Britionnent

# **CRITERIA FOR** MANAGING CONTAMINATED SITES IN BRITISH COLUMBIA

Prepared pursuant to Section 20.1(1) of the Waste Management Act

Approved:

Director of Waste Management

Deputy Minister

#### 1.0 Introduction

This document presents BC Environment criteria for managing contaminated sites in British Columbia. These are to be used to develop site-specific management goals and limits for contaminants in soil and water, where chemicals from spills and industrial releases have caused contamination and pose risks to human health, to the environment and to the safety of infrastructure such as underground services.

Under the former National Contaminated Sites Remediation Program (NCSRP), the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) issued "Interim Canadian Environmental Quality Criteria for Contaminated Sites". In keeping with the policy of using comprehensive and current criteria to manage contaminated sites in British Columbia, the CCME interim criteria are being updated and adopted for use by BC Environment's contaminated sites program. Accordingly, the draft of this paper known as CMCS6, which has been used as ministry policy since November 1989, is now superseded. This policy document, as may be amended from time to time, will be ministry policy until cancelled or until regulations under the Waste Management Act establish legal standards for contaminated sites.

### 2.0 Background

#### 2.1 Definitions

In order to protect human health and the environment from substances of concern at sites, benchmarks are needed so regulatory agencies can assess the extent of the risks and the adequacy of any remedial measures that are proposed and carried out. These benchmarks can take a number of forms, including criteria and standards. The following definitions are used in this paper:

<u>Criteria</u>: numerical limits or narrative statements intended as guidance for the protection, maintenance, and improvement of specific uses of soil and water. Criteria are usually provided as concentrations of substances in soil and water, but may also be formulated in terms of levels of risk.

Standards: legally enforceable numerical limits or narrative statements, such as in a permit, regulation, statute, contract or other legally binding document, which have been adopted or adapted from criteria.

The criteria in this document may have a number of different uses. For example, they may be used to establish remediation goals for specific sites. The following defines the two general types of criteria used in this policy:

<u>Numerical criteria</u>: concentrations of substances providing a basis for defining when a site is a contaminated site and for establishing site-specific remediation goals.

<u>Risk-based criteria</u>: levels of risk set to protect the public from unacceptable health impacts.

Remediation goals relate to the need for site remediation (with respect to specified land and water uses) which could take the form of site cleanup, contaminant containment, a change in use or other form of mitigation. After site cleanup, remediation goals can also be used to verify that the residual levels of substances of concern are acceptable.

#### 2.2 General Use of Criteria

The BC criteria for contaminated sites are generally intended to serve as benchmarks related to the protection of human health and the environment with respect to current or future uses of soil and water at contaminated sites. These benchmarks may be used in a variety of ways:

- · indicators of the environmental quality at a site;
- indicators of human health and environmental risks for a site;
- guidance for determining when a site is contaminated;
- guidance for determining when site remediation, risk assessment or risk management are necessary;
- guidance for determining when site remediation is performed to acceptable levels, i.e. verification of adequacy of site cleanup;
- the basis for establishing site-specific management goals;
- the basis for developing legally enforceable standards; and
- guidance for evaluating the acceptability of off-site deposit of soil, in conjunction with disposal or deposit site assessment.

### 2.3 Information Requirements

In establishing remediation goals for a site, the types and levels of substances of concern, the environmental media that are involved and the intended land and water uses must be known. Ministry staff should be consulted about requirements for site characterization at the outset of any investigation. Determining in advance acceptable sampling, sample preparation, sample handling, statistical and chemical analytical methods is important. As well, the ministry must be provided accurate information about the current and intended use of the land and water for a site.

### 2.4 Specific Approaches and Applications

BC Environment has adopted two approaches and corresponding types of criteria for managing contaminated sites in British Columbia. The first approach uses numerical substance concentration criteria (numerical criteria) to determine when a site is contaminated, when site remediation is needed, and when site remediation has been properly completed. The second approach involves site-specific risk assessment and risk management. Potential health risks posed by substances are calculated and are then compared to levels of risk that are considered technically achievable and publicly acceptable.

