	20.0	25.330	219
	25.0	23.134	219
1500	30.0	22.000	146
1500	35.0	21.407	140
	40.0	21.124	110
	45.0	20.912	
	50.0	20.862	88
1530	60.0	20.778	

CONSTANT RATE PUMP TEST

Time of Day hr/min	, -	Depth to Water Metres	Comment
	70.0 80.0 90.0 100.0 125.0 150.0 200.0 250.0	20.731 20.663 20.650 20.644 20.588 20.538 20.495 20.454	4 5 2 3

ARBUTUS RIDGE DOMESTIC WELL NO. 5 CONSTANT RATE PUMP TEST

DRAWDOWN

Date: March 14/17, 1989

Discharge Rate: 158 U.S. gpm, 132 Igpm or 861 m^3/day

Time of Day	Time	Depth to Water	Comment
hr/min	Minutes	Metres	
Mar 14			
1530	0.0	39.867	Measuring Point - top of casing 0.8
			m above existing ground
	0.5	45.619	Discharge 75 m from well
	1.0	50.614	Flow rate checked by 3-inch orifice
	1.5	51.089	and 4-inch tube
	2.0	51.321	Depth to water Well No. 3 24.497 m
	2.5	51.549	Well No. 4 19.950 m
	3.0	53.442	
	3.5	55.141	
	4.0	57.154	125 US gpm water slightly cloudy
	4.5	58.005	
	5.0	59.752	
	6.0	61.442	143 US gpm
	7.0	62.092	
	8.0	62.410	Increasing rate
	9.0	62.952	148 US gpm
	10.0	63.416	
	12.0	63.792	Increasing rate
	14.0	64.760	153 US gpm
	16.0	65.318	
	18.0	65.627	158 US gpm water clear
	20.0	65.810	
	25.0	66.291	
1600	30.0	66.271	
	35.0	66.372	158 US gpm
	40.0	66.491	

ARBUTUS RIDGE DOMESTIC WELL NO. 5 CONSTANT RATE PUMP TEST

Time of Day hr/min	Elapsed Time Minutes	Depth to Water Metres	Comment
Mar 14	45.0 50.0	66.571 66.619	158 US gpm
1630	60.0 70.0	66.760 66.982	
	80.0 90.0 100.0	67.132 67.321 67.352	158 US gpm
	125.0	67.542 67.613	158 US gpm
	200.0	67.751 67.964 68.082	Well No. 3 24.451 m Well No. 4 21.363 158 US gpm
	300.0 350.0 400.0	68.082 68.212	Well No. 3 24.458 m Well No. 4 21.774
2350 Mar 15	450.0 500.0 550.0	68.257 68.286 68.459	
Mar. 15	600.0 650.0	68.484 68.549	Well No. 3 24.447 m Well No. 4 22.235
	700.0	68.601 68.643	158 US gpm
	800.0 850.0 900.0	68.661 68.679 68.674	Well No. 3 24.441 Well No. 4 22.121 158 US gpm
	950.0 1000.0 1050.0	68.713 68.751 68.794	Well No. 3 24.432 Well No. 4 22.311
	1100.0		
	1200.0 1250.0		

CONSTANT RATE PUMP TEST

Time	Elapsed	Depth to	Comment
of Day	Time	Water	
hr/min	Minutes	Metres	
Mar 15	1300.0	69.243	158 US gpm
1400	1350.0	69.330	130 of Abril
1400	1400.0	69.330	Well No. 3 24.421 m Well No. 4 22.490
	1450.0	69.332	158 US gpm
	1500.0	69.342	
	1550.0	69.392	158 US gpm
	1600.0	69.448	Well No. 3 24.418 m Well No. 4 22.541
	1650.0	69.408	158 US gpm
	1700.0	69.409	
	1750.0	69.409	158 US gpm
	1800.0	69.407	Well No. 3 24.397 m Well No. 4 22.601
	1850.0	69.372	158 US gpm
	1900.0	69.365	
0000	1950.0	69.379	158 US gpm
Mar 16	2000.0	69.401	Well No. 3 24.395 m Well No. 4 22.627
	2050.0	69.388	
	2100.0	69.405	158 US gpm
	2150.0	69.396	
	2200.0	69.391	Well No. 3 24.395 m Well No. 4 22.676
	2250.0	69.391	158 US gpm
	2300.0	69.392	
	2350.0	69.421	
	2400.0	69.445	Well No. 3 24.401 m Well No. 4 22.701
	2450.0	69.551	
	2500.0	69.542	
	2550.0	69.561	
	2600.0	69.581	Well No. 3 24.431 m Well No. 4 22.740
	2650.0	69.598	
	2700.0	69.637	158 US gpm
	2750.0	69.561	
	2800.0	69.564	Well No. 3 24435 m Well No. 4 22.763
1500	2850.0	69.599	158 US gpm
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