The <u>numerical criteria approach</u> is applied in situations where contaminants may be removed so that remaining substances have concentrations less than the applicable numerical criteria. It addresses both human health and environmental impacts.

The <u>risk-based criteria approach</u> is applied in situations where there are potential human health impacts, and exposure to substances of concern can be reduced to acceptable levels by containment, removal or another form of risk management. In contrast to the numerical criteria approach, it can be applied where all contaminants cannot be removed, for example, due to physical or financial constraints. In its present form, quantitative risk assessment can best be used to address public health issues associated with contaminated sites. If the risk-based criteria approach is used in managing a site, a qualitative environmental impact assessment is also required. At sites where risk management is used to mitigate potential human health impacts, the numerical criteria approach may still be required to address potential environmental effects identified in the environmental impact assessment.

Either of these two approaches is acceptable for a particular site. If the first approach is chosen, the numerical criteria shall be adopted directly as remediation goals. If the second approach is chosen, quantitative human health risk assessment and qualitative environmental impact assessment shall be used.

#### 3.0 Criteria

Numerical criteria for soil are presented in Table 1 for five specific land uses: agricultural (AL), urban park (PL), residential (RL), commercial (CL) and industrial (IL). Remediation criteria for water used for aquatic life (AW), irrigation (IW), livestock watering (LW), and drinking water for humans (DW) are presented in Table 2. The criteria for water in Table 2 apply to both surface water and groundwater.

### 3.1 Numerical Criteria Used in Defining a Contaminated Site

If the concentration of a substance at a site for a current or specified land or water use exceed the numerical criteria for that substance in Table 1 or 2, a site is considered a contaminated site.

### 3.2 Numerical Criteria Used in Defining Remediation Goals

If a numerical criterion for a substance is adopted directly as a remediation goal, and if the concentration of that substance exceeds the remediation goal for the current or specified land or water use, then site remediation is normally required. Where it is not feasible to remediate a site to satisfy a remediation goal due to technological or other constraints, risk and environmental impact assessment and the implementation of risk management measures can still be used.

### 3.3 Application of Numerical Soil Criteria to Soil Relocation

Separate ministry policies and procedures for soil relocation are described in the document "Landfill Disposal and Relocation of Excavated Soil in British Columbia: Interim Guidelines and Policies".

### 3.4 Application of Numerical Soil Criteria to Sediments

The numerical criteria for soil are not intended to be used to guide the assessment or remediation of in situ sediments, sediments that are underwater. However, they may be used to assess and manage sediments which have been deposited on land.

### 3.5 Application of Water Criteria to Discharges

The numerical criteria for water are not intended to be used to determine the acceptability for discharge of water from a site through works, as described in section 7.0. The BC Environment document "Standards for Water-Based Discharges from the Pacific Place Site" is a useful reference for developing guidance on, and standards for water-based discharges for a specific site.

### 3.6 Application of Water Criteria to Adjacent Sites

The numerical criteria for water apply to substances both on the site on which they reside and to adjacent and nearby sites to which they might flow or migrate.

### 4.0 Risk-based Criteria Approach

Where the risk-based approach is chosen, exposures to a substance of concern at a site must be reduced so that the human lifetime cancer risk due to exposure to that substance is less than or equal to seven in one million. For a noncarcinogenic substance, exposures must be reduced so that the predicted daily intake of a substance of concern will be less than or equal to the acceptable daily intake established by the ministry (hazard index is less than or equal to one).

Quantitative risk assessment for human health is well developed, but it is not yet well developed for environmental protection. Procedures for the assessment of environmental risks are being developed. In the interim, the ministry requires the performance of qualitative environmental impact assessments to follow CCME guidance in the document "Framework for Ecological Risk Assessment at Contaminated Sites in Canada". The assessment will evaluate and document any ecological health concerns which may occur. If ecological health concerns are identified, the ministry will require the implementation of appropriate risk management measures.

### 5.0 Criteria for Other Substances and Media

### 5.1 Substances Not Listed in Tables 1 and 2

Tables 1 and 2 contain criteria for a wide variety of potential soil and water contaminants. While they represent many substances found at sites, other substances may be encountered for which criteria will have to be established. The ministry will either develop these criteria, or provide guidance on their development on a case-by-case basis. The ministry should be consulted for further detail.

#### 5.2 Air

Volatile chemical compounds may contaminate soil and water, evaporate, and pose a health risk through air exposure. Air criteria may be formulated in levels corresponding to various human health risks, or in terms of acceptable ambient air concentrations of chemicals. The risk-based criteria are those provided in Section 4.0, while criteria for substance concentrations in air will be provided by the ministry, where required, on a chemical by chemical basis.

#### 5.3 In Situ Sediments

The assessment and remediation of *in situ* sediments shall be based on criteria issued by the CCME in its document "Interim Sediment Quality Assessment Values".

#### 5.4 Marine Water

The assessment and remediation of marine waters shall be based on the most recent edition of the BC Environment document "Approved and Working Criteria for Water Quality".

### 6.0 Background Levels of Substances

In the event that background levels of substances in soil and/or water found at a site exceed the criteria described in Sections 3.0 to 5.0, the criteria will be set at background concentrations if the numerical criteria approach is used. If risk-based criteria approach is used, risk levels resulting from background concentrations of substances in soil and/or water will be adopted. Contaminated media shall not be used as a reference for background levels. The ministry should be contacted for advice on establishing background levels of substances associated with a site.

#### 7.0 Release of Contaminants from a Site

The criteria provided in this document are primarily intended to apply to ambient soil and water remaining on a site and being used on the site. However, contaminated water, soil, air and sediments may be released from a site by a number of means, for example by:

- · collection and discharge of surface water or groundwater through works;
- sediment transport by natural processes such as tidal action;
- · surface erosion by wind and runoff;
- emission of volatile organic chemicals from contaminated soils;
- · excavation and redeposit of soils; and
- · flow of groundwater into surface waters.

The criteria in this document are not intended to be the sole benchmarks for situations where contaminants are released or deposited elsewhere. Additional factors such as the off-site loading of contaminants, off-site impacts, whether the discharge is from a point- or non-point source, and applicable policy and regulatory requirements such as those of the Special Waste Regulation need to be assessed on a site-specific basis.

### 8.0 Special Waste Criteria

Where special waste contaminants are handled or treated, the Special Waste Regulation under the Waste Management Act will apply to the management of these wastes.

### 9.0 Choice of Indicator Compounds

The indicator compounds used for a contaminated site will sometimes be those for which numerical criteria appear in Tables 1 and 2. However, exhaustive chemical analysis for all substances for which numerical criteria appear in Tables 1 and 2 is not necessarily required. Often consideration of a site's historical use will suggest that a different or smaller set of potential substances of concern exists. In these latter circumstances, the ministry should be consulted for guidance regarding the choice of indicator compounds.