ARBUTUS RIDGE DOMESTIC WELL NO. 5 CONSTANT RATE PUMP TEST

Time of Day hr/min	Elapsed Time Minutes	Depth to Water Metres	Comment
Mar 16 1550	2900.0	69.665	
, , , ,	2950.0	69.654	158 US gpm
	3000.0	69.703	Well No. 3 24.435 Well No. 4 22.795
	3050.0	69.745	158 US gpm
	3100.0	69.712	
	3150.0	69.749	
	3200.0	69.798	Well No. 3 24.435 Well No. 4 22.752
	3250.0	69.787	158 US gpm
	3300.0	69.783	
2320	3350.0	69.760	
Mar 17	3400.0	69.734	Well No. 3 24.451 Well No. 4 22.794
0100	3450.0	69.780	450 50
	3500.0	69.751	158 US gpm
	3550.0	69.739	Trail No. 2 24 462 Wall No. 4 22 927
	3600.0	69.742	Well No. 3 24.462 Well No. 4 22.837
	3650.0	69.724	
	3700.0	69.740	
	3750.0	69.745	158 US gpm Well No. 3 24.470 m
	3800.0	69.732 69.757	Well No. 4 22.895 m
	3850.0	69.742	WEIL NO. 4 22.095 m
	3950.0	69.780	
	4000.0	69.771	Well No. 3 24.452 Well No 4 22.914
	4050.0	69.762	Merr Mor 2 541452 Merr Mo 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
	4100.0	69.812	158 US gpm
	4150.0	69.881	, JF
	4200.0	69.841	Well No. 3 24.450 Well No. 4 22.864
	4250.0	69.878	
	4300.0	69.807	Collected water samples
1600	4350.0	69.811	

CONSTANT RATE PUMP TEST

RECOVERY

Time of Day hr/min	Elapsed Time Minutes	Depth to Water Metres	Comment
Mar 17 1600	0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0 12.0 14.0 16.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0	69.811 59.938 54.483 51.200 49.000 47.555 46.670 46.021 45.564 45.252 45.021 44.687 44.457 44.337 44.221 44.120 43.935 43.824 43.699 43.605 43.513 43.320 43.176 43.042 42.942 42.860	
1700	50.0 60.0 70.0 80.0	42.772 42.626 42.520 42.431	

CONSTANT RATE PUMP TEST

RECOVERY

Time of Day hr/min	Elapsed Time Minutes	Depth to Water Metres	Comment
Mar 17	90.0 100.0 125.0 150.0 200.0 250.0	42.320 42.253 42.083 41.967 41.761 41.753	

HATCH POINT

DOMESTIC WELL NO. 4

(TEST WELL 7)

Depth (Below Groun	d Surface)	Description
Metres	Feet	
0.00 - 23.77 23.77 - 43.28	0 - 78 78 - 142	Sand and gravel, silty Sand, silty, bark pieces at bottom of unit
43.28 - 78.63	142 - 258	Silt, occasional sand
78.63 - 98.15	258 - 322	Sand, brown, silty, water-bearing
98.15 - 124.97	322 - 410	Clay, silt beds, stoney
124.97 - 125.58	410 - 412	Graveĺ, silty, water-bearing
125.58	412	Till

Construction Details - below ground surface

	Metres	Feet
203 mm (8-inch) diameter casing	+0.55 - 94.49	+1.8 - 310
178 mm (7-inch) diameter riser 203 mm (8-inch) telescopic diameter	92.96 - 94.49	305 - 310
screen	94.49 - 97.54	310 - 320
178 mm (7-inch) diameter sump	97.54 - 103.63	320 - 340
Screen - stainless steel, 8 slot		

HATCH POINT

DOMESTIC WELL NO. 5

(TEST WELL "E")

Depth (Below Ground	Surface)	Description
Metres	Feet	
0.00 - 42.67 42.67 - 51.21 51.21 - 55.78 55.78 - 63.70 63.70 - 71.63 71.63 - 73.76 73.76 - 83.82 83.82 - 85.65 85.65 - 111.25	0 - 140 140 - 168 168 - 183 183 - 209 209 - 235 235 - 242 242 - 275 275 - 281 281 - 365	Sand and gravel Sand, silty Sand and gravel Sand, silty Silt with clay beds Sand and gravel, silty Clay, well bedded Sand and gravel, silty Sand, some gravel water-bearing Interbedded sand and

Construction Details - below ground surface

	Metres	Feet
203 mm (8-inch) diameter casing 178 mm (7-inch) diameter riser	+0.80 - 104.55 103.02 - 104.55	+2.6 - 343 338 - 343
203 mm (8-inch) telescopic diameter		
screen	104.55 - 110.64	343 - 363
178 mm (7-inch) diameter sump	110.64 - 112.17	363 - 368
Screen - stainless steel 10 slot		