Table 1

MMU Soil Numerical Criteria

Table 1

COLUMN I	COLUMN II	COLUMN	COLUMN IV	COLUMN	COLUMN VI
Substance	Agricultural (AL)	Urban Park (PL)	Residential (RL)	Commercial (CL)	Industrial (IL)
Inorganic Substances				1007	122
antimony	20	20	20	40	40
arsenic	20	30	30	50	50
barium	750	500	500	2000	2000
beryllium	4	4			STATE PARTIES AND THE STATE OF
boron (hot water soluble)	2	4	4	8	8
boron (not water soluble)	2	table tark	TRainers	- accountinuid	skordik
cadmium	3	5	5	20	20
chromium (+6)	8	8	8		1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
chromium (total)	750	250	250	800	800
cobalt	40	50	50	300	300
copper	150	100	100	500	500
estimate compound				Substances	Phenolic
cyanide (free)	0.5	10	10	100	100
cyanide (total)	2.05	50	50	500	500
fluoride (total)	200	400	400	2000	2000
lead	375	500	500	1000	1000
mercury	0.8	2	2	10	10
makuh dan um	8	10	1.0	ed aliphatics*	chlorina
molybdenum	5	10	10	40	40
nickel	150	100	100	500	500
selenium	2	2 3	80.0 3	10	10
silver	20	20	20	40	40
sulphur (elemental)	500	5	0.5		0.839
	100.0	100.0	0.0000	nd PCDEs?	⇒ddos.
thallium	1				
tin	5	50	50	300	300
vanadium	200	200	200	Street Contraction	
zinc	600	500	500	1500	1500
Managaria Amanatia				(does) et	itadgils
Monocyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (MAHs)			30	acid esters	philiplic
benzene	0.05	0.5	0.5	-	(each)
chlorobenzene	0.03		0.5	5	5
		1	1.0.1	10	10
1,2-dichlorobenzene	0.1	1	1	10	10.
1,3-dichlorobenzene	0.1	1	1	10	10
1,4-dichlorobenzene	0.1	1	1 -	10	10
ethylbenzene	0.1	5	5	. 50	50
styrene	0.1	5	5 5	50	50
toluene	0.1	3	3	30	30
xylene	0.1	5	5	50	50
7	0.1			30	30

# Soil Numerical Criteria<sup>1</sup>

COLUMN I	COLUMN II	COLUMN	COLUMN	COLUMN V	COLUMN VI
Substance	Agricultural (AL)	Urban Park (PL)	Residential (RL)	Commercial (CL)	Industrial (IL)
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Residential (RL)		Agricultural (AL)	sanstegi	
(PAHs)				assandaduð	damental !
benzo[a]anthracene	0.1	1	1	10	10
benzo[a]pyrene	0.1	î	i	10	10
penzo[b]fluoranthene	0.1	1	î	10	10
benzo[k]fluoranthene	0.1	î	î	10	10
dibenz[a,h]anthracene	0.1	î	î	10	10
indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	0.1	1	8 1	10	10
naphthalene	0.1	5	5	50	50
phenanthrene	0.1	5	5	50	50
pyrene	0.1	10	10	100	100
Phenolic Substances					13.5
nonchlorinated2 (each)	0.1	1	1	10	10
chlorophenols <sup>3</sup> (each)	0.05	0.5	0.5	5	5
Chlorinated	500		375		lead
Hydrocarbons	7		8.0		mercury
chlorinated aliphatics4 (each)	0.1	5	5	50	50
chlorobenzenes <sup>5</sup> (each)	0.05	002	2	10	10
hexachlorobenzene	0.05	6 2	2	10	10
hexachlorocyclohexane	0.01		95		Tavlia
PCBs <sup>6</sup>	0.5	5	5	50	50
PCDDs and PCDFs7	0.00001	0.001	0.001		
					auilladt
Miscellaneous Organic	50		8		nit
Substances	200		,200		vanading
nonchlorinated	0.3	500	600		onix
aliphatics (each)	30			sits own A si	Monocy
phthalic acid esters (each)				bons (MAHs)	Hydrocar
quinoline	0.1	5.0	60.0		persone
thiophene	0.1		1.0	sensenseme	chloropen 1.2-dichle

### Soil Numerical Criteria1

#### Footnotes

<sup>1</sup>All values in μg/g unless otherwise stated

<sup>2</sup>Nonchlorinated phenolic substances include 2,4-dimethylphenol 2,4-dinitrophenol 2-methyl 4,6-dinitrophenol nitrophenol (2-, 4-) phenol cresol

<sup>3</sup>Chlorophenols include chlorophenol isomers (ortho, meta, para) dichlorophenols (2,6-, 2,5-, 2,4-, 3,5-, 2,3-, 3,4-) trichlorophenols (2,4,6-, 2,3,6-, 2,4,5-, 2,3,5-, 2,3,4-, 3,4,5-) tetrachlorophenols (2,3,5,6-, 2,3,4,5-, 2,3,4,6-) pentachlorophenol

Aliphatic chlorinated hydrocarbons include chloroform dichloroethane (1,1-, 1,2-), dichloroethene (1,1-, 1,2-) dichloromethane 1,2-dichloropropane, 1,3-dichloropropene (cis and trans) 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, tetrachloroethene carbon tetrachloride trichloroethane (1,1,1-, 1,1,2-) trichloroethene

5Chlorobenzenes include all trichlorobenzene isomers, all tetrachlorobenzene isomers, pentachlorobenzene

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) include mixtures 1242, 1248, 1254 and 1260.

<sup>7</sup>Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) expressed in 2,3,7,8-TCDD equivalents. NATO International Toxicity Equivalency Factors (I-TEFs) for congeners and isomers of PCDDs and PCDFs are as follows:

Congener	I-TEF	Congener	I-TEF	
2,3,7,8-T <sub>4</sub> CDD	1.0	2,3,7,8-T <sub>4</sub> CDF	0.1	
1,2,3,7,8-P <sub>5</sub> CDD	0.5	2,3,4,7,8-P5CDF	0.5	
1,2,3,4,7,8-H <sub>6</sub> CDD	0.1	1,2,3,7,8-P <sub>5</sub> CDF	0.05	
1,2,3,7,8,9-H <sub>6</sub> CDD	0.1	1,2,3,4,7,8-H <sub>6</sub> CDF	0.1	
1,2,3,6,7,8-H <sub>6</sub> CDD	0.1	1,2,3,7,8,9-H <sub>6</sub> CDF	0.1	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>7</sub> CDD	0.01	1,2,3,6,7,8-H <sub>6</sub> CDF	0.1	
O <sub>8</sub> CDD	0.001	2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>6</sub> CDF	0.1	
den estares, po-		1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H <sub>7</sub> CDF	0.01	
		1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H <sub>7</sub> CDF	0.01	
		O <sub>8</sub> CDF	0.001	

Table 2 Water Numerical Criteria<sup>1</sup>

COLUMN I	COLUMN II	COLUMN III	COLUMN IV	COLUMN V
Substance	Aquatic Life <sup>2</sup> (AW)	Irrigation2,3	Livestock <sup>2</sup>	Drinking
Inorganic Substances	(AW)	(IW)	(LW)	Water <sup>4</sup> (DW
aluminum	5 @ pH<4.5 7 @ pH=5.0 11 @ pH=5.5 20 @ pH=6.0 50 @ pH>6.0	5000	5000	200
ammonia	131 @ pH=9.0 <sup>7</sup> 370 @ pH=8.5 <sup>7</sup> 1130@pH=8.0 <sup>7</sup> 1840@pH≤7.7 <sup>7</sup>	pach involved th risks po the that are o	when stands a situation ted by subsi- onsidered re	atternation dragonal H calestates and attention and attention
arsenic	50	100	5005	25
barium	1000	in in	500	1000
beryllium	5.3	100	100	1000
boron	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF S	500 - 60006	5000	5000
cadmium	0.2 @ H <sup>23</sup> =0-60 (0.01 @ H=30) 0.8 @ H=60-120 (0.03 @ H=90) 1.3 @ H=120-180 (0.05 @H=150) 1.8 @ H>180 (0.06 @ H=210)	10	20	5
calcium	1.0 9 112 100 (0.00 9 11=210)		1000 mg/l	obenols ()
chloride	ia lobrough a applied in s	100 - 700mg/16	1000 1116/	250 mg/l
chlorine	2	1000	and the second	TOWN CONTROL OF
chromium	ts. In discussion 2/2 and substant	100	1000	50
cobalt	50	50	1000	(BO) 1946 PM
copper to the number amount be removed, to	2 @ H<50mg/1 CaCO3 4 @ H=100mg/1 CaCO3 6 @ H=150mg/1 CaCO3 8 @ H=200 mg/1	2006	300	1000
	a phaminate sisks if the	risk-bakéd (	rieria hbilli	deproteins
cyanide (WAD) <sup>9</sup>	and the second s	I managed a some	emibase	200
cyanide (SAD) <sup>15</sup> fluoride	200 0 11 50 - 11	1000	Root Shipping	200
пионае	200 @ H<50mg/l 300 @ H>50mg/l	1000	10005,8	1500
	al ziteria approach may s	ill be rebuin	d to address	
iron	300	5000		300
lead of these two as	3 @ H≤40 mg/l CaCO3 5 @ H=50mg/l CaCO3 6 @ H=100mg/l CaCO3 11 @ H=180 mg/l	200	100	10
lithium	ica drifteria shall be adonte	2500	5000	POCHOLIDING I
manganese	100	200	nzene 124 e e	50
mercury molybdenum	0.1 1000	1 20 2010	2 50	1
no you and the same of the sam	er la unpachasseksment s	10 - 3010	30	
nickel	25 @ H-0.60mg/l CaCO3 65 @ H=60-120mg/l CaCO3 110@H=120-180mg/l CaCO3 150 @ H≥180mg/l CaCO3	200	1000	fetrachlor fetrachlor desachlo hexachlor
nitrate - N (or as N)	40mg/l	\$1 for live s	1000011	11
nitrate and nitrite (as N)	40mg/l		1000011	1000011
nitrite - N (or as N)	20 (chloride < 2mg/l) - 60 <sup>12</sup>	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO PARTY.	1000011	1000011
selenium	20 (Chioride < Zing/1) - 60"	2013, 5014	10000 50	3200 10
silver	IV and drin 0.1	20, 50- *	Marie escale	10
	for Justine in Table 2 and but	La benefit Land	8/9/45	0324
sodium sulphate sulphide as H2S	100 mg/l	GE BADRIN & LEE SAN	1000000	200 mg/l 500 mg/l 50
uranium	300	10	200	100
vanadium		100	100	
zinc	30	1000-500014,16	50000	5000

# Water Numerical Criteria1

COLUMN I	COLUMN II	COLUMN III	COLUMN IV	COLUMN V
Substance	Aquatic Life <sup>2</sup> (AW)	Irrigation <sup>2,3</sup> (IW)	Livestock <sup>2</sup> (LW)	Drinking Water <sup>4</sup> (DW)
Monocyclic Aromatic	Marice alla site	Grand Control Of	spiconed and	
Hydrocarbons (MAHs) benzene	300	described Table	e i or 1, a site	5
ethylbenzene	700	E Burlo & El		2.4
toluene	300	20 @ p14=6.0		24
xylenes		0.9KHd @ 0g		300
Polycyclic Aromatic		establing Ge		
Hydrocarbons (PAHs)		Total State of the		
naphthalene	1	stated a nuc		
acenaphthene	6 12		as it remediate	
fluorene	0.1	Setta GOAL	Edward Francis	
anthracene phenanthrene	0.3			
SHORE SHARE OF CHARLES AND ASSESSED.		STAR STAR		
acridine fluoranthene	0.05 0.2		I disenter texts	
pyrene	0.02	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	and the second	normal
benzo[a]anthracene	0.1	Stoman Standard		
benzo[a]pyrene	0.01		12000	0.01
Phenolic Substances	(021-13	3 W H=120-180 (0.08 W		
phenols (total)	1			- months
chlorinated phenols		The state of the s	0 - 0	whiendels
monochlorophenol	0.5 - 0.917		0.19	0.3
dichlorophenols	0.12 - 0.35 <sup>17</sup>	or soil relocate	0.39	CONTRACTOR STREET
trichlorophenols	0.06 - 0.517	200	29	2
tetrachlorophenols	0.02 - 0.317	AL CAUSTINECO	19	1
pentachlorophenol	0.02 - 0.3 <sup>17</sup>	De D. Douwlook - 12 to 4	309	30
Chlorinated Hydrocarbons	10	5 6 H=150mg/1 CaC		
chlorinated aliphatics	a South Challenia	Bulliot State Action		-
dichloroethane, 1,2-	100			5 50
dichloromethane	0.1	8		CANA SOME
hexachlorobutadiene hexachlorocyclohexane isomers	0.01	and the fact the second	also to the	Contraction and
tetrachloroethylene	260	200 011450m		25,000.0
trichloroethylene	20	THE REPORT OF THE		. 50
vinyl chloride	caruse indimen	it vijsch have	Teles describe	2
chlorinated benzenes	01 200	De Dilyam Oby N. 1918		1000
monochlorobenzene	15 2.5	5 @ H=50mg/LCaC		30
dichlorobenzene, 1,2-	2.5	A HEROMETT ME		3
dichlorobenzene 1,3- dichlorobenzene, 1,4-	2.5	NS m CEL HOLL		1
trichlorobenzene, 1,4-	0.9	of the same of the same	At the distributed	and the mainful
trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-	0.5	and the periods	The meterior	· Selengenen
trichlorobenzene, 1,3,5-	0.65	ster the pugh, we	A as describ	ed in servic
tetrachlorobenzene, 1,2,3,4-	0.1	dands for Wate	Pased Disc	arges from
tetrachlorobenzene, 1,2,3,5-	0.1	15 se H-10 sugger	did some no	pickel best
tetrachlorobenzene, 1,2,4,5-	0.15 0.03	Del grant Poarty is the		
pentachlorobenzene hexachlorobenzene	0.0065	THE STREET STREET, STR	-	
	100	0.5		
PCBs	0.0001	0.5	(5)	ano) Minister
Halogenated Methanes		L\3mile	(M as) s	htin bra elavila
carbon tetrachloride	700-	29 (chlorado < 2sp (d)	15	5 100
trihalomethanes	Berosbilla so a		A THE REAL OF	100
Phthalate Esters	theby siles to a	· 自己基础的	A See or mu	Table Taylin
DBP	0.6			an afect
DEHP other phthalate esters	0.6	Ngm 601		enighase
other printarate esters	,	5		sulphide as Hol

## Water Numerical Criteria<sup>1</sup>

COLUMN I	COLUMN II	COLUMN III	COLUMN IV	COLUMN V
Substance	Aquatic Life <sup>2</sup> (AW)	Irrigation <sup>2,3</sup> (IW)	Livestock <sup>2</sup> (LW)	Drinking Water <sup>4</sup> (DW)
Pesticides aldicarb	18 10	20 21	11	9
BB 500 B 전 300 B H (1981) (1) - 10 H (1982) (1) - 4 H (1982) (1) H (1982) (1) H (1982) (1) H (1982)	0.1518,19	54.9 <sup>20</sup> , 67.5 <sup>21</sup>	recover to record them you may a studying	the second and man-
aldrin and dieldrin	0.004	10	0.7 60	0.7 5
atrazine	219, 1018	10	60	
azinphos-methyl bendiocarb		tructure such a	s undergrou	20 40
bromoxynil		red samples optables	With ore for unitify	5
carbaryl			185 besterrare for	90
carbofuran	1.75	Ches veniendi	45	90
chlordane	0.006	ra manarata (C.C.b.).	Charles and the Control of the Contr	7
chlorpyrifos				90
guanazina	2	0.5	10	10
cyanazine 2,4-D	4	0.5	.0	100
DDT	0.001			3022
		and the second second	14	20
diazinon	0.01		14	120
dicamba				
diclofop-methyl		CHEST OF THE REST OF	water in consist A Coult	9
dimethoate	6.2	zeliov document	3	20
dinoseb			sidebotale boa	10
diquat		THE CAMPENDED	of the second	70
diuron		ollaroi Smith Hardwee	inchesione adecembs	150
endosulfan	0.02			
endrin	0.0023	a loster execution letter a	and the same and the same	
glyphosate	65	is brief aromer more to	280	280
heptachlor & heptachlor epoxide	0.01			3
lindane	ector for further ad	stration. Consult Dis	ith chlande conce	w entray4toris
Definitions				190
malathion		is on crops.	risttent application	900
methoxychlor metolachlor	0	28	50	50
metribuzin	8	0.5	80	80
paraquat	the state of the state of	0.5	HOMES INC.	10
		ry agencies can	ISSESS THE BUILDING	eat of the
parathion phorate		seems that are p		50
picloram	29	0.5	190	190
simazine	10	0.5 0.5	10	10
2,4,5-T	in the s	0.5		20
temephos		see somer. Consult	stand and substi	280
terbufos		a de la constantina della cons		1
toxaphene	0.008	The state of the s	officials onlysing to	story of mores
triallate	0.24	ment of specific	230	230
trifluralin	0.1	of estions whileholis	45	45
Radioactive Substances		A CHARLES OF SERVICE	The second second	
137 <sub>cesium</sub>		I EVES CLASS	hedt sedto ances thad	50 Bq/l
131		- and and an	man contra colores y	10 Bq/l
131 <sub>iodine</sub>				
226 <sub>radium</sub>		ic it is made are sta	THE PROPERTY OF	1 Bq/l
90strontium		of or other be	Alle bindies	10 Bq/l
3 <sub>tritium</sub>			Table of the same	40000 Bq/l

#### Water Numerical Criteria1

#### Footnotes

- All values are in ug/l unless otherwise stated.
- For surface water samples, samples must be tested to determine total combined particulate and dissolved substance concentrations. For groundwater samples, samples must be tested to determine dissolved substance concentrations.
- 3Applies to irrigation of all soil types.
- Drinking water criteria are for unfiltered samples obtained at the point of consumption. Heavy metals, metalloids and inorganic ions are expressed as total concentrations (particulate and dissolved) unless otherwise indicated.
- <sup>5</sup>Criterion applies where dietary intakes or natural levels are high. Consult Director for further advice.
- \*Criterion varies depending on crop. Consult Director for further advice.
- <sup>7</sup>Criterion varies with pH and temperature. 10°C is assumed. Consult Director for further advice.
- <sup>8</sup>Criterion varies with type of livestock. Consult Director for further advice.
- WAD means weak acid dissociable.
- 10Criterion varies with crop, soil drainage and Mo:Cu ratio. Consult Director for further advice.
- <sup>11</sup>Where nitrate and nitrite are present, total nitrate plus nitrite-nitrogen should not exceed this value.
- 12Criterion varies with chloride concentration. Consult Director for further advice.
- 13Criterion for intermittent applications on crops.
- <sup>14</sup>Criterion for continuous application on crops.
- 15SAD means strong acid dissociable.
- 16Criterion varies with soil pH. Consult Director for further advice.
- <sup>17</sup>Criterion varies with pH and substance isomer. Consult Director for further advice.
- <sup>18</sup>Criterion to protect marine aquatic life.
- <sup>19</sup>Criterion to protect freshwater aquatic life.
- <sup>20</sup>Criterion to protect crops other than legumes.
- <sup>21</sup>Criterion to protect legumes.
- <sup>12</sup>Includes DDT metabolites.
- <sup>23</sup>H means water hardness in mg/l CaCO<sub>3</sub>.

#### **ERRATUM**

The reference to footnote 9 for chlorinated phenols - livestock watering criteria (column IV) is incorrect. Footnote reference should be to footnote 24 below:

<sup>24</sup> Criterion to protect against taste and odor concerns.